

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF LACTANTIUS

COMPILED BY JACKSON BRYCE

CARLETON COLLEGE, NORTHFIELD, MINNESOTA

Fourth edition, August 2019

PART IV. SCHOLARLY LITERATURE

Items new to this revision of July 2014-Aug 2019 appear in blue type.

The following symbols are used in this part:

L = Lactantius; L' = Lactantius'

Σ marks summaries, found mostly in *L'Année Philologique [APh]* but occasionally in other sources.

☞ marks annotations provided by the compiler, derived either from his own reading or from a reliable source; they are intended not to be critical, but only to clarify what the item is about.

® indicates scholarly reviews.

A work such as this cannot fail to exhibit errors and omissions. All who use these pages are earnestly entreated to send corrections to the compiler by e-mail to <jbryce@carleton.edu>.

| SIGLA | WORKS FOUND IN EDITIONS OF LACTANTIUS |
|-------|---|
| AP | <i>De Ave Phoenice</i> |
| AS | <i>Aenigmata Symposii</i> |
| CD | St. John Chrysostom, <i>De Cœna Domini</i> in a Latin version by Hieronymus Donatus |
| DI | <i>Divinae Institutiones</i> |
| EDI | <i>Epitome Divinarum Institutionum</i> |
| acEDI | the acephalous <i>EDI</i> , beginning with ch. 51 [56], <i>Nam si iustitia...</i> |
| ID | <i>De Ira Dei</i> |
| MA | fragment <i>de Motibus Animi</i> |
| MP | <i>De Mortibus Persecutorum</i> |
| OD | <i>De Opifice Dei</i> |
| PD | <i>De Passione Domini</i> |
| PT | <i>Adhortatio Philippi ad quendam Theodosium Iudeum</i> |
| RD | <i>De Resurrectionis Dominicæ Die (Salve, festa dies)</i> , ascribed to Venantius Fortunatus |
| acRD | an acephalous version of <i>RD</i> beginning at the verse <i>Tempora florigerò rutilant ...</i> |
| TS | Tertullian, <i>Sermo de Vita Æterna</i> |
| TA | Tertullian, <i>Apologeticus</i> |
| VM | Lorenzo Valla, <i>De Mysterio Eucharistiae</i> |

The following subtitles for the seven books of *DI* are often found:

- I. *DE FALSA RELIGIONE*
- II. *DE ORIGINE ERRORIS*
- III. *DE FALSA SAPIENTIA*

- IV. *DE VERA SAPIENTIA ET RELIGIONE*
- V. *DE IUSTITIA*
- VI. *DE VERO CULTU*
- VII. *DE VITA BEATA*

SCHOLARLY LITERATURE

- ANON. ‘Auctor libri *de Mortibus Persecutorum*,’ *Miscellaneæ Observationes in auctores veteres et recentiores* 4.1 (1734) 70-71. ↗ An afterward to ANON. ‘Observatores,’ q.v. below.
- ANON. *Disquisitiones dogmaticæ in Lactantium, sive de ejus circa religionem sentendi et argumentandi rationes*, in *PL* 7, cols. 1011-186.
- ANON. ‘Observatores cum Editore Britanno communicatæ in dissertationem *De Mortibus Persecutorum*,’ *Observationes in auctores veteres et recentiores* 4.1 (1734) 31-6. ↗ Textual observations in light of the codex Colbertinus; see Index under Lactantius.
- ADAMIK, Tamas. ‘Die Funktion der Vergilzitate in Laktanz’ *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Acta Universitatis Szegediensis de Attila József Nominatae, Acta antiqua et archaeologica, Szeged* 25 (1984) 85-95 = Ibolya Tar, ed., *Symposium Vergilianum* (Szeged 1984) 85-95.
- _____. ‘Laktanz’ Menschenbild,’ *Acta Antiqua Academiæ Scientiarum Hungaricæ* 40 (2000) 3-14. *APh* 72 (2001) 343 #03102. ↗ L’ descriptions of human nature in *OD*, *ID*, and *MP* in the context of contemporary politics, and influenced by Cicero, Seneca, and Sallust.
- ADAMUS GENUENSIS. *Carmen fratrem Antonium increpans*. Venice, 1470. ↗ Response to Antonio DARHO’s list of L’ doctrinal errors, with which it is often printed in the early editions.
- ADAMS, James. N. ‘A medical theory and the text of Lactantius, *Mort. Persec.* 33.7 and *Pelagonius* 347,’ *Classical Quarterly* 38 (1988) 522-7. ∑ *APh* 59 (1988) 214 #3068.
- _____. ‘Five notes on Lactantius, *De mortibus Persecutorum*,’ *Antichthon* 23 (1989) 92-8. ↗ Textual notes on 17.4, 21.3, 23.6, 24.9, 33.2.
- _____. & Peter M. BRENNAN. ‘The text of Lactantius, *De mortibus persecutorum* 44.2 and some epigraphic evidence for Italian recruits,’ *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 84 (1990) 183-6. ∑ *APh* 61 (1990) 159 #2257.
- ADKIN, Neil. ‘Jerome as Centoist: *Epist. XXII* 38, 7,’ *Rivista di storia e letteratura religiosa* 28 (1992) 461-471. ↗ On pp. 465-7, Jerome’s borrowing from *DI* 5.3.23 for *Epist 22, Libellus de virg. serv.* 11.4f.
- _____. ‘Jerome on Tertullian: *Epist. LVIII* 10, 1,’ *Athenæum* 87.2 (1999) 383-394. ∑ *APh* 70 (1999) 225 #02227. ↗ On pp. 390-392, Jerome’s borrowing of *DI* 5.1.23 on Tertullian.
- _____. ‘The preamble to book V of Lactantius’ *Divinæ Institutiones* and Jerome,’ *Rivista di storia e letteratura religiosa* 39.1 (2003) 101-108. *APh* 74 (2003) 379 #03408. ↗ Jerome’s use of *DI* 5.1.10f at *Epist. 21.13* and 117.6.4, and *DI* 5.1.5 at *Comm. in Eccl. 5.7*.
- ADRIANI, Maurilio. ‘La storicità dell’ editto di Milano,’ *Studi Romani* 2 (1954) 18-32. ∑ *APh* 25 (1954) 411. ↗ Reconstruction of the original document from *MP* 48 and Eusebius *HE* 9.10.7-11.
- AGAPITOS, Panagiotis A. ‘Late antique or early Byzantine?: the shifting beginnings of Byzantine literature,’ *Rendiconti* 146 (2012) 13-48. ↗ Eusebius and L represent an important stage in the 4th century shift from late antiquity to Byzantine.
- ALAND, Kurt. ‘The relation between Church and State in early times. A reinterpretation,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 19 (1968) 115-127. ∑ *APh* 39 (1968) 654. ↗ *MP* 116f and the attitude towards emperors.

- ALBAN, Brother. ‘The Conscious Rôle of Lactantius,’ *Classical World* 37 (1943-44) 79-81. ΣAPh 17 (1945-6) 83.
- ALBY, Juan Carlos. ‘El oráculo de Histaspes y el mesianismo de Jesús,’ *Anuario Epimeleia* 3.4 (2013) 87-101. \Rightarrow The oracle, mentioned by Justin Martyr and Clement of Alexandria, appears also at *DI* 7.15.18-19.
- ALFÖLDI, András. *The Conversion of Constantine and Pagan Rome*, tr. Harold MATTINGLY. Oxford, 1948. \Rightarrow *Passim* on L; pp. 43-6 on *MP*.
- _____. ‘Hoc signo victor eris,’ Beiträge zur Geschichte der Bekehrung Konstantins des Grossen,’ pp. 1-18 of TH. KLAUSER & A RÜCKER, eds., *Pisciculi. Studien zur Religion und Kultur des Altertums F. J. DÖLGER zum 60. Geburtstag dargeboten von Freunden, Verehren und Schülern*. Münster, 1939 (Antike und Christentum, Ergänzungsband I). ΣAPh 14 (1939) 369f. \Rightarrow Pp. 5-7 on *MP* 44.5f.
- _____. ‘Zwei bemerkungen zur *Historia Augusta*,’ pp. 1-8 in Johannes STRAUB, ed., *Historia Augusta Colloquium, Bonn, 1963*. Bonn, 1964 (Antiquitas, Reihe 4, vol. 2) . \Rightarrow *MP* 5.5 provoking a response at *HA Vita Valeriani*.
- ALFONSI, Luigi. ‘Cultura classica et cristianesimo: l’impostazione del problema nel proemio delle *Divinæ institutiones* di Lattanzio e nell’*Epistula XVI* di Paolino da Nola,’ *Le Parole e le Idee* 8 (1966) 163-176. ΣAPh 37 (1966) 144.
- _____. ‘Lattanzio e Giustino,’ *Rendiconti dell’Istituto Lombardo, Classe di Lettere, Scienze morali e storiche* 82 (1949) 19-27. ΣAPh 23 (1952) 95. \Rightarrow Compares *DI* 4.15 & 18, 2.8.28, and *EDI* 2.14 & 37 with Justin Martyr and Minucius Felix.
- _____. ‘Ovidio nelle *Diuinæ Institutiones* di Lattanzio,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 14 (1960) 170-176. ΣAPh 31 (1960) 116. \Rightarrow On *Fasti* and *Metamorphoses*.
- _____. ‘*Potuit esse verus Dei cultor...* (Lattanzio, *Div. inst.* VI, 24, 13): Sestio, Seneca e i Vangeli,’ *Athenæum* N.S. 54 (1976) 175f. ΣAPh 47 (1976) 368 #4646.
- _____. ‘San Paolo e il ruolo della età,’ *Sileno* 2 (1976) 331-332. ΣAPh 49 (1978) 331 #4432. \Rightarrow Seneca, I Cor. 13:11 and *DI* 2.4.14.
- ALLARD, Paul. ‘Lactance et le *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Revue des questions historiques* 74 [= N.S. 30] (1903) 543-52. \Rightarrow Essentially a review of Pichon *Lactance* focusing on *MP*.
- ALOE-SPADA, Concetta. ‘L’uso di *religio* e *religiones* nella polemica antipagana di Lattanzio,’ pp. 459-463 of Hugo BIANCHI, ed., *The notion of “religion” in comparative research: selected proceedings of the XVIth congress of the International Association for the History of Religions, Rome, 3-8 Sept. 1990*. Rome, 1994. \Rightarrow In *DI* an ambiguous semantic range becomes clear according to whether the cult or its postulates stem from religious faith.
- ALONSO-NÚÑEZ, José M. *The Ages of Rome*. Amsterdam, 1982. ΣAPh 53 (1982) 623 #10316. \Rightarrow Seneca (at *DI* 7.15.14 & 16) and Latin historiography.
- ALPERS, Johannes. *Hercules in Bivio*. Göttingen, 1912 (dissertation). \Rightarrow Pp. 70-72 on *DI* 6.3-4; p. 72 on *DI* 7.1.20f.
- ALT, Henricus Julius. *De dualismo Lactantiano*. Bratislava, 1839 (dissertation).
- ALTANER, Berthold. ‘Zum Problem der lateinischen *Doctrina Apostolorum*,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 6 (1952) 160-7. \Rightarrow P. 162 on *DI* 6.3 (the two ways) and *EDI* 59f.
- _____. & Alfred STUIBER. *Patrologie*. Freiburg, 81978. \Rightarrow L in ch. vi, part A, § 52, pp. 185-8.
- _____, tr. Hilda C. GRAEF. *Patrology*. Edinburgh, London, 1960. \Rightarrow Based on the 5th German edition, 1958. L in Ch. v, part A, §37, pp. 208-12.

- _____, tr. L'Abbé Marcel Grandclaudon. *Précis de Patrologie*. Mulhouse & Paris, 1941. ↗ L in ch. 5, part A, §37, pp. 156-60.
- ALTHOFF, Jochen. 'Zur Epikurrezeption bei Laktanz,' pp. 35-53 in Therese FUHRER and Michael ERLER, edd., *Zur Rezeption der Hellenistischen Philosophie in der Spätantike*. Stuttgart, 1999 (Philosophie der Antike 9: Akten der 1. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung von 25. September 1997 in Trier). Σ ↗ Survey of Epicureanism in 2nd-4th century authors and Lactantius.
- AMANN, Émile. 'Lactance,' article in vol. 8, part 2 (1925), columns 2425-44 of *Dictionnaire de Théologie Catholique*, 15 vols. Paris, 1909-50.
- AMARELLI, Francesco. 'Due recenti studi su Lattanzio,' *Studia et Documenta Historiae et Iuris* 50 (1984) 474-9. ↗ Viz. M. PERRIN, *L'homme antique et chrétien*; and C. INGREMEAU, *Lactance, La Colère du Dieu*.
- _____. 'Il *De mortibus persecutorum* nei suoi rapporti con l'ideologia coeva,' *Studia et Documenta Historiae et Iuris* 36 (1970) 207-264. ↗ The political and philosophical aspects of MP considered as a new direction in western church history; comparison of MP with the Constantinian *Panegyrici Latini*.
- _____. *Vetustas—innovatio. Un' antitesi apparente nella legislazione di Costantino*. Napoli, 1978 (Pubblicazioni della Facoltà Giuridica dell' Università di Napoli 162). ↗ Ch. 3, 'Costantino e Lattanzio,' pp. 47-86; ch. 4, 'Tracce dell'insegnamento di Lattanzio nella legislazione di Costantino,' pp. 87-145.
- ® BERTOLI, *Rivista di Storia della Chiesa in Italia* 32 (1978) 539-43; BIONDO, *Labeo* 24 (1978) 242f; GAUDEMUS *Labeo* 26 (1980) 401-5; INGREMEAU, *Revue des Études Latines* 56 (1978) 594-6.
- AMAT, Jacqueline. 'Des *Institutiones aux Confessiones*: de Lactance à Augustin: deux méthodes de conversion,' pp. 3-9 in Pol DEFOSSÉ, ed. *Hommages à Carl Deroux 5: Christianisme et Moyen Âge, néo-latin et survivance de la latinité*. Bruxelles, 2003 (Collection Latomus 279). Σ APh 74 (2003) 379 #03409. ↗ Comparison of L' and Augustine's rhetoric and style.
- AMMON, Friedrich Wilhelm Philipp von. *Lactantii opinio de religione in systema redig*. Erlangen, 1820.
- ANASTOS, Milton Vasil. 'The edict of Milan (313). A defense of its traditional authorship and designation,' *Revue des Études byzantines* 25 (1967 = *Mélanges Venance Grumel II*) 13-41. Σ APh 38 (1967) 569. ↗ On MP 48.1-12.
- ANDERSON, Lene. 'An oracular creed,' pp. 11-23 in Bettina AMDEN *et. al.*, edd., *Noctes Atticae: 34 articles on Graeco-Roman antiquity and its Nachleben. Studies presented to Jørgen Mejer on his sixtieth birthday March 18, 2002*. Copenhagen, 2002.
- Σ APh 74 (2003) 446 #03995. ↗ DI 1.7.1, the *Tübinger Theosophie*, and the Oenoanda inscription as witnesses of an oracle of Apollo at Colophon.
- ANDRÉ, Jean-Marie. 'Lactance et l'idée stoïcienne de justice,' pp. 131-148 of Michel SOËTARD, ed., *Valeurs dans le stoïcisme: du Portique à nos jours. Textes rassemblés en hommage à Michel SPANNEUT*. Lille, 1993.
- _____. '*Mirabilia et miracula: le miracle médical dans la patristique préaugustinienne*,' *Revue des Études Latines* 85 (2007) 220-235, with a Latin summary. ↗ The *mirabilia* of fables, the false miracles of Aesculapius, and Christian miracles from apostolic times to the 5th century, with discussion of Minucius Felix, Tertullian, L, Arnobius, and Cyprian.
- ANDRÉS SANZ, María Adelaida. 'Lactancio e Isidoro de Sevilla: sobre dos usos distintos de una misma fuente (*Lact. Opif. 7-18: Isid. Diff. [II] 17 y Etym. XI 1*),' pp. 41-51 of José Francisco GONZALEZ CASTRO, Antonio ALVAR EZQUERRA, Alberto BERNABÉ *et al.*, edd., *Actas del XI*

- congreso español de estudios clásicos*, Santiago de Compostela, 15-20 Sept. 2003, vol. 3. Madrid, 2006. ↗ Analyzes differences in L' use of Isidore in various passages of *OD*.
- ANFUSO, S. ‘Lattanzio autore del «De mortibus persecutorum»,’ *Didaskaleion* 3 (1925) 31-88. Σ *APh* 5 (1930) 56.
- ARCHAMBAULT, Paul. ‘The Ages of Man and the Ages of the World,’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 12 (1966) 193-228. Σ *APh* 37 (1966) 331. ↗ Pp. 195-200 on *DI* 7.15, Florus, Seneca, and Ammianus.
- ATZBERGER, Leonhard. *Geschichte der christlichen Eschatologie innerhalb der vornicänischen Zeit: Mit theilweiser Einbeziehung der Lehre vom christlichen Heile überhaupt*. Freiburg im Breisgau, 1896; reprinted Graz, 1970. ↗ Pp. 583-611 on L' eschatology.
- AUBERT, Jean-Jacques. ‘Christianisme antique, droit romain et homosexualité,’ pp. 103-125 of Michael GRONEBERG, ed., *Der Man als sexuales Wesen: zur Normierung männlicher Erotik*. Fribourg, Switzerland, 2006 (Ethik und politische Philosophie 13, from a colloquium held in July, 2004 at the University of Fribourg.) ↗ The condemnation of homosexuality by L at *DI* 6.23.8 derived not from Biblical and patristic sources, but from pagan literature and Roman law.
- AUBIN, Jeffery. ‘La religion, et l'opposition sacré et profane, dans les *Divinae institutiones* de Lactance: les limites d'une dichotomie moderne,’ *Laval Théologique et Philosophique* 70.2 (2014) 227-239. ↗ The differences between modern ideas of religion and the terms *religio*, *sacer*, and *profanus* in L.
- AUBREVILLE, Philip. ‘Zur motivation der tetrarchischen Christenverwölung,’ *Zeitschrift für antikes Christentum* 13.3 (2009) 415-429, with a resumé in English. ↗ The description of the methods of the persecutors, especially by Eusebius of Caesarea and L, suggests an exoticizing and foreignization of the Christians exceeding customary standards of repression.
- AUDANO, Sergio. ‘Genesi e fortuna di un verso virgiliano: *Inventas aut qui vitam excoluere per artis*,’ pp. 21-64 of *Classici lettori di classici: da Virgilio a Marguerite Yourcenar*. Foggia, 2012. ↗ *Aen.* 6.663, which recalls Gerogics 1.133-4 & 145, Lucretius 5.1448-57, was recalled, commented upon, or imitated by later authors, including L.
- _____. ‘Il giudizio di Lattanzio sulla *Consolatio di Cicerone*,’ *Koinonia* 30-31 (2006-7), 101-9. ↗ L' judgments of Cicero's *Consolatio* at *DI* 1.15.22 refers not just to the passage mentioned there (fr. 23), but to the entire work.
- AXELSON, Bertil F. B. ‘*Quod Idola* und Laktanz,’ pp. 67-74 of ‘Echtheits- und textkritische Kleinigkeiten,’ *Eranos* 39 (1941) 64-81. Σ *APh* 16 (1942-4) 35f. ↗ On pp. 69-71, *DI* 2.14.9, 2.15.1, 4.13.4, & 4.15.23 considered as borrowings in *Quod Idola*.
- BAGES FERRER, M. Glòria. *La tradició manuscrita del tractat De ira Dei de Lactanci*. Barcelona, 1989 (Coll. de tesis doctorals microfitxades 723). ↗ Doctoral thesis on microfiche.
- BÆHRENS, Emil. ‘Kritische Satura,’ *Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Pädagogik* 105 [= *Jahrbücher für Classische Philologie* 18] (1872) 353-65. ↗ Pp. 361f on text of *AP*.
- _____. ‘Zu des Lactantius *Phœnix*,’ *Rheinisches Museum* N.F. 29 (1874) 200f. ↗ Textual matters.
- _____. ‘Zu des Lactantius Gedicht *de ave Phœnix*’ on p. 104 of ‘Zur lateinischen Anthologie,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 31 (1876) 89-104. ↗ Textual matters.
- BAEHRENS, Willem Adolf. ‘Zum Liber *De mortibus persec.*,’ *Hermes* 47 (1912) 635f. Σ *Lam* 1.336. ↗ Afterward to SILOMON ‘Laktanz.’
- BAILEY, David Roy SHACKLETON. ‘Lactantiana,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 14 (1960) 165-169. ↗ Textual emendations; on *DI* 6.18.15f, see further Ogilvie ‘Lactantius.’

- BAKHOUCHE, Béatrice and Sabine LUCIANI, edd. *Le De Opificio Dei: regards croisés sur l'anthropologie de Lactance. Actes des journées d'études organisées à Montpellier, 24-25 November 2005.* Publications de l'Université de Saint-Étienne, 2007 (Mémoires du Centre Jean-Palerne, 31).
- ⑧ A. PRENNER, *Bulletino di studi latini* 38.2 (2008) 812-14.
- BALDWIN, Barry. Article ‘Lactantius,’ vol. 2, p. 1168 of Aleksandr Petrovich KAZHDAN, ed., *The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium*. New York & Oxford, 1991.
- BALIL, Alberto. ‘El gobierno de Hispania en la primera Tetrarquia,’ *Boletin del Seminario de Estudios de Arte y Arqueología* 48 (1982) 192-4. Σ APh 53 (1982) 655 #1083. ↗ MP 8.3 & Julian, *Oratio* 3.2
- BALUZIUS, Stephanus [Étienne BALUZE]. ‘Epistulae duae, in quibus explicantur et illustrantur duo loca ex libro Lactantii *de Mortibus persecutorum*,’ cols. 379-86 of *PL* 7. ↗ Interpreting dates at MP 48.8 & 2.1
- _____. *Stephani Balusii Tutelensis Notæ in librum de Mortibus Persecutorum*, cols. 297-378 of *PL* 7.
- BARDENHEWER, Otto. *Geschichte der altkirchlichen Literatur*. Freiburg im Breisgau and St. Louis, Missouri, 1902-32; zweite, umgearbeitete Auflage, vols. 1 (1913) and 2 (1914). ↗ On L, zweite Auflage, zweiter Band, §84, pp. 325-549; 540-541 on PD.
- _____. *Patrologie*. Freiburg im Breisgau, 2¹901. ↗ L on p. 203.
- _____, tr. Thomas Joseph SHAHAN. *Patrology*. Freiburg and St. Louis, 1908. ↗ L on pp. 203-8.
- BARNES, Timothy David. *Constantine and Eusebius*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1981. ↗ L’ career and works, 13f, 24, 70; L & Diocletian, 12f, 22f; relations with Constantine & Crispus, 14, 43, 47, 74f; contrast with Eusebius, 149, 158; Sossianus Hierocles, 165.
- ⑧ DRAKE, *American Journal of Philology* 103 (1982) 462-6.
- _____. ‘The Emperor Constantine’s Good Friday Sermon,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* N.S. 27 (1976) 414-23. ↗ MP 10.6ff on p. 422.
- _____. ‘Lactantius and Constantine,’ *Journal of Roman Studies* 63 (1973) 29-46. Σ APh 44 (1973) 179f. ↗ Date of MP with vindication of its historical significance.
- _____. ‘Maxentius and Diocletian,’ *Classical Philology* 105.3 (2010) 318-22. ↗ L must be followed in stating that Diocletian died before Maximinus, who killed himself in 313, notwithstanding *Epitome de Caesaribus*, Socrates, *Descriptio Consulum*, and Jerome in his continuation of Eusebius’ *Chronicle*; the date must be December of 311.
- _____. ‘Porphyry Against the Christians: date and attribution of fragments,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* n.s. 24 (1973) 424-442. ↗ Pp. 438f against identifying the hostile philosopher of *DI* 5.2.3-11 as Porphyry of Tyre.
- _____. *The New Empire of Diocletian and Constantine*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1982. ↗ L and Diocletian’s provincial administration, 209; on the census of A.D. 306, 227f.
- _____. ‘Scholarship or Propaganda? Porphyry Against the Christians in its Historical Setting,’ *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies* 39 (1994) 53-65. ↗ Pp. 58f against the identification of the hostile philosopher at *DI* 5.2.3-11 with Porphyry of Tyre.
- _____. ‘Sossianus Hierocles and the Antecedents of the “Great Persecution”,’ *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 80 (1976) 239-252. ↗ L’ evidence on Sossianus Hierocles (*DI* 5.2.2 & 5.2.12-17, and MP 16.4) on pp. 242f; MP 10.1-6 on pp. 245f.

- _____. ‘The Wife of Maximinus,’ *Classical Philology* 94.4 (1999) 459f. Σ APh 70 (1999) 281 #02827. ↗ Response to MACKAY ‘L and the Succession,’ q.v. *infra*, defending L’ accuracy by suggesting the possibility that Maximinus’ wife was in fact related to Galerius.
- BARTALUCCI, Aldo. ‘Il *Probus* di Giorgio Valla e il *Commentum vetustum a Giovenale*,’ *Studi Italiani di Filologia Classica* 45 (1973) 233-257. ↗ On L’ epistles to Probus, pp. 253-7. Σ APh 45(1974) 174f.
- BARTHEL, Bernhard. *Über die Benutzung der philosophischen Schriften Ciceros durch Laktanz*, Teil I. Strehlen [Strzelin], 1903 (Programm). ↗ Lactantian parallels with both *Academica*.
- BASTIAENSEN, Antoon A. R. ‘Augustin et ses prédécesseurs latins chrétiens,’ pp. 25-57 of J. DEN BOEFT & J. VAN OORT, edd., *Augustiniana Traiectina: communications présentées au Colloque International d’Utrecht, 13-14 novembre, 1986*. Paris, 1987 (Études Augustiniennes). ↗ L on pp. 44-46.
- BASTIEN, Pierre. ‘Lactance [*De Mortibus Persecutorum*] XVII, 1 à 4 et les émissions d’or des *Vicennalia* de Dioclétien et de Maximien à Ticinum, Aquilée, et Nicomédie,’ *Numismatica e Antichità classiche* 5 (1976) 253-266. Σ APh 49 (1978) 633 #9235. ↗ L’ account of Diocletian’s vicennalia.
- BATIFFOL, MSGR Pierre. *Bulletin de la Société Nationale des Antiquaires de France* (1915) 149-51. ↗ Comments on TOUTAIN ‘[Remarks on Apollo of Claros].’
- _____. *La Paix Constantinienne et la catholicisme*.⁵ Paris, 1929. ↗ L as source *passim*; pp. 224-8 on the dedications to Constantine at *DI* 1.1 & 7.27.
- BAUER, Carl Ludwig. *De religione et superstitione pro Cicerone contra Lactantium*. Lauban, 1756.
- _____. *Ciceronem de Natura Deorum II,28,72 contra Lactantii Institut. divin. IV,28,3 sq. defendit*. Lauban, 1764.
- BAULDRI, Paul. *Notæ in librum De Mortibus persecutorum*, cols. 587-840, *PL* 7.
- BAYNES, Norman Hepburn. ‘Lactantius, the Divine Institutes,’ *Journal of Roman Studies* 34 (1944) 136-138 = BAYNES, *Byzantine Studies and Other Essays* (London 1955 [1960]) 348-354. ↗ Review of K. M. SETTON, *The Christian Attitude towards the Emperor in the Fourth Century* (New York 1941).
- _____. ‘Lactantius: *De Mortibus Persecutorum*,’ *Journal of Roman Studies* 18 (1928) 226-228 = BAYNES, *Byzantine Studies and Other Essays* (London 1955 [1960]) 321-325. ↗ Review of Karl ROLLER, *Die Kaisergeschichte in Laktanz De Mortibus Persecutorum*, (Giessen, 1927, dissertation).
- BEATRICE, Pier Franco. ‘Antistes philosophiae: ein chistenfeindlicher Propagandist am Hofe Diokletians nach dem Zeugnis des Laktanz,’ *Augustinianum* 33 (1993: *Ricerche patristiche in onore di Dom Basil Studer, OSB*) 31-47. ↗ Argues for identifying this hostile philosopher (*DI* 5.2.3-11) with Porphyry of Tyre.
- BECKER, Carl. Article ‘Cicero,’ pp. 86-127 of Theodor Klauser *et al.*, *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, vol. III. Stuttgart, 1957. ↗ Pp. 106-112 on L & Cicero.
- BECKER, Gustav. ‘Kleinigkeiten,’ *Rheinisches Museum* N.F. 29 (1874) 495-9. ↗ Text of *AP* 137 on p. 499, #20.
- BECKERMANN, Ansgar. ‘Das logische Problem des Übels ist nicht gelöst,’ *Zeitschrift für philosophische Forschung* 64.2 (2010) 239-45. ↗ A meditation upon *ID* 13 about the existence of *Schmerz* and *Leid* in the world, in connection with Kant.
- BECON, Thomas. *Anthologia Lactantii Firmiani*. 8°, Lyon, 1558. ↗ Summary of L’ doctrine.

- BÉLIS, Annie. ‘La théorie de l’âme chez Aristoxène de Tarente,’ *Revue de Philologie, de Littérature et d’Histoire Anciennes* 59 (1985) 239-246. ΣAPh 57 (1986) 40 #598. \Rightarrow *DI* 7.13 & *OD* 16 compared with Cicero *Tusculanæ Disputationes* I.10, 18f, 22.
- BELLARMINO, S.J., St. Roberto CARDINAL. *De Scriptoribus ecclesiasticis*. ‘De Lactantio Firmiano,’ pp. 102f in 8° Köln, 1613; pp. 68f in Köln, 1622 and 1631; p. 93 in 8° Paris, 1658; p.53 in Köln, 1684; = vol. 7, p. 61 of *Opera Omnia*. Venice, 1728.
- BELSER, Johannes. ‘Grammatisch-kritische Erklärung von Laktantius’ *de mortibus persecutorum* cap. 34: Toleranzedikt des Galerius.’ Ellwangen, 1889 (Festprogramm, Königliches Gymnasium), pp. 7-39.
- _____. ‘Über den Verfasser des Buches *de Mort. persec.*,’ *Theologische Quartalschrift* 74 (1892) 246-93 & 439-64.
- ® MANCINI, *Studi Storici* 2 (1893) 105-122
- _____. ‘Der Verfasser des Buches *De mortibus persec.*,’ *Theologische Quartalschrift* 80 (1898) 547-96. \Rightarrow Defends authenticity of the addresses to Constantine and the dualistic passages of *DI*, and of *MP*.
- BELTRAMI, Achilles. ‘Index codicum classicorum latinorum qui in bibliotheca Quiriniana Brixensi adservantur,’ *Studi Italiani di filologia classica* 14 (1906) 37f, 87f. \Rightarrow *PD* found in Queriniano 41 (G IV 10) at Brescia; variants compared to Brandt’s text in *CSEL* 27, q.v. *supra* in Part III.
- BENDER, Albrecht. *Die natürliche Gotteserkenntnis bei Laktanz und seinen apologetischen Vorgängern*. Frankfurt, 1983. \Rightarrow His dissertation at Giessen under BUCHHEIT. For L see especially pp. 18-54 & 156-217; bibliography on pp. 220-7.
- ® COULIE, *Les Études Classiques* 54 (1986) 324; DOIGNON, *Revue d’Histoire et de Philosophie Religieuses* 64 (1984) 290; HAENDLER, *Theologische Literaturzeitung* 111 (1986) 612f; HECK, *Gnomon* 57 (1985) 650-2; INGREMEAU, *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 32 (1986) 178f; MONAT, *Latomus* 45 (1986) 193f.
- BENOIT, Pierre. ‘Un adversaire du Christianisme au IIIème siècle: Porphyre,’ *Revue Biblique* 54 (1947) 543-572. \Rightarrow P. 552 identifying the hostile philosopher of *DI* 5.2.3-11 as Porphyry of Tyre.
- BENOIST, Stéphane. ‘L’usage de la *memoria* des Sévères à Constantin: notes d’épigraphie et d’histoire,’ *Cahiers du Centre Gustave-Glotz* 19 (2008) 129-43 (summary in English, 331-2). \Rightarrow Historical (Dio Cassius 7.26, L *MP* 3.2-4), epigraphical, and papyrological documentation for Germanicus, Domitian, Commodous, Geta, and Maximian.
- BENÖLKEN, Ralf. *Quis igitur potest esse nisi deus?: die Gottesbeweise in Lactanz, De ira Dei 10.34-44*. Münster, 2010, 123 pp. (Polyptoton: Münsteraner Sammlung akademischer Schriften 1).
- BENTLEY, Richard. \Rightarrow Marginal notes in a copy of LENOURRY’s *MP*, noted in ZANGEMEISTER ‘Bericht.’
- BENTZ, Ernst. ‘Christus und Sokrates in der alten Kirche (ein Beitrag zum altkirchlichen Verständnis des Märtyrers und des Martyriums),’ *Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft* 43 (1950/51) 195-224. \Rightarrow Mainly on Greek Fathers; *MP* very briefly on pp. 221f.
- BERGAMIN, Manuela. ‘Note a Simposio,’ *Atti e Memorie della Accademia Virgiliana di Mantova* 62 (1994) 37-68. ΣAPh 66 (1995) 334 #66-04076. \Rightarrow State of scholarly questions on *AS*, pp. 37-39; exegetical commentary on selected riddles, pp. 39-57; Christian elements, pp. 57-65; bibliography, pp. 66-68.
- BERNARDI PERINI, Giorgio. ‘Virgilio, il Cristo, la Sibilla: sulla lettura «messianica» della quarta egloga,’ *Atti della Accademia Pontaniana, Napoli* 112 (1999-2000) 115-124. ΣAPh 71 (2000) 637 #06590. \Rightarrow *DI* 7 and Constantine’s *Oratio ad Sanctos*.

- BERTOLD, Paul. *Prolegomena zu Lactantius*. Metten, 1861 (Programm). L' life, works, and style.
- BESSONE, Luigi. 'Fonte anonime nel *De civitate Dei* e nell' *Historia Augusta*,' *Patavium* 13-14 No 25 (2005-6) 3-23 . ↗ An attempt to pinpoint in L and the HA the sources of biological interpretations of Roman history found in Augustine.
- BIANCO, Bice. *Il carme De ave Phœnix di Lattanzio Firmiano*. Chieri, 1931.
⑧ COLOMBO, *Il Mondo Classico* (Torino, 1932).
- BICKEL, Ernst. 'Apollon und Dodona. Ein Beitrag zur Technik und Datierung des Lehrgedichtes *Aetna* und zur Orakelliteratur bei Laktanz,' *Rheinisches Museum* 79 (1930) 279-302. Σ APh 5 (1930) 3. ↗ EDI 18.1-3 on pp. 291-302.
- BIGNONE, Ettore. *L'Aristotele perduto e la Formazione filosofica di Epicuro*, 2 vols. Firenze, 1936. ↗ Vol. 1, pp. 148f on Epicurus in *DI* 7.27.6 and Lucretius, *De Rerum Natura* 6.24-8; vol. 2, pp. 446f & 525-8 on *DI* 2.10.24 and Aristotle's *De Philosophia*. 21973: ↗ Vol. 2, pp. 96f, 104, 176-8 and 383-6 on *DI* 2.10.24 and *De Philosophia*; pp. 387f & 396f on *OD* 3.6; p. 397 on *OD* and Aristotle's *Protrepticus*.
- BIHLMAYER, Karl. 'Das Toleranzedikt des Galerius von 311,' *Theologische Quartalschrift* 94 (1912) 411-27 & 527-89. ↗ On *MP* 34.
⑧ C.W., *Byzantinische Zeitschrift* 21 (1912) 602 & 22 (1913) 242.
- BILLIET, G. 'De authenticiteit van *De mortibus persecutorum*,' *Philologische Studien* 5 (1933-4) 117-21 & 198-214. Σ APh 9 (1934) 64.
- BIRLEY, Anthony Richard. 'Attitudes to the State in the Latin Apologists,' ch. 7, pp. 249-277 of Antonie Włosok et al., edd., *L'apologétique chrétienne gréco-latine à l'époque prénicénienne*, Vandoeuvres-Génève, 13-17 septembre 2004. Génève-Vandoeuvres, 2005 (Entretiens sur l'antiquité classique 51). ↗ Two paragraphs on *MP* on pp. 268-9; he considers it "more of a triumphalist history than an apology."
- BISCHOFF, Bernhard. 'Die lateinischen Übersetzungen und Bearbeitungen aus den *Oracula Sibyllina*,' pp. 121-47 of vol. I, *Antiquité*, of *Mélanges J. DE GHELLINCK*, S.J. Gembloux, 1951 (Museum Lessianum, Section historique 13). ↗ On pp. 121-3 and 125f, L' transmission of the oracles in Greek. Reprinted in vol. I, pp. 150-71 of his *Mittelalterliche Studien*, 3 vols. Stuttgart, 1966-80.
- BJÖRKMAN, D. Th. *De Ave Phœnix*. Lund, 1865 (dissertation).
- BLAKE, Norman Francis, ed. *The Phœnix*. Manchester, 1964. ↗ AP and the Old English *Phœnix*, 12f, 25-31; L, Claudian, and Sidonius (*Carm.* II.407ff), 16; AP and L' Christianity, 17-19; Riese's text of AP at Appendix I(a). Revised edition: 2Exeter, 1990.
- BLUMENBERG, Hans. 'Kritik und Rezeption antiker Philosophie in der Patristik: Strukturanalysen zu einer Morphologie der Tradition,' *Studium Generale* 12 (1959) 485-497. ↗ L taken seriously as a thinker about concepts such as truth, eschatology, human nature, the nature of the universe, and rhetoric.
- _____. 'Paradigmen zu einer Metaphorologie,' *Archiv für Begriffsgeschichte* 6 (1960) 7-142, 301-5. ↗ Pp. 38-47 on L' concept of truth; 72f on the mechanics of the cosmos; a few other citations in the index.
- BOAS, George. *Essays on Primitivism and Related Ideas in the Middle Ages*. Baltimore, 1948. ↗ L and the primeval condition of mankind on pp. 33-41; his attitudes towards the philosophical tradition on pp. 91f and 176.
- BOCHET, Isabelle. 'Non aliam esse philosophiam...et aliam religionem (Augustin, *De ver. Rel.* 5,8),' pp. 333-353 of Bernard POUDERON & Joseph DORÉ, edd., *Les apologistes chrétiens et la*

- culture grecque*. Paris, 1998 (Théologie Historique 105). ΣAPh 72 (2001) 90 #72-00848. ☛ Thorough comparison of *DI* to *De vera religione*, the Augustinian passage to *DI* 1.1.25: convergences, differences, and possible dependence.
- BOGDAN, Guillermina. ‘Forjar la identidad romana: algunas observaciones sobre la sistematización religiosa en *Antiquitates rerum divinarum* de M. T. Varrón,’ *Præsentia* 17 (2016); no page numbers, summary in English. A study of Varro’s *Antiquitates* using the fragments cited by Augustine, Tertullian, and L.
- BOLHUIS, Andries. ‘Die Rede Konstantins des Grossen an die Versammlung der Heiligen und Lactantius *Divinæ Institutiones*,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 10 (1956) 25-32. ΣAPh 27 (1956) 50. ☛ Denies authenticity of the *Oratio ad Sanctos*; bibliography on the controversy.
- BOLKESTEIN, Hendrik. ‘Humanitas bei Lactantius: christlich oder orientalisch?’ pp. 62-5 in Theodor KLAUSER & Adolf RÜCKER, eds., *Pisciculi. Studien zur Religion und Kultur des Altertums Franz Joseph DÖLGER zum sechzigsten Geburtstag dargeboten von Freunden, Verehren und Schülern*. Münster, 1939 (Antike und Christentum, Ergänzungsband I). ☛ *DI* 6.10.2, 12.1; *EDI* 33.7
- BOMM, Werner. *Laktanz, De mortibus persecutorum: literarkritische Analyse und Einordnung in der literarische Tradition* Mainz, 1991 (unpublished *Prüfungsarbeit*).
- BONAMENTE, Giorgio. ‘Per una cronologia della conversione di Costantino,’ pp. 87-111 of Giorgio BONAMENTE, Noel Emmanuel LENSKI, and Rita LIZZI TESTA, *Constantino prima e dopo Constantino (Munera: studi storici sulla Tarda Antichità* 35, containing the proceedings of a conference held 27-30 April, 2011, at Perugia and Spello). Bari, 2012. ☛ L, Eusebius, the Latin Panegyrics, and Zosimus on interpreting the battle of the Milvian Bridge.
- BONAMENTE, Giorgio, Noel Emmanuel LENSKI, and Rita LIZZI TESTA, *Constantino prima e dopo Constantino (Munera: studi storici sulla Tarda Antichità* 35, containing the proceedings of a conference held 27-30 April, 2011, at Perugia and Spello). Bari, 2012. ☛ For items on L, see under BONAMENTE above, and PASCHOUD and WALLRAFF below.
- BONNER, Campbell. ‘Desired Haven,’ *Harvard Theological Review* 34 (1941) 49-67. ☛ Harbor imagery at *DI* 6.8.5 on p. 62; at *EDI* 47 on p. 60.
- BONS, Eberhard, ed. and author. *Der eine Gott und die fremden Kulte: exclusive und inclusive Tendenzen in den biblischen Gottesvorstellungen*. Neukirchen-Vluyn, 2009; ix + 174 pp. with index. Biblische-Theologische Studien 102. ☛ Has an article on L.
- ® J. C. GERTZ, *Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 122.3 (2010) 460-461.
- BORGEAUD, Philippe. ‘“Silent entrails”: the Devil, his demons, and Christian theories regarding ancient religions,’ *History of Religions* 50.1 (2010-2011) 80-95. ☛ MP 10.1-4, reporting Christians’ disrupting sacrifice by signing themselves, leads to a discussion of numerous texts regarding Christians’ and pagans’ perceptions of religion, the devil and demons, and idolatry.
- BORLEFFS, Jan Willem Philip. ‘Ad libellum qui fertur *De mortibus persecutorum* observatiunculae criticæ,’ *Mnemosyne* N.S. 57 (1929) 426-36. ΣAPh 4 (1929) 54. ☛ MP 7.8, 10.4, 12.4, 22.4, 46.12, 47.4.
- _____. ‘An scripserit Lactantius libellum qui est *de mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Mnemosyne* N.S. 58 (1930) 223-292.
- _____. ‘De Lactantio in *Epitome Minucii imitatore*,’ *Mnemosyne* 57 (1929) 415-26. ΣAPh 4 (1929) 54. ☛ *EDI* 2.1, 18.10f, 23.8, 31.7, 53.1.
- BOSCHI, P., S.J. ‘Un vecchio simbolo della nostra risurrezione,’ *Civiltà Cattolica* 99 (1948, vol. 2) 19-28. ☛ On the Phœnix as symbol in ancient literature in art, and *AP* 25f.

- BAKMAN, Cornelius. *Opstellen en Vertalingen betreffende de Latijnse Letterkunde* IV. Leiden, 1934. ↗ Emendations for AP on pp. 247-51.
- BRANDT, Samuel David. ‘Adnotatiunculæ Lactantianæ,’ *Studi Storici* 3 (1894) 65-70. ↗ Responses (largely negative) to MANCINI ‘Quæstiones.’
- _____. *De Lactantii apud Prudentium vestigiis*. Heidelberg, 1894.
- _____. ‘Erklärung,’ *Wochenschrift für Klassische Philologie* 29 (1912) col. 1383. ↗ Correcting a publisher’s blurb about CSEL 19.
- _____. ‘Lactantius und Lucretius,’ *Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Pädagogik* 143 (1891) 225-259.
- _____. ‘Der St-Galler Palimpsest der *Divinæ Institutiones* des Lactantius,’ *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaft in Wien, philosophisch-historische Klasse* 108 (1884) 231-338 with a two-page plate bound between pp. 338-9.
- _____. ‘Über das in dem patristischen Excerptencodex F60 sup. der Ambrosiana enthaltene Fragment des Lactantius *De motibus animi*’ Heidelberg, 1891 (Programm, pp. 1-16).
- _____. ‘Über das Lactanz zugeschriebene Gedicht *De Passione Domini*, pp. 79-84 of *Commentationes Wæfflinianæ*. Leipzig, 1891. ↗ Ascribes PD to an Italian humanist. Further on PD in his Prolegomena, to CSEL 27.1 (1893) xxii-xxxiii, q.v. *supra* part III.
- _____. ‘Über das Leben des Lactantius,’ *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien* 120 (1890) Abh. 5, pp. 1-42.
- _____. *Über die dualistischen Zusätze und die Kaiseranreden bei Lactantius*, in three parts in *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaft, Wien* 118 (1889) Abhandlung 8, pp. 1-66 (‘Die dualistischen Zusätze’); 119 (1889) Abh. 1, pp. 1-70 (‘Die Kaiseranreden’); and 120 (1889) Abh. 5 (‘Über das Leben des L’).
- _____. *Über die Entstehungsverhältnisse der Prosaschriften des Lactantius und des Buches De Mortibus Persecutorum*, *ibid.* 125 (1891) Abh. 6, pp. 1-138. ↗ In five parts: ‘Über die Echtheit der Epitome der Institutionen’ (pp. 2-10); ‘Über die Entstehungsverhältnisse der *Divinæ Institutiones*, sowie der Schriften *De opifico dei* und *De ira dei*’ (pp. 11-21); ‘Über den Verfasser des Buches *De mortibus persecutorum*’ (pp. 22-98); ‘Über das Verhältnis der Mortes zu der Epitome der Institutionen und die Entstehungszeiten der beiden Schriften’ (pp. 99-123); ‘Über die verlorene Prosaschriften von Laktanz, nebst Bemerkungen über seine Gedichte’ (pp. 123-33); conclusion and notes (pp. 133-8).
- ® CRIVELLUCI, *Studi Storici* 1 (1892) 267-273; IÜLICHER, *Historische Zeitschrift* N.F. 33.2 (1892), 319-322.
- _____. ‘Über die Quellen von Laktanz’ Schrift *De Opificio Dei*, *Wiener Studien* N.S. 13 (1891) 255-292.
- _____. ‘Über den Verfasser des Buches *de mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Pädagogik* 167 (1893) 121-138 & 203-223
- _____. ‘Zu Laktanz,’ *Philologus* 78 (1923) 131-41. Σ DA 1.216. ↗ Pp. 131-3 on L and Quintilian; pp. 133-141 on later Latin authors’ use of L.
- _____. ‘Zum Phœnix des Lactantius,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 47 (1892) 390-403. ↗ On authenticity; see JAGELITZ below.
- _____. Review of Anton KNAPPITSCH, *De Ave Phœnix*. *Wochenschrift für Klassische Philologie* 48 (1896) 1312f.
- _____. Review of René PICHON, *Lactance*. *Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift* 23 (1903) cols. 1223-28 and 1255-59.

- BRASSEUR, André. ‘Les deux visions de Constantin,’ *Latomus* 5 (1946 = *Mélanges Kügener*) 35-40. ΣAPh 17 (1945-6) 315. ↗ *MP* 44.5f and the *Vita Constantini*; response to GRÉGOIRE ‘Conversion.’
- BRAUN, René. *Deus Christianorum: recherches sur le vocabulaire doctrinal de Tertullien*. 2nd edition revised and augmented, Paris, 1977. ↗ Summary of Christian Latin terminology, with a few instances of L on pp. 35, 280 n. 2, 401 n. 4, 421 with n. 2.
- _____. ‘La notion de bonheur dans le latin des Chrétiens,’ pp. 177-82 of F. L. CROSS, ed., *Studia Patristica* 10 (5th Oxford conference, 1967), part I. Berlin, 1970 (*Texte und Untersuchungen* 107). ↗ On p. 182, L’ use of *beatitudo* in place of *felicitas*.
- BRENA, Fabrizio. ‘Osservazioni al libro IX del *Bellum civile*,’ pp. 275-301 of Paulo ESPOSITO & Luciano NICASTRI, eds., *Interpretare Lucano: miscellanea di studi*. Napoli, 1999 (Quaderni del Dipartimento di Scienze dell’Antichità, Università degli Studi di Salerno 22). ΣAPh 71 (2000) 312 #03025. ↗ Pp. 281f on *DI* 7.7.20, *BC* 6.782ff, & *Stat. Silv.* 2.7.107-11; pp. 296-9 on *Phars.* 9.159f & *DI* 1.21.20-22, arguing against Ogilvie *Library* 104, q.v. *infra*.
- BREUER, Johannes. [Title unknown of an article in] Konrad Hilbert, ed., *Christliche Ethik im Porträt: Leben und Werk beteunden Moraltheologen*. Freiburg im Breisgau & Basel, 2012.
- _____. ‘Patristischen Perspektiven des Verhältnisses zwischen Tier, Mensch, und Gott,’ pp. 69-88 of Jochen Althoff, Sabine Follinger, and Georg Wöhrle, eds., *Antike Naturwissenschaften und ihre Rezeption* 22. Trier, 2012. ↗ Minucius Felix, L. Arnobius, and Ambrose on the nature of humankind.
- BREWER, Heinrich. ‘Die dem Laktantius beigelegte Dichtung *De ave Phœnix*, ein Werk aus dem Ende des 4. Jahrhund.,’ *Zeitschrift für Katholische Theologie* 46 (1922) 163-5. ↗ Denies authenticity.
- BRINK, Charles Oscar. Review of Ruch, *L’Hortensius*. *Journal of Roman Studies* 51 (1961) 215-222. ↗ *DI* 3.16.9 on p. 221; *DI* 3.16.11, 13, and 16 on p.217; and *DI* 3.18.18. on p. 220
- BROCCA, Nicoletta. *Lattanzio, Agostino e la Sibylla Maga: ricerche sulla fortuna degli Oracula Sibyllina nell’ Occidente latino*. Roma, 2011. 437 pp. with index (Studi e testi tardoantichi 11). ↗ An extraordinarily comprehensive treatment; L’ quotations of the Sibylline oracles are commented on specifically on pp. 128-180. See further in the comprehensive index, pp. 423-425.
- BROZEK, Mieczysław. ‘Publicystyczna Funkcja powiesci euhemerosa i geneza jego „Ateizmu”,’ *Meander* 25 (1970) 249-61. $\Sigma Ibid.$ 299 in Latin. ↗ Interpretation of Euhemerus’ Ιερὰ Αναγραφή as a document of Ptolemaic regal politics, with incidental citations of the Lactantian fragments.
- _____. ‘Euhemerosa „Hierà Anagraphé” (Przekład w ramach rekonstrukcji),’ *Meander* 24 (1969) 485-497 with a Latin summary on p. 537. ↗ Reconstruction of Euhemerus’ Ιερὰ Αναγραφή from the fragments and testimonia into a running text, with Polish translation.
- BRUGNOLI, Giorgio. ‘Coniectanea XI-XX,’ *Rivista di Cultura classica e mediævale* 5 (1963) 255-265. ΣAPh 34 (1963) 305. ↗ XVIII (p. 262) on *DI* 1.1.17 & 1.10.1.
- _____. *Identikit di Lattanzio Placido: studi sulla scolastica staziana*. Pisa, 1988. Excursus IV, pp. 59-68 on AS: ms evidence and the problem of identifying the author; Lactantian themes in AS.
- BRUUN, Patrick. ‘The Heraclean coinage of Maximus Daza. A drastic proposal,’ pp. 179-94 in Aksel DAMSGAARD-MADSEN et al., eds., *Studies in ancient history and numismatics presented to Rudi THOMSEN*. Aarhus, 1988. ↗ *MP* 36.2.

- _____. ‘Lattanzio e Massimino il Tiranno,’ pp. 123-30 in *Opuscula Instituti Romani Finlandiae* 4. Roma, 1989. ΣAPh 60 (1989) 200 #2953.
- BRUZZONE, Antonella. ‘Suggerimenti senecane della tarda antichità,’ *Sandalion* 23-25 (2000-2002) 53-64. ΣAPh 74 (2003) 615 #05680. ↗ Seneca’s lost *Exhortationes* at *DI* 6.24.16f and in Flavius Merobaudes.
- BRYCE, Jackson. ‘De Ave Phœnix and the religious policy of Constantine the Great,’ pp. 13-19 of Elizabeth A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 19, part 1 of the reports to the Tenth International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1987. Leuven, 1989. ↗ On the allegory, occasion, and date of *AP*.
- _____. *The Library of Lactantius*. New York & London, 1990. ↗ Reprint of dissertation (Harvard University, 1974) with expanded bibliography and index of authors and passages. Analysis of L’ borrowings from Cicero, Vergil, Lucretius, and Euhemerus.
- BUCCI, Teresa, «Le visioni» di Constantino nele testimonianze pagane e cristiane coeve. *Bulletino di Studi Latini* 41.1 (2011) 87-102, with English summary on p. 102. ↗ Constantine moves towards Christian monotheism to justify his establishing an earthly absolute monarchy.
- BUCHHEIT, Vinzenz. ‘Cicero Inspiratus—Vergilius propheta?: zur Wertung paganer Autoren bei Laktanz,’ *Hermes* 118 (1990) 357-72. ΣAPh 61 (1990) 159f #2258. ↗ Cicero and Vergil’s relationship to divine revelation.
- _____. ‘Die Definition der Gerechtigkeit bei Laktanz und seinen Vorgängern,’ *Vigiliae Christianae* 33 (1979) 356-374. ΣAPh 50 (1979) 177 #2550.
- _____. ‘Göttlicher Heilsplan in der lateinischen Apologetik,’ pp. 109-118 in Wilhelm BLÜMER, Rainer HENKE, & Markus MÜLKE, edd., *Alvarium: Festschrift für Christian Gnilka*. Münster, 2002 (Jahrbuch für Antike und Christentum, Ergänzungsband 33). ΣAPh 73 (2002) #08127. ↗ Minucius Felix, Lactantius (pp. 112-116), Cyprian, Tertullian, Prudentius.
- _____. ‘Goldene Zeit und Paradies auf Erden (Laktanz, *inst. 5,5-8*),’ *Würzburger Jahrbücher für die Altertumswissenschaft* n. F. 4 (1978) 161-185 and 5 (1979) 219-235. ΣAPh 50 (1979) 176 #2548.
- _____. ‘Juppiter als Gewalttäter: Laktanz (*inst. 5,6,6*) und Cicero,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 125 (1982) 338-342. ΣAPh 54 (1983) 184 #2939.
- _____. ‘Laktanz und seine *testimonia veritatis*,’ *Hermes* 130.3 (2002) 306-315. ↗ To correct *Neue Pauly* 6, p. 1044, emphasizes L’ dependence on scripture and Christian teaching, as opposed to classical literature and philosophy, for understanding the truth.
- _____. ‘Laktanz über die Apolloorakel,’ *Hermes* 136.3 (2008) 381-2. ↗ In assessing the nature of oracles in the Sibylline collection, L must actually accept certain inconsistencies because of their approval by apologists; but these were not necessarily found in the Apolline oracles.
- _____. ‘*Scientia boni et mali* bei Laktanz,’ *Grazer Beiträge* 8 (1979) 243-258. ΣAPh 51 (1980) 163 #2388. ↗ *DI* 5.15.13f *et al.*
- _____. ‘Vergil als Zeuge der natürlichen Gotteserkenntnis bei Minucius Felix und Laktanz,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 139.3-4 (1996) 254-9. ΣAPh 67 (1996) 439 #05472. ↗ On pp. 257-9, significance of *DI* 1.5.11, *Nostrorum primus Maro non longe fuit a veritate*.
- _____. ‘Der Zeitbezug in der Weltalterlehre des Laktanz (*Inst. 5,5-6*),’ *Historia* 28 (1979) 472-486. ΣAPh 50 (1979) 176f #2549.
- BÜCHNER, Karl. ‘Zum Platonismus Ciceros. Bemerkungen zum vierten Buch von Ciceros Werk *De re publica*,’ pp. 165-184 in KLAUS DÖRING *et al.*, edd., *Studia Platonica—Festschrift für HERMANN GUNDERT zu seinem 65. Geburtstag am 30.4.1974*. Amsterdam, 1974. ↗ Pp. 179-82 on the source of *EDI* 33[38].1-5.

- BÜRNER, Johann Georg Benedict. *Vergils Einfluss bei den Kirchenschriftstellern der vornikänischen Periode*. Erlangen, 1902 (dissertation).
- BUFANO, Antonietta. ‘Lucrezio in Lattanzio,’ *Giornale Italiano di Filologia* 4 (1951) 335-349. Σ APh 22 (1951) 72.
- BUFF, Simon Henricus. *Dissertatio Academica de Cicerone Christiano, sive Eloquentia Lactantii Ciceroniana*. Giessen, 1711. ↗ Analysis of Ciceronian oratory and its practice by the Fathers, especially L (Ascribed to Matthias Nicolaus KORTHOLT at PL vol. 6, p. 110.)
- BULTEAU, Louis. *Défense des sentimens de Lactance sur le sujet de l'usure*. 12°, Paris, 1671 & 1677.
- BUSCH, Hermann. ‘In lactāncij Firmiani Hymnu[m] paschalem de resurrectione domini Commentariolus,’ in *Lactantij firmiani Hymnus paschalis cum nouo nec incurioso co[m]me[n]tario*. Köln, 1514.
- BUSSELL, Frederick William. ‘The Purpose of the World Process and the Problem of Evil as Explained in the Clementine and Lactantian Writings in a System of Subordinate Dualism,’ *Studia Biblica et Ecclesiastica* 4 (Oxford 1896) 133-188.
- CAIN, Andrew Jason. ‘Gregory of Elvira, Lactantius, and the reception of the *De ira Dei*,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 64.2 (2010) 109-114. ↗ Uses of L in Gregory of Elvira have not yet been noticed, but there are at least two: L DI 2.11.15 in Gregory’s *Tract* 1.1 and ID 24.5-8 in *Tract* 16.15-16.
- _____. ‘Three further echoes of Lactantius in Jerome,’ *Philologus* 154.1 (2010) 88-96. ↗ Newly found echoes of L in Jerome: DI 7.4.4-5, 10, and 18-19, as well as DI 7.5.6 and 21-22 at Jerome *Tract. in Ps. 102:2*; DI 6.23.5-6 at Jerome *Ep. 78.3*; and DI 1.1.3-4 at Jerome *Ep. 120.1*.
- CALDERONE, Salvatore. Αἴρησις—‘condicio’ nelle *Litteræ Licinii*,’ *Helikon* 1 (1961) 283-294. Σ APh 32 (1961) 523. ↗ MP 48.
- CALLEJAS BERDONÉS, María Terésa. ‘Confrontación del *De ave Phœnix* de Lactancio y el Phoenix de Claudio,’ *Cuadernos de filología clásica* 20 (1986-7) 113-20. Σ APh 63 (1992) 226, #2925. ↗ Detailed comparison of the texts.
- CAMELOT, P.-Th., O.P. Article ‘Lactance,’ cols. 1581-3 in G. JACQUEMET, ed., *Catholicisme hier aujourd’hui demain*, Vol. 6. Paris, 1967. ↗ Brief survey.
- CAMPENHAUSEN, Hans Erich, FREIHERR VON. *Lateinische Kirchenväter*. Stuttgart, 1960 & 1983. Ch. 3 on L, pp. 57-76.
- _____. *The Fathers of the Latin Church*, tr. Manfred HOFFMAN. London, 1964 & Stanford, 1969. Ch. 3 on L, pp. 61-86.
- _____. *Les Pères Latins*, tr. C. A. MOREAU. 1966.
- _____. *Men Who Shaped the Western Church*, tr. Manfred HOFFMAN. Stanford, 1964. ↗ Earlier title of the U.S. publication of *The Fathers of the Latin Church*, q.v. supra.
- CANCIK, Hildegard. *Untersuchungen zu Denecas epistulae morales*. Hildesheim, 1967 (Spudasmata 18). ↗ DI 6.24f & 3.15.13 in Teil III, Innerlichkeit, §A, Seneca bei Laktanz und Pohlenz, pp. 115-121.
- CANFORA, Luciano. ‘Il proemio del *De rerum natura*,’ *Siculorum Gymnasium* 33.1 (1980) 507-525. Σ APh 51 (1980) 172 #2526. ↗ Cf. GIANCOTTI ‘Preludio.’
- CAPPUYNS, Maieul. ‘Fragments-tests de Fauste de Riez et de Lactance dans un manuscrit d’Averbode (cod. 44 E XIV),’ *Revue Bénédictine* 74 (1964) 36-43. Σ APh 35 (1964) 83. ↗ Facsimile and collation of fragments from the end of DI 4 and beginning of DI 5.

- CARCOPINO, Jérôme. *Aspects mystiques de la Rome païenne*. Paris, 1942. ↗ On Cacus and Hercules (*DI* 1.20.36), pp. 181f, 281 n.4, 288 n.3, 290 n.1; on Arnobius and Hermetism, pp. 291-301.
- CARDAUNS, Burkhart. ‘Zu den Sibyllen bei Tibull 2,5,’ *Hermes* 89 (1961) 357-366. ↗ *DI* 1.6.7-17 on pp. 358-62.
- CARLSON, Mary Louise. ‘Pagan Examples of Fortitude in the Latin Christian Apologists,’ *Classical Philology* 43 (1948) 93-104. ↗ *DI* 1.18.1-17 & 3.12.22 on pp. 96f; 3.18.5-8 & 5.13.13 on pp. 101f.
- CARTWRIGHT, Sophie. ‘Emotion in Lactantius: vulnerability in virtue and worship,’ *Zeitschrift für Antikes Christentum* 21.2 (2017) 272-303. ↗ L employed and revised Stoic and Platonic ideas of virtue and worship in *ID* to treat emotion in *DI* 6.
- CARUSI, Evaristo. [title?], vol. 2, p. 70 of *Studi giuridici in onore di Carlo Fadda nel XXV anno del suo insegnamento*. Napoli, 1906. Σ Compares legal passages in L with Ulpian.
- CASADEI, Monica. ‘Un passo della diciannovesima *Ode di Salomone* come *testimonium* cristologico nelle *Divine Instituzioni* di Lattanzio [4.12.3],’ *Adamantius* 16 (2010) 206-229. ↗ L’ Latin citation of *Ode of Solomon* 19.6-7 suggests an early date for this text.
- CASEY, Rev. Stephen, S. J. ‘Clausulae et cursus chez Lactance,’ pp. 157-164 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ↗ Quantitative and accentual patterns in L compared with earlier and later authors.
- _____. ‘Lactantius’ Reaction to Pagan Philosophy,’ *Classica et Mediævalia* 32 (1971-1980) 203-219. Σ *APh* 51 (1980) 163 #2389. ↗ Rhetorical strategies of *DI* 3.
- _____. *The Christian Magisterium of L. Cæcilius Firmianus Lactantius*. Montreal, 1972 (dissertation, McGill University).
- CASTIGLIONI, Luigi. ‘Lattanzio e le storie di Seneca padre,’ *Rivista di Filologia e d’Istruzione classica* 56 [= N.S. 6] (1928) 454-75. Σ *APh* 4 (1929) 54f. ↗ *DI* 7.15.14-16.
- CASTRO HERNÁNDEZ, Pablo. ‘La construcción narrativa de Lactancio: una aproximación a la intención historiográfica en «De mortibus persecutorum» (s. IV d.C.),’ *Talia Dixit* 12 (2017) 39-66, with a resumé in English. ↗ Examination of *MP* reveals a historiographical as well as an apologetic agenda.
- CAVALCANTI, Elena. ‘Aspetti della strutturazione del tema della giustizia nel cristianesimo antico (Lattanzio, *Div. Inst.* V-VI),’ pp. 39-63 in *Atti dell’ Accademia Romanistica Costantiniana: VIII Convegno Internazionale*. Napoli, 1990.
- CAVE, William. *Scriptorum ecclesiasticorum historia literaria*. Editio novissima, Geneva, 1720. ↗ Pp. 102f on L’ life, works, and early editions.
- Centre de Traitement Électronique des Documents: see below at CETEDOC.
- CERULLO, D. ‘Il carme *De Ave Phœnix* di Lattanzio, pp. 345-365 in Margherita ROSSI-CITTADINI, ed., *Presenze classiche nelle letterature occidentali: il mito dall’età antica all’età moderna e contemporanea*. Perugia, 1995 (Atti del convegno internazionale di didattica, Perugia, 7-10 novembre 1990).
- CETEDOC [Centre de Traitement Électronique des Documents]. *Thesaurus Lactantii, series A, Formæ*. Turnhout, 1998 (Corpus Christianorum, Thesaurus Patrum Latinorum); xx + 263 pp., 19 microfiches. ↗ Listing and concordance of forms; reverse index of forms; indices; frequency tables.
- ® ANON., *Revue Bénédictine* 109.3-4 (1999) 402f.

CHARLES, Robert Henry. *The Book of Enoch*. Oxford, 1893 and 1912. ↗ In the second edition only, pp. lxxxix-xci discuss passages of L indebted to 1 Enoch: *DI* 2.14; 4.27.18; 7.7.16, 19, 24, & 26.

CHASTAGNOL, André. 'Le supplice inventé par Avidius Cassius. Remarques sur l'*Histoire Augusta* et la *lettre I de Saint Jérôme*', pp. 95-107 in J. STAUB, ed., *Bonner Historia Augusta Colloquium*, 1970. Bonn, 1972 (Antiquitas Reihe 4, Beiträge zur Historia-Augusta Forschung Band 10). Σ *APh* 44 (1973) 146. ↗ On pp. 101-3, connections between *MP* and the *Historia Augusta*.

CHRISTENSEN, Torben. 'The so-called edict of Milan,' *Classica et Mediævalia* 35 (1984) 129-75 = *Dansk Teologisk Tidsskrift* 37 (1974) 81-129, in Danish. Σ *APh* 55 (1984) 70 #1122. ↗ Detailed comparison and analysis of *MP* 48.2-12 with Eusebius *Historia Ecclesiastica* 10.5.2-14; summary of the state of the question.

CHRISTES, Johannes. 'Miszellen zu Laktanz,' *Rheinisches Museum* 127 (1984) 91-95. Σ *APh* 55 (1984) 167 #2596. ↗ Textual notes on *ID* 5.15; *DI* 4.4.9; 4.28; 5.14.15f; 5.15.6.

CICATELLO, Rosaria. 'Le dediche di Lattanzio a Costantino: problemi di cronologia,' *Seia* n.s. 10-11 (2005-6) 89-104. ↗ L' influence on the political and religious thought of Constantine, derived from an analysis of the two dedications to the Emperor in *DI*.

_____. 'Il VII capitolo del *De mortibus persecutorum* di Lattanzio: riflessi della coeva letteratura panegiristica,' *Seia* n.s. 6-7 (2001-2002), 211-234. Σ *APh* 74 (2003) 379 #03410. ↗ L's critique of the persecuting Emperors in the light of Constantinian politics and propaganda.

COCHRANE, Charles Norris. Christianity and Classical Culture. Oxford, 1940; 1957. ↗ General interpretation of *DI*, 191-7; L' classicism vs. Christianity, 218f.

COLEMAN, Anthony P. 'Lactantius and "ressourcement": going to the sources of religious liberty in the civic order,' *Vox Patrum* 34:61 (2014) 209-219. ↗ Reworking material of Tertullian, L produces five arguments favoring religious liberty.

COLISH, Marcia L. *The stoic tradition from antiquity to the early middle ages: 2. Stoicism in christian Latin thought through the sixth century*. Leiden, 1985; 2nd impression with addenda and corrigenda, 1990 (Studies in the history of christian thought 35); xii & 336 pp., with bibliography on pp. 302-333, and indices of names and subjects. ↗ Pp. 37-47 on L.

® INWOOD, *Ancient Philosophy* 9 (1989) 337-339; KRAYE, *Speculum* 65 (1990) 633-636 ; MACHOVEC, *Eirene* 25 (1988) 160-162; RABEL, *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 26 (1988) 140-145; SCARPAT, *Latomus* 48 (1989) 701-703; SCHOFIELD, *Journal of Ecclesiastical History* 38 (1987) 271-273; SPANNEUT *Cristianesimo nella Storia* 9 (1988) 618-622.

COLOMBO, Maddalena. 'Echi di Lucrezio nel *De opificio Dei* di Lattanzio: spunti per un approfondimento,' pp. 161-178 in *Studi in onore di I. Gualandri*. ↗ L' use of Lucretius in refuting Epicureanism.

COLOMBO, Sisto. 'Lattanzio e S. Agostino,' *Didaskaleion* N.S. 10.2-3 (1931) 1-22. Σ *APh* 8 (1933) 65.

COLOT, Blandine. 'Africain, romain, et chrétien: l'engagement religieux de Tertullien et de Lactance, chacun en son époque,' pp. 167-184 of Jérôme Lagouanère and Sabine Fialon, «*Tertullianus Afer*»: *Tertullien et la littérature chrétienne d'Afrique* (Instrumenta Patristica et Mediævalia 70). Turnhout: Brepols 2015. ↗ Differences between Tertullian and L in presenting the reception of pagan philosophy.

_____. 'Analyse du sens et discours chrétien chez les auteurs du IVe siècle,' pp. 239-252 in Marc BARATIN et Claude MOUSSY, edd., *Conceptions latines du sens et de la signification: colloque du Centre Alfred Ernout, Université de Paris IV*, 4, 5, et 6 juin 1996. Paris, 1999. Σ

- APh* 74 (2003) 379 #03411: Christianity and L' language. ↗ L on pp. 241-252: *institutions, pietas, virtus, verbum, affectus, misericordia, humanitas.*
- _____. ‘Considérations sur la forme et le sens des mots de la langue latine chez Lactance,’ pp. 699-709 in Claude MOUSSY, ed., *De lingua Latina nouae quæstiones: actes du Xe colloque international de linguistique latine, Paris-Sèvres, 19-23 Avril 1999*. Σ *Ibid.* p. 699 in English; *APh* 74 (2003) 379 #03412: ↗ Christian influence upon L's forms and diction, among them *uitrus, enim, quoniam, itaque, nomen, religio, pietas, humanitas, misericordia.*
- _____. ‘De Lactance à Augustin: linguistique et spiritualité du *latin chrétien*,’ pp. 493-509 of “*Stylus*: la parole dans ses formes. *Mélanges en l'honneur du Professeur Jacqueline Dangel*, ed. Marc BARATIN et al., pp. 493-509. Paris, 2010. ↗ L, as a Christian but also a linguist, reflects how Latin handles religious experience, an idea later systematized by Augustine.
- _____. ‘Historiographie chrétienne et Romanesque: le *De mortibus persecutorum* de Lactance (250-325 ap. J.C.),’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 59.2 (2005) 135-51, with English summary. ↗ Relationship of MP to Eusebius' *Ecclesiastical History*.
- _____. ‘Humanitas et ses synonymes chez Lactance,’ pp. 101-121 of Claude MOUSSY, ed., *Les Problèmes de la synonomie en Latin*. Paris, 1992. ↗ *Humanitas, caritas, misericordia, pietas, iustitia*; comparison with Cicero.
- _____. *Lactance: penser la conversion de Rome au temps de Constantin* (Biblioteca della Rivista di Storia e Letteratura Religiosa: Studi 31). Firenze: Olschki, 2016.
- ⑧ Jean-Jacques AUBERT, *Revue de Théologie et de Philosophie* 149.3-4 (2017) 399-401; Mathieu CASSIN, *Revue des Sciences Philosophiques et Théologiques* 101.3 (2017) 483-486; Mattias GASSMAN, *Journal of Roman Studies* 107 (2017) 452-453; Francesco MASSA, *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 11 (2016) [no pagination].
- _____. ‘Pietas, argument et expression d'un nouveau lien socio-religieux dans le christianisme romain de Lactance,’ pp. 23-32 in M. F. WILES and E. J. YARNOLD, eds., *Studia Patristica 34: Papers presented at the Thirteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford 1999*. Leuven, 2001. ↗ At the hinge point of the transition from a pagan to a Christian Roman empire, L deploys the concept *pietas* at the heart of his rhetorical strategy.
- _____. *Pietas dans la transformation religieuse du IV^e siècle: l'apport de Lactance, le Cicéron chrétien*. Paris, 1996 (Thèse de doctorat, Sorbonne).
- _____. ‘*Veritatem sparsam...colligere (Diuinae institutiones 7,7,4)*: des fragments païens pour preuves de la vérité chrétienne: Lactance vs Eusèbe,’ pp. 69-85 of Françoise Daviet-Taylor and Laurent Gourmelen, eds., *Fragments: entre brisure et création* (Nouvelles Recherches sur l'Imaginaire, 38). Rennes, Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 2016. ↗ Fragments of Greek and Latin texts in L and Eusebius of Cæsarea, and how Christian authors reappropriate such texts for apologetic ends.
- COLPE, Carsten. ‘Der Begriff “Menschensohn” und die Methode der Erforschung messianischer Prototypen (III.1),’ *Kairos* N.F. 12 (1970) 77-112. ↗ Pp. 86f on L and the oracles of Hystaspes, with bibliography.
- COLUMBUS, Johannes. *Notæ in Lactantium; notæ in librum De Mortibus Persecutorum*. ↗ In 1684 Åbo; expanded in 1692/3 Utrecht with a large historical preface; reprinted in cols. 385-434 of *PL* 7.
- CORBO, Chiara. ‘Tra Italia e Africa: la legislazione di Costantino sugli *inopes parentes*,’ *Koinonia* 36 (2012) 37-55, with summary in English. ↗ Analysis of Constantinian legislation on the killing of children, with influence from *DI* 6.20.2.
- CORSARO, Francesco. *Lactantiana: sul De mortibus persecutorum*. Catania, 1970. ↗ Summarizes scholarly controversies.

- _____. ‘Le *mos maiorum* dans la vision éthique et politique du *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ pp. 25-53 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ↗ Discussion of concepts including *humanitas*, *romanitas*, *barbaries*, *pietas*.
- _____. ‘Sogni e visioni nella teologia della Vittoria di Costantino e Licinio,’ *Augustinianum* 29 (1989) 333-49. ∑ APh 63 (1992) 145, #1894. ↗ Texts include *MP*, Eusebius’ *Vita Constantini* & *Historia Ecclesiastica*, and some *Panegyrici Latini*.
- COURCELLE, Pierre Paul. ‘Les exégèses chrétiennes de la quatrième églogue,’ *Revue des Études Anciennes* 59 (1957) 294-319. ↗ Discusses *DI* 7.24.11 on pp. 294f & 309.
- _____. ‘La figure du philosophe d’après les écrivains latins de l’antiquité,’ *Journal des Savants* (1980, January-June) 85-101. ∑ APh 52 (1981) 333 #4878.
- _____. ‘Interprétations neo-platonisantes du livre VI de l’*Énéide*,’ pp. 95-136 in *Fondation Hardt pour l’étude de l’antiquité classique. Entretiens, tome 3: Recherches sur la tradition Platonicienne*, Vandoeuvres—Genève, 1955. Verona, 1957. ↗ On the two ways; passages from *OD* (p. 118), *ID* (105, 108), *DI* 1 (106, 108), *DI* 6 (100f, 105), *DI* 7 (97, 104, 106f, 108, 113, *EDI* 3 (108).
- _____. *Lecteurs païens et lecteurs chrétiens de l’Énéide*, vol. I, *Les témoignages littéraires*. Paris, 1984. ↗ For discussions of L passages, see indexes on p. 756.
- _____. ‘Les Pères de l’église devant les enfers virgiliens,’ *Archives d’Histoire Doctrinale et Littéraire du Moyen Age* 22 [30ème année] (1955 [1956]) 5-74. ↗ On *Aeneid* 6 and L *passim* (see index, p. 72).
- _____. ‘Sidoine philosophe,’ Teil I, pp. 46-59 in Walter WIMMEL, ed., *Forschungen zur römischen Literatur. Festschrift zum 60. Geburtstag von KARL BÜCHNER*. 2 vols, Wiesbaden, 1970. ∑ APh 41 (1970) 266f. ↗ *OD* 3.16 on pp. 50-52; on p. 58, Sidonius, Claudianus Mamertus and *OD* 1.15 & *DI* 5.21.11.
- _____. ‘Les sources de saint Ambroise sur Denys le tyran,’ *Revue de Philologie* 43 (1969) 204-10. ∑ APh 40 (1969) 8. ↗ *DI* 2.4.16-26.
- COUVÉE, Pieter Johannes. *Vita beata en vita æterna. Een onderzoek naar de ontwikkeling van het begrip “vita beata” naast en tegenover “vita æterna” bij Lactantius, Ambrosius en Augustinus, onder invloed van de romeinsche Stoa*. Baarn, 1947 (Dissertation, Utrecht).
- ® BOTTE, *Bulletin de Théologie ancienne et médiévale* 5 (1948) 370.
- CRESCENTI, Giovanni. *Gli elementi cristiani del carme De ave Phœnix*. Messina, 1960..
- ® ROCHEFORT, *Revue des Études Latines* 38 (1960) 376f.
- _____. *Rilievi al De mortibus persecutorum di Cecilio Firmiano Lattanzio. Studi critico*. Messina, 1964.
- ® Fontaine, *Revue des Études Latines* 45 (1967) 638f.
- CRIVELLUCCI, Amedeo. ‘Ad Lact. Inst. IV, 27 et Pseudo-Lact. *De Mort. Persec.* 10,’ *Studi Storici* 2 (1893) 45-8. ↗ Response to Brandt ‘Über die Entstehungsverhältnisse’ and Belser ‘Über den Verfasser.’
- _____. ‘Il Falso-Lattanzio ed Eusebio nel racconto della guerra del 312 dipendono da Eumenio e da Nazario?’ *Studi Storici* 2 (1893) 374-88. ↗ *MP* 42-44.
- _____. ‘L’origine della legenda del monogramma e del labaro,’ *Studi Storici* 2 (1893) 88-104 & 222-60. ↗ *MP* 44.
- CROKE, Brian. ‘Porphyry’s Anti-Christian Chronology,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 34 (1983) 168-185. ↗ Demolishes the idea that Porphyry of Tyre wrote a Chronicle; no L.

- CROSS, J. E. ‘The conception of the old English *Phœnix*,’ pp. 129-52 of R. P. CREED, ed., *Old English Poetry*. Providence, 1967. ↗ Detailed comparison of the OE *Phœnix* with *AP*; bibliography on pp.145f, n. 3
- CRUCEUS, J. *Litera Pythagoræ V cum diuina L. Lactantii explanatione*. Lyons, 1536.
- CSERCSEVICS, H. *Ein Zeitbild der Wende des 3.-4. Jh. n. Chr. nach Lactantius*. Innsbruck, 1950 (dissertation).
- CUMONT, Franz. ‘La fin du monde selon les mages occidentaux,’ *Revue de l'histoire des religions* 103 (1931) 29-96. ↗ Pp. 64-93 on the *Apocalypse* of Hystaspes & *DI* 7.15-26.
- CUPER, Gisbert. *Notæ in Lactantium; notae in librum de Mortibus Persecutorum*. ↗ In 1692/3 Utrecht; reprinted in cols. 403-586 of *PL* 7.
- CURTIUS, Ernst Robert. *Europäische Literatur und lateinisches Mittelalter*. Bern, 1948.
- _____, tr. Willard R. TRASK. *European Literature and the Latin Middle Ages*. London, 1953 with many reprints. ↗ *DI* 1.11.19 & 24, L’ theory of poetics on pp. 454f.
- CYTOWSKA, Maria. ‘Pieśń o bajecznym ptaku feniksie’ (‘A song about the mythological bird, the phoenix’), pp. 127-133 in Ignacy LEWANDOSKY & Kazimierz LIMAN, eds., *Litteris Vivere: księga pamiątkowa ofiarowana Profesorowi Andrzejowi Wójcikowi*. Poznań, 1996. Σ *APh* 73 (2002) 362 #03480: Claudian’s *Carm. Min.* 1 and Ovid *Met.* 15.391-407 compared to *AP*.
- D’ACCINNI, Augusta. ‘La data della salita al trono di Diocleziano,’ *Rivista di Filologia Classica* 76 (1948) 244-56. Σ *APh* 19 (1948) 207. ↗ *MP* 17.1
- DALFERTH, Ingolf U. *Malum: theologische Hermeneutik des Bösen*. Tübingen, 2010; xv + 593 pp. with index. ↗ Discussion of the ancient philosophers plus the Gnostics, L, Plotinus, and Augustine.
- ® F. RICKEN, *Theologie und Philosophie* 85.2 (2010) 312-314; C. J. AMOR, *Zeitschrift für Katholische Theologie* 132.1 (2010) 98-100.
- DALPANE, Francesco. ‘Se Arnobio sia stato un epicureo. Lucrezio e gli apologeti cristiani, Minucio Felice, Tertulliano, Cipriano, Lattanzio,’ *Rivista di Storia Antica* 10.2 (1906) 403-435 & 11.2 (1907) 222-236.
- DAMMIG, Johannes. *Die Divinæ institutiones des Laktanz und ihre Epitome. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte und Technik der Epitomierung*. Münster, 1957 (dissertation).
- DANIÉLOU, Jean. ‘La typologie millénariste de la semaine dans le christianisme primitif,’ *Vigilie Christianæ* 2 (1948) 1-16. Σ *APh* 19 (1946) 243. ↗ On p. 14-16, *DI* 7.14 & 24 and *OD* 1.
- DARHO, Antonio [= Frater Antonius Raudensis]. *De Lactantii erratis Dialogi tres*. [Milan?], 1443. ↗ Found in the early editions, often with a rebuttal by ADAMUS GENUENSIS.
- DAVIES, P. S. ‘The origin and purpose of the persecution of A.D. 303,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 40 (1989) 66-94. Σ *APh* 60 (1989) 681 #10789. ↗ Pp. 66-89 on L’ attributing Diocletian’s persecution to Galerius (*MP* 10.6-11.8).
- DECHEINT, Hermann. ‘Über die Echtheit des Phönix von Lactantius,’ *Rheinisches Museum N.F.* 35 (1880) 39-55.
- DE DECKER, Daniel. ‘Le *De mortibus persecutorum* attribué à Lactance: Prolégomènes,’ a presentation summarized in *Revue Belge de Philologie et d’Histoire* 48 (1970) 941-942. ↗ Proposal for a statistical study; see below, ‘Lactance.’
- _____. ‘Le Discours à l’assemblée des saints attribué à Constantin et l’œuvre de Lactance,’ pp. 75-89 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. Σ *APh* 49 (1978) 198 #2637.
- _____. ‘Lactance. L’apport d’une concordance automatique appliquée aux études patristiques,’ pp. 71f in Elizabeth A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 15, part 1 of the Proceedings of the

International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1975. Berlin, 1984 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 128). ↗ A computerized concordance of Lactantius may help solve the question of the authenticity of *MP* on grounds of style and diction.

DE LABRIOLLE, Pierre Champagne, & Gustave BARDY. *Histoire de la Littérature Latine Chrétienne*.³ Paris, 1947. ↗ Book II, ch. IV, §§ VII-XIII on L (pp. 282-318); Book III, ch. IV, §3 on *AP* (pp. 477-9).

_____. *History and Literature of Christianity from Tertullian to Boethius*, tr. Herbert WILSON. London & New York, 1924. ↗ Book II, ch. IV, §§ VII-XIII on L (pp. 199-219); Book III, ch. IV, §3 on *AP* (pp. 320f).

DELARUELLE, Étienne. ‘La conversion de Constantin. État de la question,’ *Bulletin de Littérature Ecclésiastique* 54 (1953) 37-54 & 84-100. ∑ *APh* 24 (1953) 500. ↗ *MP* on pp. 37-40, 42f, 85-7, 89, 92-5.

DE LESTOCQ, Nicolaus. *Disquisitio de auctore libri cui titulus Lucii Cæcilius de mortibus persecutorum qui Firmiano Lactantio tribui solet*. *PL* 7 (1844) 157-76. ↗ Reply to LE NOURRY’s denial of L’ authorship of *MP* in his *Dissertatio*.

DE ROOY, Antonius. *Spicilegia critica*. Dordrecht, 1771. ↗ In ch. 7, pp. 34-41, notes on *MP* 7, 11, 17, 28, 31, 33, 38, 40, 44; in ch. 24, pp. 170f on *AP*; N.B. *omissa et corrigenda* at end of volume.

DELBEY, E. L’élégie *De ave phœnix*: une poétique nouvelle de la métamorphose pour une esthétique du *decus* chez Lactance,’ *Revue des Études Latines* 76 (1998) 216-225. ∑ *Ibid.*, p. 216, in French and Latin; *APh* 69 (1998) 248 #02674. ↗ Thematic connections between *AP* and Latin elegiac poetry.

DELLA VECCHIA, Emma. ‘Conversione di Lattanzio,’ *Atti del reale Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere, e Arte* 86 (1926-27) 653-62. ∑ *APh* 4 (1929) 55. ↗ Examines the biographical tradition of L concerning his conversion to Christianity.

DEL TON, Giuseppe. ‘Monotheismi sensus apud antiquos ethnicos,’ *Latinitas* 3 (1955) 275-9. ∑ *APh* 26 (1955) 453.

DENK, J. ‘Pseudolaktanz, *Carmen de Passione Domini* — das Machwerk eines italienischen Humanisten aus dem 15. Jahrhundert?’ *Theologische Revue* 5 (1906) 382f. ↗ *Bruta* at PD 16, previously argued to prove humanist authorship, is in fact found in Avitus.

DE PANIZZA LORCH, Maristella. *A Defense of Life: Lorenzo Valla’s Theory of Pleasure*. Munich, 1985. ↗ Pp. 61-72 on L, Antonio DA RHO, and Valla.

DE RUYT, Franz. ‘L’idée du «bivium» et le symbole pythagoricien de la lettre Y,’ *Revue Belge de Philologie et d’Histoire* 10 (1931) 137-44. ↗ *DI* 6.3.6 on p. 138.

DE REGIBUS, Luca. ‘La fedeltà storica di Lattanzio nel *de Mort. Persec.*,’ *Atti dell’Accademia Ligure di Scienze e Lettere* 5(1948) 221-30. ↗ Two arguments pro.

DE SALVO, Lietta. ‘A proposito della datazione del *De mortibus persecutorum* di Lattanzio,’ *Rivista di Storia della Chiesa in Italia* 31 (1977) 482-484. ∑ *APh* 48 (1977) 192 #2448. ↗ *MP* 52-3; bibliography on the state of the question, p. 483 n. 2.

DE VADIS [DU WES], Aegidius. ‘Lactantius de phenice ad lectorem,’ ff 13v-16 of Trinity College Cambridge ms. 1399 (15th cent.). ↗ Alchemical commentary on *AP*; title on f 3v. See vol. 1, p. 329, of Dorothea Waley Singer, *Catalogue of Latin and Vernacular Alchemical Manuscripts in Great Britain and Ireland dating before the XVI century*. Brussels, 1928.

DIETERICH, Albrecht. *NEKYIA. Beiträge zur Erklärung der neuentdeckten Petrusapokalypse*.² Berlin & Leipzig, 1913. ↗ P. 192 on the symbol Y and *DI* 6.3.

- DIGESER, Elizabeth DePalma. ‘Casinensis 595, Parisinus Lat. 1664, Palatino-Vaticanus 161 and the *Divine Institutes*’ second edition,’ *Hermes* 127 (1999) 75-98. Σ APh 70 (1999) 281f #02828. ↗ Examines mss. of the longer and shorter versions of *DI* to confirm that the longer is chronologically later, and suggest a stemma for *DI* rather simpler than those previously proposed.
- _____. ‘Lactantius and Constantine’s Letter to Arles: Dating the Divine Institutes,’ *Journal of Early Christian Studies* 2.1 (1994) 33-52. ↗ Argues for dating *DI*¹ to 310 and *DI*² to 313, with the result that *DI* 1.1.6 can be confirmed as influencing Constantine’s letter to the Synod of Arles in 313.
- _____. ‘Lactantius and the “Edict of Milan”: does it Determine his Venue?’ pp. 287-95 in Elizabeth. A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 31, part 3 of the Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Patristics Studies, Oxford, 1995. Leuven, 1997. ↗ Rebuts five arguments that L was in Nicomedia in 311-313, so as to suggest he lived at Constantine’s western capital 310-313.
- _____. *Lactantius, Constantine, and the Roman Res Publica*. Ph.D. thesis, 1996, University of California at Santa Barbara. Σ *Dissertations Abstracts* 57.9 (1996-7) 4075A-4076A. Microform: University Microfilms International #AAC 9704191
- _____. ‘Lactantius, Porphyry, and the Debate over Religious Toleration,’ *Journal of Roman Studies* 88 (1998) 129-146. Σ APh 69 (1998) 248 #02675. ↗ Identifies the hostile philosopher of *DI* 5.2.3-11 with Porphyry of Tyre, and his three books with Porphyry’s *Philosophy from Oracles*; *DI* conceived as a response to arguments against toleration in that work. Reworked and supplemented to provide chapter 4 of the following.
- _____. ‘Lactantius, Eusebius, and Arnobius: Evidence for the Causes of the Great Persecution,’ pp. 33-46 in Frances YOUNG, Mark EDWARDS, and Paul PARVIS, eds., *Studia Patristica* 39: *Papers presented at the Fourteenth International Conferences on Patristic Studies held in Oxford* 2003. Leuven, 2006. ↗ MP 10-12; *DI* 3.30, 5.2, 5.19.
- _____. *The Making of a Christian Empire: Lactantius and Rome*. Ithaca and London, 2000, xv + 199 pp. with index. ↗ Development of legal and religious uniformity in imperial administration from Augustus to Diocletian; L’ critique of Diocletian’s regime and legal reforms; L’ suggestions for a regime based upon monotheism and Cicero’s *ius naturale*; L’ attempt to cast Christianity in terms comprehensible to Neoplatonist thinking; L’ plea, against Porphyry, for religious tolerance; L’ influence upon Constantine’s religious policy of tolerance. Bibliography (pp. 177-195) and index.
- ⑧ TROUT, *Church History* 69.4 (2000) 869-871; ODAHL, *Catholic Historical Review* 87.3 (2001) 479-481 (http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/catholic_historical_review/v087/87.3odahl.html); BARNES, *Journal of Ecclesiastical History* 52.1 (2001) 109f; EDWARDS, *American Historical Review* 107.2 (2002) 587f; FREUND, *Pleklos* 5 (2003) 55-62, available at <http://www.pleklos.uni-muenchen.de/2003/rakta.html> & [~.pdf](#); Oliver NICHOLSON, *Journal of Roman Studies* 96 (2006) 307-9.
- _____. ‘An oracle of Apollo at Daphne and the Great Persecution,’ *Classical Philology* 99.1 (2004) 57-77. Σ APh 75 (2004) 1131 #10261a. ↗ MP 10-15 and Eusebius *Vita Constantini* 2.48-60.
- _____. ‘Porphyry, Julian, or Hierokles? The Anonymous Hellene in Makarios Magnes’ *Apokritikos*,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* n.s. 53 (2002) 466-502. ↗ The anonymous Hellene identified as L’ Hierocles (*DI* 5.2.12, MP 16.4).
- _____. ‘Porphyry, Lactantius, and the Paths to God,’ pp. 521-8 in M. F. WILES and E. J. YARNOLD, eds., *Studia Patristica* 34: *Papers presented at the Thirteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford* 1999. Leuven, 2001. ↗ The notion of ritual

- sacrifice as a path towards salvation in pagan and Christian thinking on the verge of Diocletian's Great Persecution; similarities and divergences in the thought of Porphyry and L.
- DILLER, Hans. 'In Sachen Tertullian–Minucius Felix,' *Philologus* 90 (1935) 98-114 & 216-239. ↗ Pp. 103-113 on L and the text *Quod idola dei non sint*.
- DiMAIO, MICHAEL, Jörn ZEUGE, and Natalia ZOTOV. 'Ambiguitas Constantiniana. The *cælesti signum Dei* of Constantine the Great,' *Byzantium* 58 (1988) 333-60. Σ APh 59 (1988) 753 #11657.
- DIMIER, Frère Marie-Anselme 'La lettre de Pythagore et les hagiographes du moyen âge, *Le Moyen Age* 60 (1954) 403-418. Σ APh 26 (1955) 151. ↗ Pp. 404-6 on DI 6.3.5-17.
- DOCHHORN, Jan. 'Zur Entstehungsgeschichte der Religion bei Euhemerus: mit einem Ausblick auf Philo von Byblos,' *Zeitschrift für Religions- und Geistesgeschichte* 53.4 (2001) 289-301. Σ APh 72 (2001) 204 #72-01875. ↗ DI 1.11.65 and the *Epitome of Diodorus* transmitted by Eusebius (*Præp. Evang.* 2.2.52-62) prove Euhemerus was no atheist, as L portrayed him, but a positive and evolutionary religious thinker.
- DODWELL, Henry. 'Dissertatio de Ripa Striga,' cols. 175-82 of *PL* 7. ↗ On MP 17.4. First in 1684 Oxford; also 1692/3 Utrecht, vol. II pp. 331ff, LEBRUN & LENGLLET-DUFRESNOY's edition, vol. II (Paris, 1748), pp. lxiv ff.
- _____, Étienne BALUZE, & Nicolaus LENGLLET-DUFRESNOY. 'Chrologia persecutionum item chronologia Diocletiana,' cols. 181-90 of *PL* 7. ↗ Covers A.D. 54-362 with some gaps.
- DOIGNON, Jean. 'Encore sur Ambroise de Milan, Lactance et la *Consolation de Cicéron*,' *Annale della Facoltà di Lettere, Philosophia, e Magistero della Università di Cagliari* 6 (1985[1987]) 155-8. Σ APh 59 (1988) 11 #140.
- _____. 'L'extrait du fragment 10 (MÜLLER) de la *Consolation de Cicéron* chez Augustin, *Cité de Dieu* 22.20. Sa transmission par Lactance,' pp. 400-408 in Cornelius MAYER and Karl Heinz CHELIUS, eds., *Homo Spiritalis. Festgabe für Luc VERHEIJEN OSA zu seinem 70. Geburtstag*. Würzburg, 1987 (Cassiciacum 38). ↗ DI 1.5.25 with its textual difficulties.
- _____. 'Lactance contre Salluste dans le prologue du *De Trinitate* de Saint Hilaire?' *Revue des Études Latines* 38 (1960) 116-121. Σ APh 31 (1960) 94. ↗ DI 1.1.
- _____. 'Lactance intermédiaire entre Ambroise de Milan et la *Consolation de Cicéron*?' *Revue des Études Latines* 51 (1973) 208-219. Σ APh 44 (1973) 10. ↗ DI 3.19.14.
- _____. 'Nos bons hommes de foi: Cyprien, Lactance, Victorin, Optat, Hilaire (Augustin, *De doctrina christiana* IV,40,61),' *Latomus* 22 (1963) 795-805. Σ APh 34 (1963) 32. ↗ Pp. 795-6 on Lactantius; 800-2 on DI 7.24-7-12 and Vergil's *Eclogæ* 4.
- _____. 'Le *placitum eschatologique* attribué aux Stoïciens par Lactance (*Institutions divines* VII, 20): un exemple de contamination de modèles littéraires,' *Revue de Philologie* 51 (1977) 43-55. Σ APh 48 (1977) 191f #2446. ↗ Also summarized on pp. 165-170 of J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*.
- _____. 'Le retentissement d'un exemple de la survie de Lactance, un texte des *Institutions divines* inspiré de Cicéron dans la lettre 104 d'Augustin,' pp. 297-306 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ↗ On Cicero's *Consolatio* at DI 3.19.6 & 7.20.6-7, and Augustine's *Epitulæ* 104.3.
- _____. 'La scène évangélique du Baptême de Jésus commentée par Lactance (*Divinæ Institutiones* 4, 15) et Hilaire de Poitiers (*In Matthæum* 2, 5-6),' pp. 63-73 of Jacques FONTAINE & Charles KANNENGIESSER, edd., *Epektasis: Mélanges patristiques offerts au Cardinal Jean DANIÉLOU*. Paris, 1972.

- DOMINGUEZ DEL VAL, Ursicino. ‘El senequismo de Lactancio,’ *Helmantica* 23 (1972) 289-323. ↗
 Seneca and stoicism in the Fathers, pp. 279-98; explicit and implicit citations of *DI*, *EDI*, *ID*, pp. 300-23.
- DÖLGER, Franz Joseph. *Sol Salutis. Gebet und Gesang im christlichen Altertum. Mit besonderer Rücksicht auf die Ostung in Gebet und Liturgie.* Münster, 1920 (Liturgiegeschichtliche Forschungen 4-5). ↗ Pp. 222f on the Phœnix; citations of *AP passim* (see Namenregister, p. 430).
- _____. ‘Sonne und Sonnenstrahl also Gleichnis in der Logostheologie des christlichen Altertums,’ pp. 271-90 in his *Antike und Christentum. Kultur- und religionsgeschichtliche Studien*, Band 1. Münster, 1929. ↗ P. 279 on *DI* 4.29.4f.
- DÖRRIE, Heinrich. ‘Platons Reisen zu fernen Völkern: zur Geschichte eines Motivs der Platon-Legende und zu seiner Neuwendung durch Laktanz,’ pp. 99-118 in W. DEN BOER *et al.*, eds., *Romanitas et Christianitas. Studia Iano Henrico WASZINK. a.d. VI kal. Nov. a. MCMLXIII XIII Lustra compleenti oblata.* Amsterdam, 1973. ↗ On *DI* 4.2.3-5, pp. 110-114 with notes on p. 118.
- D’ONOFRIO, Giulio. ‘Il parricidio di Cicerone: le metamorfosi della verità tra gli *Academica ciceroniani* e il *Contra Academicos* di Agostino (lettura di testi),’ pp. 207-236 in Maria BARBANTI, Giovanna GIARDINA, & Paolo MANAGANARO, eds., ENΩΣΙΣ ΚΑΙ ΦΙΛΙΑ = *Unione e amicizia: omaggio a Francesco ROMANO*. Catania, 2002. Σ *APh* 73 (2002) 153 #01521. ↗ Cicero’s probabilism in Lactantius and Augustine.
- DORNSEIFF, Franz. ‘Die sibyllinischen Orakel in der augusteischen Dichtung,’ pp. 43-51 in JOHANNES IRMSCHER *et al.*, eds., *Römische Literatur der augusteischen Zeit.* Berlin, 1960 (Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Schriften der Sektion für Altertumswissenschaft 22). Σ *APh* 32 (1961) 138. ↗ *DI* 7,24,11-14, *Oracula Sibyllina* 3.787-91 & 619-23; Vergil *Eclogæ* 4; Tibullus 2.5.
- DRÄGER, A. ‘Zu Lactantius,’ *Philologus* 27 (1868) 149. ↗ On *EDI* 25.4.
- DRAKE, Harold Allen. *Constantine and the Bishops: the Politics of Intolerance.* Baltimore, 2000; xx + 609 pp., preface, 12 chapters, appendix, end notes, bibliography of works cited pp. 541-597, index of names and subjects. ↗ Two main thrusts: Constantine’s political skills in creating “a stable consensus of Christians and pagans in favor of a religiously neutral public space”; and the “struggle within Christian ranks over the propriety of using the coercive powers of the state in support of their beliefs” (p. xv). L on pp. 115-8, 121, 141-6, 149-153, 158-160, 166, 179f, 196f, 202f, 207-212, 223, 226, 342f, 435.
- ⑧ BRAKKE, *Journal of Religion* 81.4 (2001) 650f; CHADWICK, *English Historical Review* 116 № 466 (2001) 448f; EDWARDS, *Classical Review* n.s. 51.1 (2001) 78f; POHLSANDER, *Catholic Historical Review* 87.1 (2001) 80f ; VAN DAM, *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 10 (2000).
- DRAPER, J. A. ‘Lactantius and the Jesus tradition in the *Didache*,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 40 (1989) 112-6. Σ *APh* 60 (1989) 200 #2954. ↗ *DI* 6.18.10-12 & *Did.* 1.3-2.1; *DI* 6.12.2 & *Did.* 1.3 & 4.7.
- DUCHESNE, MSGR Louis. *Histoire ancienne de l’Église.*² 3 vols., Paris, 1906-10. ↗ L in vol. 2, pp. 10-11 and 52-5. = *Early History of the Christian Church, from its Foundation to the End of the Fifth Century*, 3 vols. London, 1909-24, & New York, 1922. ↗ L in vol. 2, pp. 9 & 42-4.
- DUFOUR, J. *La Bibliothèque et le scriptorium de Moissac.* Genève & Paris, 1972. ↗ On the Paris B.N. lat. 2627 ms. of *MP*, pp. 28 & 129-31 (№ 5).
- DULAEY, Martine. ‘L’apprentissage de l’exégèse biblique par Augustin: 1, Dans les années 386-389,’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 48.2 (2002) 267-295. Σ *ibid.* 295 in French & English;

- APh* 73 (2002) 96 #00961. ☐ Pp. 289f on the influence of *ID* 2.8.8, 11, & 25-28 upon *De Genesi contra Manichæos* 1.5.9 & 1.6.10.
- DUNSCH, Boris. ‘*O vitae philosophia dux*: Ciceros Hymnus auf die Philosophie (Tusc. 5,5f.)’ *Der Altsprachliche Unterricht* 59.6 (2016) 8-19 with illustration. ☐ Linguistic characteristics of the hymn to philosophy at *Tusculans* 5.5, and the meaning of the concept ‘hymn’ as used in L’ discussion of *Tusculans* 5.5. at *DI* 3.13.14.
- DUVAL, Yves-Marie. ‘Sur la biographie et les manuscrits d’Arnobe de Sicca. Les informations de Jérôme , leurs sens et leurs sources possibles,’ *Latomus* 45 (1986) 69-99. Σ *APh* 57 (1986) 40 #601.
- EBERT, Adolf. ‘Über den Verfasser des Buches *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Berichte über die Verhandlungen der Königlich Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, Philologisch-historistche Classe* 22 (1870) 115-38. ☐ Decides in favor of Lactantian authorship on p. 133.
- EDWARDS, Mark Julian. ‘The Constantinian Circle and the *Oration to the Saints*,’ ch. 11, pp. 251-275, of his *Apologetics in the Roman Empire*. Oxford, 1999. ☐ *OadS* was composed in Latin and delivered at Rome in 314; connections with L, pp. 268-271.
- _____. ‘The Flowering of Latin Apologetic: Lactantius and Arnobius,’ ch. 9 on pp. 197-221 in Mark EDWARDS, Martin GOODMAN, Simon PRICE, and Christopher ROWLAND, edd., *Apologetics in the Roman Empire: Pagans, Jews, and Christians*. Oxford, 1999. Σ ☐ Arnobius and L treated in parallel as Roman rhetoricians defending Christianity in terms of personal virtue, secular society, and traditional morality.
- _____. ‘Porphyry and the Christians,’ pp. 111-126 in George KARAMANOLIS and Anne SHEPPARD, *Studies on Porphyry*. London, 2007, v + 183 pp with index (Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies, University of London, Supplement 98; the conference was held in July, 2004). ☐ Evidence for a tract by Porphyry against the Christians from Eusebius *Praeparatio Evangelica*, L *ID* 5.2, and Arnobius *De Viris Illustribus*.
- EGGER, Carl. ‘De Cæcilio Firmiano Lactantio Cicerone Christiano,’ *Latinitas* 1 (1953) 38-53. Σ *APh* 25 (1954) 100.
- EHLING, Kay. ‘Zu dem angeblichen Bündnis zwischen Maximinus Daia und Maxentius,’ *Jahrbuch für Numismatik un Geldgeschichte* 63 (2013) 173-185. ☐ The alleged alliance between Maximinus and Maxentius (*MP* 43.3, 44.1) was a fiction forged by Constantine.
- EIGL, A. *De temporum modorum generum verbi apud Lactantium*. Wien, 1911 (dissertation).
- ELSPERMANN, Gerard Leo. *The Attitude of the Early Christian Writers toward Pagan Literature and Learning*. Washington, 1949 (Catholic University of America Patristic Studies 82). ☐ On L pp. 67-100 (ch. 5).
- EMONDS, Hilarius, OSB. *Zweite Auflage in Altertum; Kulturgeschichtliche Studien zur Überlieferung der antiken Literatur*. Leipzig, 1941. ☐ Pp. 55-72 on the two editions of *DI*.
- ERBSE, Hartmut. *Fragmente griechischer Theosophien*. Hamburg, 1941. ☐ L and the Sibylls on pp. 3, 13f, 27f, 30, 40-53.
- FABBRI, Paolo. ‘Perché Lattanzio in *Div. Inst.* I, 5, 11-12 non cita la IV egloga di Virgilio,’ *Bollettino di Filologia Classica* 36 (1930) 274. Σ *APh* 5 (1930) 56.
- FÀBREGA, Valentin. ‘Die Chiliastische Lehre des Laktanz. Methodische und theologische Voraussetzungen und religionsgeschichtlicher Hintergrund,’ *Jahrbuch für Antike und Christentum* 17 (1974) 126-146. Σ *APh* 46 (1975) 185. ☐ L’ apologetical method and synthesis of millenary traditions; Pope GELASIUS’ condemnation of *DI* under *apocrypha* explained by its apocalyptic character.

- FABRICIUS, Johann Albert. *Delectus Argumentorum et Syllabus Scriptorum qui veritatem religionis Christianæ adversus Atheos, Epicureos, deistas seu naturalistas, idolatras, Judæos, et Mohammedanos*. Hamburg, 1725. ↗ Lactantian editions, translations, and scholarship on pp. 231-240.
- _____. Article ‘Lactantius,’ pp. 666-94 in vol.4 of *Bibliotheca Latina mediæ et infimæ ætatis*. Hamburg, 1735. ↗ Brief life and works, with a list of early editions, a few dissertations, and lost and spurious works.
- FAES DE MOTTONI, Barbara. ‘Lattanzio e gli Academicici,’ *Mélanges d’Archéologie et d’Histoire de l’École Française de Rome* 94 (1982) 335-377. Σ APh 53 (1982) 177 #2627.
- FALSTER, Christian. *Amœnitates Philologicæ sive Discursus varii*. Amsterdam, 1729-32 ↗ At Pars Prima, xxvi, pp. 111-118, ‘Conspectus errorum Lactantii in Theologia.’
- FARACI, Dora. ‘Sources and cultural background: the example of the Old English Phœnix,’ *Rivista di cultura classica e mediævale* 42.2 (2000) 225-239. Σ APh 71 (2000) 301 #02927. ↗ The Old English *Phœnix* and the *Physiologus* tradition; AP on pp. 225-9.
- FELD, M. D. ‘The Sibyls of Subiaco: Sweynheym and Pannartz and the *Editio princeps* of Lactantius,’ vol. I, pp. 301-316 in A. MORROGH, F. SUPERBI GIOFFREDI, P. MORSELLI, *et al.*, *Renaissance Studies in Honor of Craig Hugh Smith*, Florence 1985 (2 vols). ↗ Reasons behind L’ popularity among the Italian humanists.
- FERGUSON, John, revised & supplemented *post mortem* by Jackson HERSHBELL. ‘Epicureanism under the Roman Empire,’ pp. 2257-2327 of *Aufstieg und Niedergang der Römischen Welt*, II. *Principat*, vol. 36.4. Berlin & New York, 1990. ↗ Pp. 2312f on L.
- FERRERES, Lambert. ‘Presència de Virgili a Lactanci,’ pp. 147-152 in Secció Catalana de la Societat Espanyola d’Estudis Clàssics: *Studia Virgiliana. Actes del VIè simposi d’Estudis Clàssics, Barcelona, 11-13 de febrer del 1981*. Bellaterra, 1985. ↗ Cursory introduction.
- FERRINI, Contardo. ‘Contributi allo studio critico delle fonti del diritto Romano. Nota II. Le cognizioni giuridiche de Lattanzio, Arnobio e Minucio Felice,’ *Memorie della Regia Accademica di scienze, lettere, ed arti in Modena* SERIE 2, 10 (1894) 195-212. ↗ On pp. 195-203, legal language and information in various passages of DI. Reprinted in his *Opere*, vol. 2 (Milano, 1929) 467-79. ↗ L on pp. 467-73.
- _____. ‘Die juristischen Kenntnisse des Arnobius und des Lactantius,’ *Zeitschrift der Savigny-Stiftung für Rechtsgeschichte*, Romanistische Abteilung, 15 (1894) 343-352.
- _____. ‘Su le idee giuridiche contenute nei libri V e VI delle Istituzioni di Lattanzio,’ *Rivista Internazionale di scienze sociali e discipline ausiliare* Anno 2, vol. 5 (1894) 581-6. Reprinted in his *Opere*, vol. 2 (Milano, 1929) 482-6.
- FESSLER, Franz. *Benutzung der philosophischen Schriften Ciceros durch Laktanz*. Leipzig, 1913. ↗ Passages catalogued and commented upon.
- ⑧ *Deutsche Literaturzeitung für Kritik der Internationalen Wissenschaft* 35 (1914) 162; BRANDT, *Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift* 34 (1914) cols. 43-6; CLARK, *Classical Review* 28 (1914) 284f; P. DE L., *Revue Critique* 77 (1914) 310f; DE W., *Revue de Qumran* (1913) 139; HARLOFF, *Wochenschrift für Klassische Philologie* 31 (1914) 40; LENCHANTIN DE GUBERNATIS, *Rivista di Filologia e d’Istruzione classica* 42 (1914) 390f; LEJAY, *Revue de Philologie* 38 (1914) 131f; LUTZ, *Zeitschrift für die österreichischen Gymnasien* 65 (1914) 602; PEASE, *Classical Philology* 2 (1917) 103f; WEYMAN, *Historisches Jahrbuch* 35 (1914) 236 and *Litterarisches Zentralblatt* 65 (1914) 890f; WILDE, *Museum: Maandblad voor Philologie en Geschiedenis* 22 (1915) 21f.
- FFOULKES, Edmund S. Article ‘Lactantius,’ vol. 3 (1882), cols. 613-617 of Sir William SMITH & Henry WACE, eds., *A Dictionary of Christian Biography, Literature, Sects, and Doctrine*. 4 vols., London, 1877-87.

- FISCHER, J. ‘Die Einheit der beiden Testamente bei Laktanz, Viktorin von Pettau, und deren Quellen,’ *Münchener theologische Zeitschrift* 1.3 (1950) 96-101.
- FISCHER, Klaus Dietrich. ‘Der Weg des Urins bei Asklepiades von Bythinien und in der Schrift *De Opificio Dei* des Kirchenvaters Lactantius,’ pp. 43-53 in Guy SABBAH, ed., *Médecins et médecine dans l'antiquité*. Saint-Étienne, 1982 (Mémoires du Centre Jean Palerne 3). Σ APh 53 (1982) 776 #13120. ↗ Source of *OD* 11.18-20.
- FISHER, Arthur L. ‘Lactantius’ Ideas Relating Christian Truth and Christian Society,’ *Journal of the History of Ideas* 43 (1982) 355-377. Σ APh 53 (1982) 177 #2628. ↗ “An attempt to see some contours of what was thinkable for a converted intellectual layman between perhaps 303 and 310” (p. 357).
- FLEISCHMANN, Petra. ‘Die *praefatio* zum Aeneiskommentar des Servius und die Tradition der Auslegung,’ pp. 59-114 of Ulrich SCHMITZER, ed., *Suus cuique mos: Beiträge zur paganen Kultur des lateinischen Westens im 4. Jahrhundert n. Chr.* Göttingen, 2006 (Vertumnus 1). ↗ L’ Christian critique of the Roman heroes, *DI* 5.10.
- FOEGEN, Marie T. ‘Sacrificium Intellectus,’ pp. 123-130 in Matthias KRIEG and Martin ROSE, eds., *Universitas in Theologia—Theologia in Universitate: Festschrift für Hans Heinrich Schmidt zum 60. Geburtstag*. Zürich, 1997.
- FONDERMAN, Philipp. ‘Kaiserbilder. 1, Konstantin bei Eusebius und Laktanz,’ *Der altsprachliche Unterricht: Latein, Griechisch* 50.3 (2007) 12-20 with illustrations. ↗ The numerous contradictory images of Constantine that developed in Christian literature from the time of Eusebius and L.
- FONTAINE, Jacques. *Aspects et problèmes de la prose d'art latine au III^e siècle: la genèse des styles latins chrétiens*. Torino, 1968. ↗ L’ judgment of his predecessors on Ciceronian norms, pp. 150-153.
- _____. *Isidore de Séville et la culture classique dans l'espagne wisigothique*. 2 vols. Paris 1959; 2^{me} ed. rev. et corr., 3 vols., Paris 1983. ↗ Discussion of many passages; see Index locorum.
- _____. *Naissance de la poésie dans l'Occident Chrétien: esquisse d'une histoire de la poésie latine chrétienne du III^e au Vie siècle*. Paris, 1981 (Études augustinianes). ↗ Pp. 53-66 on *AP*; p. 66 on the bronze medallion of A.D. 324.
- _____. ‘Permanencia y mutaciones de los géneros literarios clásicos, de Tertuliano a Lactancio,’ pp. 126-136 in vol 2 of *Actas del III Congreso español de estudios clásicos (Madrid, 1966)*. Madrid, 1968. Σ APh 39 (1968) 327. ↗ Use of such terms as “late antiquity,” “classical Latin” and “Christian Latin” wrongly suggest disruption rather than evolution in the history of the language. Pp. 131 on *MP* as an example of the classical theme of the death of Θεομάχοι.
- _____. ‘Un «paradis» encore bien classique: le prélude du poème *De aue Phœnix* (v. 1-29),’ pp. 177-192 in Jean GRANAROLO & Michèle BIRAUD, eds., *Hommage à René BRAUN II: Autour de Tertullien*. Nice & Paris, 1990 (Publications de la Faculté des Lettres et Sciences Humaines de Nice 56.2). ↗ To correct the notion that the prelude to *AP* is largely biblically inspired, the author demonstrates connections with Vergil, Seneca, Ovid, the *Ciris*, Lucretius, and Catullus.
- _____. Review of Marialuise WALLA SCHUSTER, *Der Vogel Phönix*. *Gnomon* 45 (1973) 208-10.
- _____. and Michel PERRIN, eds. *Lactance et son Temps: Recherches actuelles*. Paris, 1978 (Actes du IV^e Colloque d’Études historiques et patristiques, Chantilly, 21-23 septembre 1976; Collection Théologie historique 48).

® INGREMÉAU, *Revue des Études Latines* 57 (1979) 578f; MARTIN, *Nouvelle Revue Théologique* 101 (1979) 93; OGILVIE, *Journal of Theological Studies* 30 (1979) 329-31; SPEIGL, *Theologische Revue* 75 (1979) 375f. ☐ See also M. SPANNEUT, 'Lactance aujourd'hui.'

FORTI, Giorgio. 'La concezione pedagogica in Lattanzio,' *Helikon* 1 (1961) 622-644. Σ *APh* 32 (1961) 117. ☐ L' apologetics based on an educational concept.

FOWDEN, Garth. *The Egyptian Hermes: a historical approach to the late pagan mind*. Cambridge et al., 1986; revised edition, Princeton, 1993. ☐ L' Hermetica on pp 205-11; on 38f, the *Asclepius* at *DI* 7.15-16 & *EDI* 66.3.

FRÄNKEL, Eduard. 'Additional Note on the Prose of Ennius,' *Eranos* 49 (1951) 50-56 = *Kleine Beiträge zur Klassischen Philologie* (Rome 1964) 53-58. ☐ On the fragments of Ennius' translation of Euhemerus' *'Ιερὰ ἀναγραφή'* at *DI* 1.13.14-14.2; supplement to LAUGHTON 'Prose.'

FRANCESCHINI, Edoardo [Frater Eduardus à San Xaverio]. *Apparatus ad novam L. Cæli Firmiani Lactanti operum editionem*. Roma, 1751. ☐ A prospectus of his new edition, including the first two dissertations of the eventual publication as below:

_____. *In omnia L. Cæli Lactantii Firmiani opera dissertationum præviarum Decas prima*. Roma, 1754. ☐ Preface (pp. 1-24), annotated index (pp. 25f), Proem (27-31). *Dissertationes*: 1. 'De Lactantii Firmiani denominationibus' (32-49); 2. 'De Lucii Cæli Lactantii Firmiani Patria, parentibus, atque consanguineis' (49-145), with appendix (145-207); 3. 'De Sæculo quo Lactantius floruit' (207-215); 4. 'De Lactantii adolescentia, iunventute, senio, studiis, atque muneribus' (216-227); 5. 'De Scriptis, quæ sub Lactantii Firmiani nomine circumferuntur' (228-31); 6. 'De Lactantii Libello, qui *de Opificio Dei* inscribitur' (232-44); 7. 'De Septem *Divinarum Institutionum* libris a Lactantio conscriptis' (245-314); 8. 'An Lactantius Lib. IV cap. ult. inter Hæreticos sui temporis etiam Arianos recensuerit?' (314-20); 9. 'Quo sensu Ariani inter Hæreticos a Lactantio sint recensiti' (321-35); 10. 'Quid de Constantini Nomine in Lactantii *Institutionum* libris dicendum sit contra Isæum, et Gallæum' (336-56). This and the next volume are extraodinarily elaborate, with diagrams and facsimiles of coins, inscriptions, buildings, etc.

_____. *In omnia L. Cæli Lactantii Firmiani opera dissertationum præviarum Decas Secunda*. Roma, 1757. ☐ Annotated Index. *Dissertationes*: 11. 'De Epitome *Divinarum Institutionum*, olim acephala, nunc autem minima in parte mutilata, ac in singulari Taurinensi Ms. Codice reperta' (1-25); 12. 'De Libello, qui inscribitur: *De Ira Dei ad Donatum*' (26-39); 13. 'De Singulari libro, cui titulus: Lucii, aut Lycii Cæcilii *de Mortibus Persecutorum ad Donatum Confessorem*' (39-189, in four chapters); 14. 'De *Symposio* ab Heumanno edito, ac Lactantio Firmiano redditio et iure optimo vindicato' (189-228); 15. 'De aliis poematibus Lactantio tributis' (228-56 on *AP*, 256-65 on *De Passione Domini*); 16. 'De quibusdam Lactantii Operibus iamdiu deperditis, et frustra hactenus conquisitis' (266-71); 17. 'De operibus a Lactantio pollicitis, falso adscriptis, seu sub eius nomine circumlatis' (241-79); 18. 'De Lactantii stylo, et proprio oratiois Charactere' (279-319, comparing L and Cicero); 19. 'Clarissimorum virorum de Lactantio Elogia' (319-34); 20. Summorum Pontificum, ac Sanctorum Patrum de Lactantii Doctrina Censuræ. Infelicium quorundam Criticorum judicia, et maledicta' (331-44).

FRATI, Lodovico. 'Indice dei codici latini conservati nella Reale Bibilioteca Università di Bologna,' *Studi italiani di filologia classica* 16 (1908) 204-206. ☐ On PD ms. U (Bologna 401).

FREDOUILLE, Jean-Claude. 'Lactance historien des religions,' pp. 237-252 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ☐ Vindicates L' clarity, balance, and methodology in religious historiography.

_____. 'Pascal lecteur de Lactance?' pp. 393-403 in Emmanuel BURY & Bernard MEUNIER, eds., *Les Pères de l'église au XVII^e siècle*. Paris, 1993 (Actes du colloque de Lyon, 2-5 octobre

- 1991). Σ *APh* 64 (1993) 253 #3231. ☛ With ideas of Pascal, compares *DI* 2.3.12-25 on three classes of persons distinguished by degrees of knowledge and wisdom; also *DI* 3.4.12-14, 3.6.2, 3.15.14.
- FREND, William High Clifford. ‘Some North African turning points in Christian apologetics,’ *Journal of Ecclesiastical History* 57.1 (2006) 1-15. ☛ Apologists from North Africa, including Tertullian, Minucius Felix, Arnobius, and L, and the part they played in the Church’s developing attempt to demonstrate the superiority of Christianity.
- FREPPEL, Msgr Charles Émile, bishop of Angers. *Commodien, Arnobe, Lactance, et autres fragments inédits*. Paris, 1893. ☛ Collection of interpretive essays; L on pp. 94-148.
- FREUND, Stefan. ‘Chrysipp und die ἀποκατάστασις: ‘Beobachtungen zu Text, Zusammenhang, Überlieferungsgeschichte und Rezeption von *SVF* II 623 (= *Lact. inst.* 7,23,3),’ *Rheinisches Museum* 149.1 (2006) 51-64. ☛ Textual criticism of these passages.
- _____. ‘Christian use and valuation of theological oracles: the case of Lactantius’ *Divine Institutes*,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 60.3 (2006) 269-284 (summary in English). ☛ Discussion of the oracles at *ID* 23.12, and *DI* 1.7.1, 1.7.10, 4.13.11, & 7.13.5f; argument against the theory that L is responding to Pophyry of Tyre’s *De Philosophia ex oraculis exhaustienda*.
- _____. ‘Lactantiana quædam recentiora: sieben neue Bücher über Laktanz aus den Jahren 1999 bis 2002’ *Plekos* 5 (2003) 49-73, available at <http://www.plekos.uni-muenchen.de/2003/rakta.html> & [~pdf](#). ☛ Reviews of WINGER *Personalität* (pp. 49-55), DIGESER *Making* (55-62), TJULENEV *Laktanzij* (62-6), HECK/SCHICKLER *Lactantius* (66f), FRIEDRICH *Symposium* (67-9), LASZLO *Dichtungen* (69), and LöW *Hermes* (70-73), *q.v.* elsewhere in this Part IV; but for HECK/SCHICKLER, see in Part III.
- _____. ‘Lactanz und die epikureische Seelenlehre,’ pp. 379-384 in Frances YOUNG, Mark EDWARDS, and Paul PARVIS, edd., *Studia Patristica* 42: *Papers presented at the Fourteenth International Conferences on Patristic Studies held in Oxford* 2003. Leuven, 2006. ☛ L’ rebuttal at *DI* 7.12 of Epicurean arguments derived from Lucretius *De Rerum Natura* 3.
- _____. ‘Lactanz und die Johannesoffenbarung,’ pp. 45-52 in Jane AND , Averil CAMERON, Mark EDWARDS, edd, *Studia Patristica* 46: *Papers presented at the Fifteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford* 2007. Leuven and Paris, 2010. ☛ The chiliastic eschatology used by L at the end of *DI* in relation to the Book of Revelations of St. John.
- _____. ‘Tertullian bei Laktanz,’ pp. 185-203 in Jérôme Lagouanère and Sabine Fialon, edd., «*Tertullianus Afer*»: *Tertullien et la littérature chrétienne d’Afrique* (Instrumenta Patristica et Mediævalia 70). Turnhout: Brepols 2015. ☛ Two mentions of Tertullian by L at *DI* 5.1.23 & 28.
- FRIEDRICH, Anne. *Das Symposium der XII sapientes: Kommentar und Verfasserfrage*. Berlin & New York, 2002 (Texte und Kommentare 22). ☛ Introduction, text history, text and commentary of this collection of 143 epigrams (*Anth. Lat.* 495-638 Riese²), discussion of genre and authorship, bibliography (pp. 511-517), indices of passages and topics. Pp. 481-508 on identifying this text with the *Symposium* of L mentioned by Jerome (*De Viris Illustribus* 80).
- ® FELGENTREU, *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 12 (200) [no page numbers]; FREUND, *Plekos* 5 (2003) 67-9, available at <http://www.plekos.uni-muenchen.de/2003/rakta.html> & [~pdf](#); SCHMITZ, *Gymnasium* 111.1 (2004) 67f.
- FRÖHNER, W. ‘Kritische Studien,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 47 (1892) 291-311. ☛ P. 303 on *AP* 99f; also treats authenticity of *AP* (cf. Brandt ‘Entstehungsverhältnisse’ 131f and ‘Zum Phönix’). P. 303 on *AP* 97-100.
- FROTSCHER, Paul Gotthold. *Des Apologeten Lactantius Verhältnis zur griechischen Philosophie*. Leipzig, 1895 (dissertation).

- FUCHS, Harald. *Der geistige Widerstand gegen Rom in der antiken Welt*. Berlin, 1938 and 1964. ↗ Pp. 30-35 and 37f on Lactantius.
- GAGÉ, Jean. ‘Comment Sapor a-t-il «triomphé» de Valérien?’ *Syria* 42 (1965) 343-388. Σ APh 37 (1966) 594. ↗ MP 5 on the fate of Valerian: pp. 355-7, 367f, 385f.
- GAGER, John G. ‘Marcion and philosophy,’ *Vigiliae Christianae* 26 (1972) 53-9. Σ APh 43 (1972) 198 #02476. ↗ ID 13.20f on p. 56, witnessing the Epicurean argument that the existence of evil in the world proves that God is weak or evil.
- GALDI, Marco. ‘Ad versum 163 «De ave Phœnix» carminis quod Lactantii fertur,’ *Bollettino di Filologia Classica* 33 (1916-27) 203-5. Σ APh 3(1928) 45.
- GALLETIER, Édouard. ‘La mort de Maximien d’après le panégyrique de 310 et la vision de Constantin au temple d’Apollon,’ *Revue des Études Anciennes* 52 (1950) 288-99. Σ APh 21 (1950) 302. MP 29f.
- GALYNINA, Irina. ‘Accessus ad Lactantium?: zur handschriftlichen Überlieferung der Werke des Lactanz und zur Exzertmethode im Mittelalter.1,’ *Revue d’Histoire des Textes* N.S. 12 (2017) 161-196, with resumés in French and English. ↗ Analysis of 60 codices witnessing L, of which the two most ancient reveal that this tradition began in Benedictine monasteries in the diocese of Arras.
- GARAUD, C. ‘Remarques sur la thème des ruines dans la littérature chrétienne,’ *Phœnix* 20 (1966) 148-158. Σ APh 37 (1966) 350. ↗ DI 4.18.32 on p. 150.
- GARCÍA GARCÍA, María Olalla. ‘¿Barbarie o propaganda oficial?: la captura de Valeriano,’ pp. 83-87 in José Francisco GONZÁLEZ CASTRO & José Luis VIDAL, edd., *Actas del X congreso español de estudios clásicos (21-25 de septiembre de 1999)*, vol. 3: *Historia antigua, humanismo, tradición clásica, didáctica, «instrumenta studiorum»*. Madrid, 2002. Σ APh 74 (2003) 379 #03414. ↗ DI and *Historia Augusta* contrasted.
- GARDEI, Jean. *Collection des fleurs de Lactance Firmian, contenant sentences tres-belles*. 8° [Imprint?] ↗ According to PL 6, p. 109, it is virtually identical with Becon’s *Anthologia*.
- GAREAU, Étienne. ‘*Bene et vere loqui*: Lactance et la conception cicéronienne de l’orateur idéal,’ *Revue des Études Latines* 55 (1977) 192-202. Σ APh 48 (1977) 192 #2447. ↗ Pp. 196-202 on Lactantius.
- GARFAGNINI, Gian Carlo. ‘Da Seneca a Giovanni di Salisbury. *Auctoritates morali e vitae philosophorum* in un ms. trecentesco,’ *Rinascimento* 20 (1980) 201-47. Σ APh 52 (1981) 189 #2732. ↗ Edition of the treatise *De divisione et laude philosophie que ad mores pertinet* (Biblioteca Nazionale di Firenze, Conv. sopp. G.4.1111), which shows much dependence on Lactantius; see textual notes, pp. 239-43.
- GARNSEY, Peter. ‘Lactantius and Augustine,’ ch. 8, pp. 153-180 in Alan K. BOWMAN, Hannah M. COTTON *et al*, edd., *Representations of Empire: Rome and the Mediterranean World*. Oxford, 2002 (Proceedings of the British Academy 114). ↗ Compares the thought of L and Augustine on using pagan authorities in apologetics, the unity of true wisdom and true religion, the idea of the final good, the concept of virtue, and (rather more divergently) political thought.
- _____ ‘Lactantius and Augustine,’ pp. 153-179 of Alan K. BOWMAN *et al.*, ed. *Representations of Empire: Rome and the Mediterranean World* (Oxford, 2002; papers from a conference held at London and Oxford, July 7-8, 2000). ↗ Comparison of passages shows Augustine’s knowledge of DI, L as a theorist of ethics, and a comparison between them.
- _____ & Caroline HUMFRESS. *The Evolution of the Late Antique World*. Cambridge, 2001; xv + 252 pp, 12 illustrations, table of dates, maps, ten chapters with endnotes, bibliographies pp. 228-246, index of names and subjects. ↗ A thematic approach concentrating on the 3rd to 5th

centuries; major themes: evolution of monarchy and civil service, system of law, social hierarchy, the barbarian other, the food supply, Christianity, morality and society, the fall of Rome. L on pp. 7, 18, 170, 176f, 179f, 192, 203-8.

® JACOBS, *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 8 (2001).

GARSTAD, Benjamin. ‘Belus in the «Sacred History» of Euhemerus,’ *Classical Philology* 99.3 (2004) 246-257. Σ APh 75 (2004) 205f #01808. ☐ DI 1.22.21-3 & Diodorus 6.1.1.

GATZEMEIER, Susanne. ‘Lukrezitat und -paraphrase bei Laktanz,’ pp. 155-173 in Ute TISCHER & Alexandra BINTERNAGEL, edd., *Fremde Rede — eigene Rede: Zitieren und verwandte Strategien in antiker Prosa*. Bern & Frankfurt am Main, 2010, 243 pp. with index: Acts of a Conference held at Potsdam in November, 2009. ☐ The 24 direct quotations and numerous indirect paraphrases and allusions found in L to Lucretius, showing that L considered Lucretius the principle source of Epicureanism, and profoundly understood the force of his poetic language.

GAUDEMEL, Jean. ‘Costantino e Lattanzio,’ *Labeo* 26 (1980) 401-5. ☐ Review article (in French) on AMARELLI ‘*Vetustas*.’

_____. *Le droit Romain dans la littérature occidentale chrétienne du III au V siècle*. Milan, 1978 (Ius Romanum Medii Aevi I.3.b). ☐ L in §§19-23, pp. 53-70.

_____. ‘Lactance et le droit romain,’ *Atti dell’Accademia romanistica costantiniana* 2. Perugia, 1976. ☐ Rivière ‘Constantin’ quotes p. 89 on L.

GAWLICK, Gunter. ‘Cicero in der Patristik,’ pp. 57-62 in F. L. CROSS, ed., *Studia Patristica* 9, part 3 of the Reports to the International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1963. Berlin, 1966 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 94). ☐ L on pp. 60f.

GEFFCKEN, Karl Heinrich Johannes. *Zwei griechische Apologeten*. Leipzig and Berlin, 1907 (Sammlung wissenschaftlicher Kommentare zur griechischen und römischen Schriftstellern). ☐ Pp. 291-5 on L as apologist; p. 90 on DI 6.12.25 & 29 about the charity of burying the dead.

GELASIUS, Pope. *Decretum de libris recipiendis et non recipiendis*, ed. Ernst von DOBSCHÜTZ. Leipzig, 1912 (Texte und Untersuchungen 38.4. ☐ L’ *opuscula* condemned at 5.7.

GELZER, Matthias. ‘Der Urheber der Christerverfolgung von 303,’ pp. 378-86 in vol. 2 (1963) of his *Kleine Schriften*, 3 vols. Wiesbaden, 1962-4. ☐ On MP.

GENNARO, Salvatore. ‘Il classicismo di Lattanzio nel *De aue phœnicio*,’ *Miscellanea di Studi di Letteratura cristiana antica*, Catania 9 (1959) 1-18, = pp. 337-356 in *Convivium Dominicum: Studi sull’Eucarestia nei Padri della Chiesa antica e Miscellanea patristica*. Catania, 1959. Σ APh 30 (1959) 97.

® Fontaine, *Latomus* 20 (1961) 592f.

GEORGES, Karl Ernst. ‘Vermischte Bemerkungen,’ *Philologus* 32 (1873) 91. ☐ On MP 43.5.

GERET, Johann Georg. *Exercitatio historico-literaria qua variorum de Lactantio eiusque theologia iudicia exhibet M. Ioannes Georgius Geret*. Wittenberg, 1722. ☐ Critique of L’ theology.

GERHARDT, Christoph. ‘Der Phönix auf dem dürren Baum (*Historia de Preliis*, cap. 106),’ pp. 73-108 of Wolfgang HARMS & Heino REINITZER, edd., *Natura Loquax: Naturkunde und allegorische Naturdeutung vom Mittelalter bis zur frühen Neuzeit*. Frankfurt, Bern, & Cirencester, 1981 (Mikrokosmos 7). ☐ On p. 78, AP 63-70 about the phoenix in Paradise.

GERHARDT, Martin. *Das Leben und die Schriften des Lactantius*. Hamburg, 1924 (dissertation, Erlangen).

GIANCOTTI, Francesco. ‘Il preludio di Lucrezio, il trasposizionismo e Lattanzio,’ *Orpheus* N.S. 1.2 (1980) 221-250. Σ APh 51 (1980) 172 #2533; cf. CANFORA ‘Proemio’ above. ☐ ID 7.15-8.1 on pp. 224 & 238-50.

- GIBBONS, Thomas, D.D. *Objections against the application to the legislature for relief for Protestant dissenting ministers, and dissenting tutors and schoolmasters, dispassionately considered and obviated. To which are added the sentiments and observations of Lactantius, an excellent Christian writer in the fourth century...* London, 1773. ↗ A refutation of such objections, with a translation of *DI* 5.19 in a postscript, pp. 24-6.
- GIGON, Olof. ‘Lactantius und die Philosophie,’ pp. 196-213 in A. M. RITTER, ed., *Kerygma und Logos. Beiträge zu den geistgeschichtlichen Beziehungen zwischen Antike und Christentum. Festschrift für Carl ANDRESEN zum 70. Geburtstag*. Göttingen, 1979. ↗ Analysis of *DI* 3.
- _____. ‘Posidoniana–Ciceroniana–Lactantiana,’ pp. 145-180 in W. DEN BOER *et al.*, eds., *Romanitas et Christianitas. Studia IANO HENRICO WASZINK. a.d. VI kal. Nov. a. MCMLXIII XIII Lustra complenti oblata*. Amsterdam, 1973. ↗ *DI* 3 on pp. 166-8, 171f, 175-7.
- GIRARDET, Klaus Martin. ‘*Libertas religionis*: “Religionsfreiheit” bei Tertulian und Laktanz: zwei Skizzen,’ pp. 205-226 in Karlheinz MUSCHELER, ed., *Römischer Jurisprudenz: Dogma-tik, Überlieferung, Rezeption: Festschrift für Detlef LIEBS zum 57. Geburtstag*. Berlin, 2011 (Freiburger rechtsgeschichtliche Abhandlungen, n.F. 63). ↗ The concept *libertas religionis* in Tertullian and L.
- GISTELINCK, K. U. L. ‘Lactance et sa théologie baptismale propre à son temps,’ *Questions Liturgiques* (1974) 178-193. ↗ L expresses baptismal theology in pagan terms, especially Hermetic gnosis.
- GIUSTI, Antonio. ‘La malattia dell’ imperatore Galerio nel racconto di Lattanzio,’ *Bilychnis* anno 17, fasc.8-9, vol. 32.2-3 (1928) pp. 85-98. ↗ Medical analysis of the ancient accounts of the final illness of the emperor Galerius, including *MP* 33.1-11 & 35.3.
- GLAESENER, Henri. ‘Les changements de signification dans Lactance,’ *Musée Belge* 5 (1901) 5-26.
- _____. ‘L’emploi des modes chez Lactance,’ *Musée Belge* 4 (1900) 26-37.
- _____. ‘Les néologismes de Lactance,’ *Musée Belge* 5 (1901) 293-316.
- _____. ‘La syntaxe des cas chez Lactance,’ *Musée Belge* 4 (1900) 223-235.
- _____. ‘Note additionnelle sur l’emploi des modes et la syntaxe des cas chez Lactance,’ *Musée Belge* 5 (1901) 316f.
- ® on the series: BRANDT, *Archiv für Lateinische Lexikographie und Grammatik* 12 (1902) 437; CAHEN, *Bulletin critique de Littérature, d’Histoire, etc.* Deuxième Série 8 (1902) 381-90.
- GLEI, Reinhold. ‘*Et inuidus et imbecillus. Das angebliche Epikurfragment bei Laktanz, De ira Dei XIII,20-21.*’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 42 (1988) 47-58. Σ *APh* 58 (1987) 179 #2536.
- GLONING, Frido. *De vera sapientia et religione: Kommentar und Untersuchungen zum vierten Buch der Divinae institutiones des Laktanz*. Salzburg, 1967 (unpublished dissertation; xviii + 359 pp.)
- GNASSO, Pasquale. ‘La legenda del Seneca Christiano,’ *Vichiana* 53.1-2 (2016) 139-146, with a summary in English. ↗ *DI* 6.24.13-14 reveals that the legend of the conversion to Christianity of Seneca originated in the Humanist era, based on a forged correspondence between Seneca and St. Paul.
- GNILKA, Christian. ‘*Falsae pietatis imago: Quellenstudien zu einer Szenenfolge der Psychomachie des Prudentius*,’ pp. 353-380 of *Philologische Streifzüge durch die römische Dichtung*. Basel, 2007. ↗ L offers a pattern for the assessment of frugality as a vice.
- _____. ‘Kreuzsignierung und Selbstbekreuzigung: (zu Prud. apoth. 485-502),’ pp. 415-424 of *Philologische Streifzüge durch die römische Dichtung*. Basel, 2007. ↗ L *DI* 4.27.3-5 as a likely source for passages in Prudentius.

- _____. ‘Die vielen Wege und der Eine: zur Bodentum einer Bildrede aus dem Geisteskampf der Spätantike,’ *Literaturwissenschaftliches Jahrbuch der Görres Gesellschaft* n.F. 31 (1990) 9-51. ΣAPh 61 (1990) 368 #5360.
- GORDON, Octavian Gheorghe. ‘Is *De mortibus persecutorum* an orphan indeed?’ pp. 27-31 in Jane BAUN, Averill CAMERON, and Mark EDWARDS, edd., *Studia Patristica* 46, *Papers presented at the Fifteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford, 2007*, Leuven and Paris: Peeters 2010. ↗ The writing suggests it is genuine L.
- GÖRRES, Franz. ‘Miscellen zur Kritik einiger Quellenschriftsteller der später römischen Kaiserzeit,’ *Philologus* 36 (1877) 597-626. ↗ Pp. 597-614, ‘Zur Kritik des Eusebius und des Lactantius,’ include: 1. ‘Über die abfassungszeit des Buches *de Mortibus Persecutorum*’ (pp. 597-602); 2. ‘Zur kritik der Berichte des Eusebius und Lactantius über Maximin’s II. Verhältnis zu den Christen in den Jahren 311 bis 313’ (pp. 602-9, on *MP* 35-8); 3. ‘Zur Kritik der von den beiden Christlichen Autoren enworfenen characteristik des Kaisers Maximin II’ (610-3); 4. ‘Zu Lact. m. p. c. 50’ (613-40).
- GOSSEREZ, Laurence. ‘Le phénix de Lactance: naissance de l’élégie triomphale chrétienne,’ pp. 119-146 of Laurence Gosserez, ed., *Le phénix et son autre: poétique d’un mythe: (des origines au XVIe siècle)*. Rennes: Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 2013. ↗ In AP L uses the motif of the phoenix for a new epigrammatic genre, that of triumphal elegy, which combines neo-Alexandrian traits, ideas from Longinus on the sublime, and a depiction of the apocalypse.
- _____. ‘Un contrepoint païen, le phénix de Claudio,’ pp. 147-152 of Laurence Gosserez, ed., *Le phénix et son autre: poétique d’un mythe: (des origines au XVIe siècle)*. Rennes: Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 2013. ↗ In rewriting L’ AP, Claudio eliminated the Christian characteristics of L’ treatment to emphasize the solar and warrior aspects of the mythical bird.
- GÖTZ, Georg. ‘Ad *Anthologiam Latinam*,’ pp. 322-331 among the *Miscella Critica* of Friedrich RITSCHL, ed., *Acta Societatis Philologæ Lipsiensis* 5 (1875). ↗ On AP (*carmen* 731 of the *Anthology*), pp. 322-331; 322-4 on authenticity, 324-331 on the text.
- _____. ‘Lactantius und die Rätsel des Symphosius,’ *Rheinisches Museum* n.F. 41 (1886) 3184. ↗ The difficulties of the ms *inscriptiones* and the name Symphosius.
- GONELLA, Guido. ‘La critica dell’ autorità delle leggi secondo Tertulliano e Lattanzio,’ *Rivista Internazionale di Filosofia del Diritto* 17 (1937) 23-37. ΣAPh 12 (1937) 118. ↗ On pp. 33-7 *DI* 6.9.3f & 6; 5.11.1 & 7; 5.6.3 (wrongly cited as 6.6); 5.12.1; 5.14.12; 5.14.19 (wrongly cited as 5.15); 5.8.9.
- GONZÁLEZ VEGA, Felipe. ‘Léxico e ideología en el *De Mortibus Persecutorum* de Lactancio: el caso de Valeria y Prisca,’ *Studia Historica: Historia Antigua* 2-3 (1984-5) 199-214. ΣAPh 61 (1990) 160 #2259.
- GOULON, Alain. ‘Les citations des poètes latins dans l’œuvre de Lactance,’ pp. 107-156 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ↗ Lists of passages with commentary and analysis of function of citations.
- _____. ‘Un jeu de mots chez Lactance (*Divinæ institutiones* III, 8, 10),’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 19 (1973) 39-55. ΣAPh 44 (1973) 180.
- _____. ‘Une présentation personnelle de l’épicurisme par Lactance (*Inst. 3, 17*): objectivité, habileté, ou rouerie?’ pp. 17-25 in Jean-Yves GUILLAUMIN & Stéphane RATTI, edd., *Autour de Lactance: hommages à Pierre Monat*. Besançon, 2003. ↗ L’s understanding of and struggle with Epicurean ideas.
- _____. ‘Lactance et les philosophes: refutation ou dialogue?’ pp. 13-22 in Jean Michel Poinsotte, ed., *Les chrétiens face à leurs adversaires dans l’occident latin au IVe siècle: actes des journées d’études du GRAC, Rouen, 25 avril 1997 et 28 avril 2000*. Mont-Saint-Aignan, <https://www.carleton.edu/classics/overview/history/lactantius/>

- 2001 (Publications de l'Université de Rouen 297). *APh* 73 (2002) #03482. ↗ An overview of L's confrontation with philosophy.
- _____. 'L'oiseau Phénix de Lactance et ses attaches à l'œuvre apologétique,' pp. 85-103 of Silvia FABRIZIO-COSTA, ed., *Phénix: mythe(s) et signe(s): actes du colloque international de Caen (12-14 octobre 2000)*. Bern, Berlin et al., 2001. Σ *APh* 72 (2001) 344 #03104. ↗ Survey of the myth in literature; L use of poetry and myth in *DI*; similar mythological procedure in *AP*.
- _____. 'Quelle connaissance Lactance avait-il du *De rerum natura*? Réalité et signification des réminiscences lucrétiennes dans l'oeuvre de Lactance,' pp. 217-257 in Rémy POIGNAULT, ed., *Présence de Lucrèce: Actes du colloque tenu à Tours, 1998*. Tours, 1999 (Collection Cæsarodunum XXXII bis). ↗ L' intimate familiarity with Lucretius leads to a variety of intertextualities, from allusion to exact quotation (a chart appears on p. 240), on a number of themes here explored.
- GRAMUSSET, François. 'D'Hérodote à Borges: Phénix de la lettre, Phénix de la parole, cendres de l'itérature,' pp. 159-174 in Silvia FABRIZIO-COSTA, ed., *Phénix: mythe(s) et signe(s): actes du colloque international de Caen (12-14 octobre 2000)*. Bern, Berlin et al., 2001. ↗ Symbolism and intertextuality; *AP* at 160-162.
- GRANT, Robert McQueen. 'Patristica,' *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 3 (1949) 225-9. Σ *APh* 20 (1949) 81. ↗ #4 (pp. 227f) on the doxography at *DI* 3.3.4 & 3.8.29.
- GRASZYNSKI, Bonaventura. 'Quæstiones in aliquot locos commentarii *De mortibus persecutorum Lactantii, cuius creditur*,' *Eos* 24 (1919-20) 24-25. ↗ The fates of the Augustas Prisca and Valeria; textual matters at *MP* 50.3f & 40.2
- GREER, Rowan A. 'Cicero's sketch and Lactantius' plan,' pp. 155-174 in Abraham J. MALHERBE, Frederick W. NORRIS, and James THOMPSON, eds., *The Early Church in its Context*. Leiden, Boston, Köln, 1998 (Supplements to *Novum Testamentum* 90). ↗ L develops Cicero's sketches for a just society (*cf. Off.* 3.17.69) into a fully developed plan for a just society based on Christian principles, but then undermines his project by identifying this society with Constantine's regime.
- GRÉGOIRE, Henri. 'About Licinius' fiscal and religious policy,' *Byzantion* 13 (1938) 551-60. Σ *APh* 13 (1938) 354. ↗ On *MP* 37, with chronological inferences from *MP* 35.1-36.1, 40.8-9, & 48.1.
- _____. 'La "Conversion" de Constantin,' *Revue de l'Université de Bruxelles* 36 (1930-31) 231-72. ↗ On *MP*, pp. 236-9, 245-9, 253-6, 259f, 262-4; bibliography on the Constantinian question, pp. 270-2.
- _____. 'Les pierres qui crient.' *Byzantion* 14 (1939) 317-21. Σ *APh* 14 (1939) 280. ↗ Section 2, 'Les chrétiens et l'oracle de Didymes,' involves *MP* 10-11 in attacking Norman F. BAYNES' chapters 19-20 of the *Cambridge Ancient History*, vol. 12 (1965).
- _____. 'La vision de Constantin «liquidée»,' *Byzantion* 14 (1939) 341-51. Σ *APh* 14 (1939) 374. ↗ *MP*, the *Vita Constantini*, and the historiography of Constantine; response to ZEILLER 'Quelques Remarques.'
- GRIFFIN, Miriam. 'The Elder Seneca and Spain,' *Journal of Roman Studies* 62 (1972) 1-19. ↗ P. 10 on the fragment at *DI* 7.15.14; see also p. 19, n. 13.
- GRILLI, Alberto. 'Lattanzio e Ortensio,' *La Parola del Passato* 56.319 (2001) 257-271. Σ *APh* 73 (2002) #03483. ↗ L' debts to Cicero's *Hortensius* in *DI* 3.1-9.
- _____. *M. Tulli Ciceronis Hortensius*. Milano & Varese, 1962. ↗ The appendix indicates the fragments in Lactantius and the apposite pages of the commentary.

- GRILLMEIER, Alois. *Jesus der Christus im Glauben der Kirche*, Erster Band, *Von der Apostolischen Zeit bis zum Konzil von Chalcedon (451)*. Freiburg-Basel-Wien, 1979; 3rd edition, 1990. ↗ pp. 326-345 (Teil 2, Abschnitt 1, Kapitel 1, § II) on L' christology.
- _____. *Christ in Christian Tradition*, vol. 1: *From the Apostolic Age to Chalcedon (451)*, tr. of the foregoing by J. S. BOWDEN. London and Oxford, 1965; 2nd ed'n revised, London, 1975. ↗ Pp. 190-206 (Part II, Section 1, chapter 1, subsection 2) on L' Christology.
- GRONAU, Karl. *Poseidonios und die jüdisch-christliche Genesisexegese*. Leipzig & Berlin, 1914. ↗ Cites Lactantian passages *passim*; see Stellenregister, p. 312.
- GRONDIN, Jean. *Die Philosophie der Religion: eine Skizze*. Tübingen, 2012. . ↗ Translation by Verena Heisen of the item just below.
- ⑧ SPLETT, *Theologie und Philosophie* 87.3 (2012) 437-8.
- _____. *La philosophie de la Religion*. Presses Universitaires de France, 2009, 127 pp. ↗ Covers both Greece and Rome, including Lactantius. Also in German; see the item just above.
- GROSCURTH, A. D. *De auctore libri qui est L. Cæcili ad Donatum confessorem de mort persec.* Berlin, 1892.
- GROSSE, Sven. ‘Der Zorn Gottes: Überlegungen zu einem Thema der Theologie bei Tertullian, Laktanz und Origenes,’ *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte* 112.2 (2001) 147-167. Σ APh 72 (2001) 1454f #14265. ↗ L ID on pp. 156-8.
- GROSSMANN, Christiane. ‘Die neutestamentlichen Grundlagen der Gerechtigkeitsdefinition im 5. Buch der *Institutionen* des Laktanz,’ *Mittellateinisches Jahrbuch* 37.2 (2002) 395-403. Σ APh 74 (2003) 379 #03415. ↗ DI 5.8.5-9 and Galatians 5:17-24; DI 5.22.7-10 and I Corinthians 13:4-7.
- _____. ‘*Pietas est dei notio: eine Untersuchung zu Lact. inst. V 14, 11f*’ *Mittellateinisches Jahrbuch* 39.2 (2004) 171-181. ↗ On the NT influences behind L's definition of *pietas* in DI 5.14.11f, from Paul, John, and Peter, as opposed to dependence on Gnostic sources.
- GRUBBS, Judith Evans. *Law and Family in Late Antiquity: the Emperor Constantine's Marriage Legislation*. Oxford, 1995. ↗ Bibliography, pp. 353-371; index of names and topics, pp. 372-8; index of laws discussed, pp. 389f. For L see index, p. 380.
- _____. ‘“Pagan” and “Christian” Marriage: the State of the Question,’ *Journal of Early Christian Studies* 2.4 (1994) 361-412. Σ APh 65 (1994) 1134 #15650. ↗ Slight revision of ch. 2 of the foregoing; L on pp. 394-9.
- GRUMEL, Venance. ‘Du nombre des persécutons païennes dans les anciennes chroniques,’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 2 (1956) 59-66. Σ APh 27 (1956) 42f. ↗ On pp. 59 & 66 argues that MP was written before L had formulated the principal idea of ID.
- GUALANDRI, Isabella. ‘Un papiro milanese, Lattanzio, Claudio e il mito della Fenice,’ *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei*, anno CCCLXXI, 1974: Serie ottava, *Rendiconti della Classe di Scienze morali, storiche e filologiche* 29 (1974) 293-311. Σ APh 47 (1976) 94 #1179. ↗ Comparison of the parallel passages.
- GUARDUCCI, Margherita. ‘La chiocciola cristiana,’ *Rivista di Filologia e di Istruzione Classica* 99 (1991) 447-456. Σ APh 63 (1992) 806 #11282: Snails as symbols on Christian monuments point the way to interpreting AS 18 Coclea as an allegory of the human soul.
- GUERRINI, R. ‘Le *Divinae Institutiones* di Lattanzio nelle epigrafi del Rinascimento: il Collegio del Cambio di Perugia ed il pavimento del Duomo di Siena (Ermete Trismegisto e Sibille),’ *Annuario dell' Istituto Storico Diocesano di Siena* 1 (1992-1993) 5-38. ↗ Depictions of the Sibyls in quattrocento art.

GUILLAUMIN, Jean-Yves. ‘Arts libéraux et philosophie chez Lactance (*Institutions divines* 3, 25)’ pp. 27-42 in *Autour de Lactance* described just below. ☛ A detailed commentary on *DI* 3.25 with reference to many authors of the classical and later periods.

GUILLAUMIN, Jean-Yves & Stéphane RATTI, edd. *Autour de Lactance: hommages à Pierre Monat*. Besançon, 2003; 344 pp. Σ *APh* 74 (2003) #17874. ☛ Forward by Jacques Fontaine on pp. 7-8; bibliography of Monat’s scholarship on pp. 9-14; five articles about Lactantius, pp. 17-76; nine articles about Christian literature, pp. 79-203; twelve articles on a variety of subjects, pp. 207-341; table of contents, pp. 343-344. For the articles about Lactantius, please see elsewhere in these pages under GOULON ‘Présentation,’ Jean-Yves GUILLAUMIN ‘Arts,’ INGREMEAU ‘Lactance et la justice: du livre V,’ JACOB ‘Voltaire,’ and SCHNEIDER ‘Lactance.’

⑧ J. J. AUBERT, *Museum Helveticum* 63.4 (2006) 267; B. COLOT, *Revue des Études Augustiniennes et Patristiques* 51.1 (2005) 198-199; O. NICHOLSON, *Journal of Roman Studies* 96 (2006) 307-9; B. ROCHELINE, *Latomus* 64.2 (2005) 517-518; H. SAVON, *L’Antiquité Classique* 74 (2005) 380-381; S. VAN DER MEEREN *Revue des Études Latines* 83 (2005) 417-419.

GUILLAUMIN, Marie-Louise. ‘L’exploitation des Oracles sibyllins par Lactance et par le *Discours à l’assemblée des saints*,’ pp. 185-202 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ☛ Argues that Lactantius himself became a textual source of oracles for Augustine.

GUINAGH, Kevin. ‘The Vicennalia in Lactantius,’ *Classical Journal* 28 (1932-3) 449f. Σ *APh* 8 (1933) 322. ☛ Evidence for the celebration of *vicennalia* in *MP* 17.1-3, 30.6, & 31.

HAACK, Marie Laurence. ‘Haruspices et haruspiscine dans l’*Histoire Auguste*,’ pp. 72-6 of *La divination dans le monde italien*, vol. 9, *Les écrivains du IVe siècle: L’Etrusca Disciplina dans un monde en mutation*. Tours, 2005 (Actes de la table ronde tenue à Clermont-Ferrand 17-18 Sept 1999). ☛ In connection with *Historia Augusta Vita Taciti*, one notes an influence from L *DI* 8 & 9; but as there are only 7 books of *DI* there is an error here.

HADZSITS, George Depue. *Lucretius and his Influence*. London and New York, 1935. ☛ L on pp. 216-28.

HAGENDAHL, Karl Oskar Harald. *Augustine and the Latin Classics*. 2 vols. Göteborg, 1967 (Studia græca et latina gothoburgensia 20). ☛ In vol. 2, L & Virgil, 387; L’ attitude towards Seneca, 676; L & Hermes Trismegistus, 687.

_____. *Latin Fathers and the Classics*. Göteborg & Stockholm, 1958 (Studia græca et latina gothoburgensia 6 = Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis, v. 64, part 2). ☛ L & Lucretius, 48-76; attitude of L to Lucretius and other philosophers, 81-88; L and the four passions of the stoics and peripatetics, 338-41; much else *passim*.

_____. ‘Methods of Citation in post-classical Latin prose,’ *Eranos* 45 (1947) 114-128. Σ *APh* 18 (1947) 110. ☛ Attitudes and procedures of Christian authors. On pp. 116-8, the doxography at *DI* 1.5; pp. 120f on L’ literal quotations; pp. 121f on L’ prose paraphrases of verse; pp. 126f on L’ use of classical poets.

_____. ‘Piscatorie et non Aristotelice,’ pp. 184-93 in *Septentrionalia et Orientalia. Studia Bernhardo KARLGREN a.d.-III-Non-Oct-anno MCMLIX dedicata*. Stockholm, 1959. ☛ *DI* 5.2.17 on p.186.

_____. *Von Tertullian zu Cassiodor. Die profane literarische Tradition in dem lateinischen christlichen Schrifttum*. Göteborg, 1983 (Studia Græca et Latina Gothoburgensia 44). ☛ Pp. 38-48 on L, with notes on pp. 121-9.

HAHN, István. ‘Procēmium und Disposition der *Epitome* des Florus,’ *Eirene* 4 (1965) 21-38. ☛ The topos comparing Roman history to the ages of man at *DI* 7.15.14-19, with its connections to the elder Seneca and/or Florus.

- HALM, Karl. ‘Zu Lactantius *de mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philologisch-Historische Klasse* 50 (Wien, 1865) 161-7. ↗ Textual matters.
- HANMER, Jonathan. *Archaioskopia, or A View of Antiquity. Presented in a short but sufficient account of some of the fathers...* London, 1677. ↗ Life of L on pp. 314-38. Attributed also to John HOWE.
- HANO, Michel. ‘Le témoignage des textes législatifs du IIIème siècle sur les haruspices,’ pp. 5-16 of Dominique BRIQUEL & Charles GUITTARD, ed., *La Divination dans le monde italique. 8, Des Sévères à Constantin: les écrivains du IIIème siècle et l'«Etrusca disciplina»*. *Actes de la table ronde tenue a l'ENS les 24 et 25 octobre 1997*. Tours, 1999. Σ APh 72 (2001) 1596 #15739. ↗ *Historia Augusta*, Tertullian, and L in distinguishing official auspices from the forbidden private ones.
- HANSON, Richard Patrick Crosland. ‘The circumstances attending the death of the emperor Flavius Valerius Severus in 306 or 307,’ *Hermathena* 118 (1974) 59-68. Σ APh 46 (1975) 663. ↗ MP 26.9f.
- HARLOFF, Wilhelm. *Untersuchungen zu Lactantius*. Borna & Leipzig, 1911 (dissertation Rostock). ↗ Source criticism analysis of *DI* 3: L vs philosophy in general, 8-51; polemic against the schools, 51-80; peroration (cc. 25-9), 80-85.
⑧ BRANDT, *Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift* 32 (1912) cols. 1416-20; WEYMAN, *Wochenschrift für Klassische Philologie* 29 (1912) 837-40.
- HARNACK, Adolf von. *Geschichte der altchristlichen Litteratur bis Eusebius*. Leipzig, 1893, 2 Hälften. ↗ On L, Vol. 1, pp. 736-44 (ch. VII, §56).
- _____. *Die Chronologie der altchristlichen Literatur bis Eusebius*. 2 vols., Leipzig, 1897 & 1904. ↗ Teil 2, Band 2 (1904), pp. 184-9 (Buch III, Kapitel IV, §6) on L & the Sibylline Oracles; on L in general, pp. 415-26 (Buch IV, Kapitel 2, §6).
- _____. ‘Neue Studien zur jüngst entdeckten lateinischen Übersetzung des 1. Clemens-brief,’ *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* (1894) 601-21. ↗ On pp. 608f, argues for dependence of *AP* 102, 117f, & 121f upon the Latin version of I Clem. 25.
- HARRIS, William V. ‘Constantine’s Dream,’ *Klio* 87.2 (2005) 488-494. ↗ Constantine’s dream (L MP 44.3-5, Eusebius *Vita Constantini* 1.27-8) analyzed as an attempt to encourage soldiers in a traditional fashion.
- HARTKE, Werner. *Römische Kinderkaiser: eine Strukturanalyse römischen Denkens und Daseins*. Berline, 1951. ↗ L in ch. 8, ‘Die Kampf zwischen christlicher Eschatologie und römischen Imperialismus,’ pp. 352-402; pp. 393-9 on the topos comparing Roman history to the ages of man at *DI* 7.15.14-19, with its connections to the elder Seneca and/or Florus.
- HARTWELL, Kathleen Ellen. *Lactantius and Milton*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1929. ↗ L’ knowledge and use of Milton.
⑧ LILJEGREN, *Deutsche Literaturzeitung für Kritik der internationalen Wissenschaft* 51 (1930) 1232; STEVENS, *Classical Philology* 24 (1929) 414f.
- HÄUSSLER, Reinhard. ‘Neues zum spätromischen Lebensaltervergleich,’ vol. 2, pp. 183-191 in JÁNOS HARMATTA, ed., *Proceedings of the VIIth Congress of the International Federation of Societies of Classical Studies*, 1979. Budapest, 1984 (2 vols). Σ APh 55 (1984) 533 #5290. ↗ Pp. 183-7 on the attribution of the Seneca fragment at *DI* 7.15.14; bibliography on the question, p. 191.

- HAUSSLEITER, Johannes. ‘Drei neue Schriften Novatians,’ *Theologisches Literaturblatt* 15 (1894) 481-7. ↗ How *Quod Idola Dei non sint* in the Cyprianic corpus depends on Minucius Felix and Tertullian.
- HAVERKAMP, Anselm. ‘*Religio*: zur doppelzüngigen Wurzel institutioneller Bindung,’ *Rhetorik* 34 (2015) 45-51. ↗ L and Ambrose on *religio*, God, and the Mosaic law, with reference to Cicero.
- HECK, Eberhard. ‘Bemerkungen zum Text von Laktanz, *De opificio Dei*,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 23 (1969) 273-292. Σ APh 40 (1969) 153. ↗ Discussion of some thirty passages.
- _____. *Die Bezeugung von Cicero's Schrift De re publica*. Hildesheim, 1966 (Spudasmata 4). Pp. 71-105 on L; see also next item.
- _____. ‘Ein Cicerozitat über den Nutzen der Philosophie bei Laktanz, *Divinæ Institutiones* 3, 16, 5,’ *Eos* 75.2 (1987) 335-51. Σ APh 60 (1989) 97 #1452. ↗ Further refinement of some conclusions in the foregoing.
- _____. ‘Constantin und Lactanz in Trier: Chronologisches,’ *Historia* 58.1 (2009) 118-130. ↗ On L' producing MP and presenting the first edition of DI to Constantine in Trier, in AD 313-14.
- _____. ‘*Defendere—Instituere*. Zum Selbstverständnis des Apologeten Laktanz,’ ch. 6, pp. 205-248 of Antonie Włosok *et al.*, edd., *L'apologétique chrétienne gréco-latine à l'époque prénicénienne*, Vandoeuvres-Génève, 13-17 septembre 2004. Génève-Vandoeuvres, 2005 (Entretiens sur l'antiquité classique 51). ↗ A general introduction to L and his program, both apologetic and didactic; followed by participants' discussion on pp. 241-8.
- _____. ‘«Du sollst nicht zietern aus zweiter Hand». Entdeckung und frühe Benutzung des Turiner Codex der Lactanzischen *Epitome divinarum institutionum*,’ *Philologus* 137 (1993) 110-121. Σ APh 64 (1993) 253f #3236. ↗ Textual history of EDI, with a comedy of errors stemming from the disobedience of an important commandment for classicists. This article appears also in English translation: HECK ‘Thou Shalt Not,’ *q.v. infra*.
- _____. *Die dualistischen Zusätze und die Kaiseranreden bei Lactantius. Untersuchungen zur Textgeschichte der Divinæ Institutiones und der Schrift De opificio Dei*. Heidelberg, 1972 (Abhandlungen der Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften 1972, 2). ↗ 235 pages with bibliography, index of passages, and index of names and subjects.
- ® BIRDSALL, *Classical Review* 26 (1976) 127; BRISSON, *L'Antiquité Classique* 43 (1974) 507; DOIGNON, *Revue des Études Anciennes* 76 (1974) 189; GRYSON, *Revue d'Histoire Ecclésiastique* 68 (1973) 930; PERRIN, *Revue des Études Latines* 51 (1973) 486-9; SWIFT, *The Classical World* 68 (1975) 405-7; VARCL, *Listy Filologiczne* 96 (1973) 156.
- _____. ‘Die dualistischen Zusätze und die Kaiseranreden bei Laktanz,’ pp. 185-188 in E. A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 13, part 2 of the Proceedings of the International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1971. Berlin, 1975 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 116). Σ Barnes, *Constantine and Eusebius*, 291, n. 96. ↗ Summarizes the foregoing.
- _____. ‘*Iustitia civilis—iustitia naturalis*: à propos du jugement de Lactance concernant les discours sur la justice dans le *De re publica* de Cicéron,’ pp. 171-184 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*.
- _____. ‘Lactantius,’ article in vol. 6, cols 1043-44, of Hubert Cancik and Helmuth Schneider, edd., *Der Neue Pauly: Encyclopädie der Antike*. Stuttgart, 1999.
- _____. ‘Lactantius, *De falsa religione*. Textkritisches zum 1. Buch der *Divinae institutiones*,’ pp. 55-67 of Yves LEHMANN, Gérard FREYBURGER, & James HIRSTEIN, edd., *Antiquité tardive et humanisme: de Tertullien à Beatus Rhenanus. Mélanges offerts à François HEIM à l'occasion de son 70e anniversaire*. Turnhout, 2005 (Studia Humanitatis Rhenana 2). xiv + 550 pp. ↗ Explains

textual choices in his and Antonie WLOSOK's new edition of *DI*, for which see part III of this bibliography.

- _____. ‘Lactanz und die Klassiker. Zu Theorie und Praxis der Verwendung heidnischer Literatur in christlicher Apologetik bei Lactanz,’ *Philologus* 132 (1988) 160-79. Σ *APh* 59 (1988) 214 #3071. ↗ Thorough general introduction to this topic.
- _____. *Mὴ θεομάχεῖν, oder die Bestrafung des Gottesverächters: Untersuchungen zu Bekämpfung und Aneignung römischer religio bei Tertullian, Cyprian und Lactanz*. Frankfurt, 1987 (Studien zur Klassischen Philologie 24). ↗ Ch. IV, ‘Die Rache Gottes an den Christen verfolgern bei Laktanz,’ pp. 186-207: §1 on *DI* 5, pp. 186-207; §2 on *MP*, pp. 208-28.
- _____. ‘Nachträgliches zu den kleinen Schriften des Lactanz,’ *Antike und Abendland* 59 (2013) 126-144. ↗ On ancient additions to the text of *OD* 11.3.
- _____. ‘Nochmals: Lactantius und Lucretius. Antilucrezisches im Epilog des lactanzischen Phoenix-Gedichts?’ *International Journal of the Classical Tradition* 9.4 (2002-3) 509-523. Σ *ibid.* 509 in English; *APh* 75 (2004) 330 #02915. ↗ L & Lucretius, pp. 509-511; on *AP*, 511-517; 517-523 on *AP* 161-170 as an inversion of Lucretius' concept of Venus, *De Rerum Natura* 1.1-3.
- _____. ‘Pseudo-Cyprian, *Quod idola dii non sint* und Laktanz, *Epitome diuinorum institutionum*,’ pp. 148-155 of Manfred Wacht, ed., *Panchaia: Festschrift für Klaus Thraede*. Münster, 1922 (*Jahrbuch für Antike und Christentum Ergänzungsband* 22). Σ ↗ Dependence of *Quod Idola* upon *DI*, *EDI*, and Minucius Felix.
- _____. ‘Das Romuluselogium des Ennius bei Laktanz: ein Testimonium zu Ciceros schrift *de Gloria?*’ pp. 305-315 in Franz PASCHKE, ed., *Überlieferungsgeschichtliche Untersuchungen*. Berlin, 1981 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur altchristlichen Literatur 125). ↗ Sources of *DI* 1.15.31-3.
- _____. ‘Thou Shalt Not Quote at Second Hand: discovery and early use of the Torino ms. Of Lactantius' *Epitome diuinorum institutionum*, in Kevin LEE, Chris MACKIE, & Harold TARRANT, edd., *A 'Chose' for R. Godfrey Tanner*. Auckland, 1993. ↗ English translation of HECK ‘Du sollst nicht,’ *q.v. supra*.
- _____. ‘Vestrum est—poeta noster: von der Gerinschätzung Vergils zu seiner Aneigung in der frühchristlichen lateinischen Apologetik,’ *Museum Helveticum* 47 (1990) 102-20. Σ *APh* 61 (1990) 328 #4758. ↗ Pp. 117-20 on L.
- _____. ‘Wer baute die Mauer für Laomedon?: Autorversehen in den *Diuinæ Institutiones* des Lactanz,’ vol. 2, pp. 397-415 of Roger GRYSON, ed., *Philologia Sacra: biblische und patristische Studien für Hermann J. FREDE und Walter THIELE zu ihrem siebzigsten Geburtstag*. 2 vols, Freiburg, 1993 (Vetus Latina 24). Σ *APh* 64 (1993) 254 #3237. ↗ Apollo, Neptune, and a confusing pronoun antecedent in the text history of *DI* 1.10.3.
- _____. ‘Wer war Apollos Ehefrau? Wo war Pontius Pilatus Legat?: Unklares in der *Epitome diuinorum institutionum* des Lactanz,’ *Hyperboreus* 8.2 (2002) 326-336. Σ *APh* 74 (2003) 379f #03416. ↗ L' corrections of *DI* in *EDI*, with certain further errors.
- _____. ‘Zu den lateinischen Übersetzungen griechischer Zitate bei Lactanz,’ *Hyperboreus* 16-17 (2010-11) 137-148 with summary in Russian. ↗ On the source of Latin translations of Greek terms found in *DI*.
- _____. & Antonie WLOSOK. ‘Zum Text der *Epitome divinarum institutionum* des Laktanz,’ *Wiener Studien* 109 (1996) 145-170. Σ *APh* 67 (1996) 214 #02500. ↗ Explains some 90 passages where their edition differs from those of BRANDT and PERRIN, *q.v. supra* in part III.

- HEFFERNAN, Carol Falvo. *The Phœnix at the Fountain: Images of Woman and Eternity in Lactantius's Carmen de Ave Phœnix and the Old English Phœnix*. Newark, Delaware; London & Toronto, 1988. ↗ Speculative allegorical interpretations.
⑧ DAMICO, *Speculum* 65 (1990) 994-7.
- HEIKEL, Ivar August. *Eusebius Werke* 1 (1902). ↗ Pp. xcivf on connections between the *Oratio ad Sanctos* and Lactantian texts; index of passages on p. 264.
- HEIM, François. ‘L’influence exercée par Constantin sur Lactance: sa théologie de la victoire,’ pp. 55-74 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*.
- _____. ‘*Virtus* chez Lactance: du *vir bonus* au martyr,’ *Augustinianum* 36.2 (1996) 361-375. Σ *APh* 71 (2000) 302 #02929. ↗ Drawing especially upon Cicero and Seneca, L’ critique of the ancient concept of the virtuous hero and adaptation of it to the Christian martyr.
- HEINIG, Max Eugen. *Die Ethik des Lactantius*. Grimma, 1887.
- HEISTERHAGEN, Reinhard. ‘Der *Tubero de origine humana* und Lactantius *de opificio dei*,’ pp. 150-9 of Hellfried DAHLMANN & Reinhard HEISTERHAGEN, *Varronisches Studien I: zu den Logistorici*, Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur in Mainz, *Abhandlungen der geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse*, Jahrgang 1957, #4 (Mainz, 1957), 123-74. ↗ On reconstructing Varro’s lost *Tubero* from *OD*.
- HERESCU, Niculæ I. Review of Moreau’s edition of *MP*, *Orpheus* 2 (1955) 99-103. ↗ Textual emendations for *MP* on pp. 101-2.
- HERMANN, Léon. ‘Sur deux manuscrits Brexellois du *Carmen de Ave Phœnix* et un manuscrit du *Est et Non*,’ *Musée Belge* 31 (1927) 47-49. ↗ On the codd. of *MP* with some suggested emendations.
- HEUMANN, Christoph August. Review of LENOURRY’s *MP* (Paris, 1710) in *Acta Eruditorum* (Leipzig, 1711) 470-4. ↗ Later expanded into his ‘*Dissertatiuncula*.’
- _____. ‘*Dissertatiuncula contra Nic. NOURRIUM*,’ Appendix I (pp. 211-26) of his *Lactantii Symposium* (Hanover, 1722). ↗ Vindicates L’ authorship of *MP* against LE NOURRY in his edition of *MP* (Paris, 1719).
- _____. ‘*Symbola critica in Lactantium*,’ Appendix II, pp. 227-52 of his *Lactantii Symposium* (Hanover, 1722). ↗ Textual notes on *DI*, *ID*, *OD*, *MP*, *EDI* with an index to the passages on pp. 251f.
- HEUSINGER, Johann Michael. *Emendationum Libri II*. Gotha, 1751. ↗ Book I, pp. 1-160 has textual notes on *DI*, *ID*, *OD*.
- HIGHET, Gilbert. *The Classical Tradition*. Oxford, 1949 (91981). ↗ Pp. 52-35 on the old English *Phœnix*.
- HILPERT, Konrad. *Christliche Ethik im Porträt: Leben und Werk bedeutender Moraltheologen*. Freiburg im Breisgau, Basel: Verlag Herder, 2012 (901 pp, 3 illustrations, and index). ↗ Among the ancients, Paulus, Origen, L, Ambrose, Augustine, and Gregory the Great.
- HINNELLS, John R. ‘The Zoroastrian doctrine of salvation in the Roman world: a study of the oracle of Hystaspes,’ ch. 11, pp. 125-148, in Eric J. SHAERPE and John R. HINNELLS, edd., *Man and his Salvation: Studies in memory of S.G.F. Brandon*. Manchester, 1973. ↗ L passim as a prime source for the oracle at *DI* 7.14-21 & *EDI* 73. Previous studies listed on pp. 126f, n. 2.
- HOOKER, Mischa Andre. *The use of Sibyls and Sibylline oracles in early Christian writers*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cincinnati, Ohio, 2007; Σ DAI-A 2007-8 2008-9 69 (8). ↗ Includes discussion of L and Augustine.

- HOPPENBROUWERS, Henricus Wilhelmus Franciscus Maria. *Recherches sur la terminologie du martyre de Tullien à Lactance*. Nijmagen, 1961 (Latinitas Christianorum Primæva 15). ↗ L on pp. 188-193.
 ® Fontaine, *Revue des Études Latines* 39 (1961) 324-7.
- HORNUS, Jean-Michel. *Évangile et Labarum: Étude sur l'attitude du christianisme primitif devant les problèmes de l'État, de la guerre, et de la violence*. Genève, 1960. ↗ L on pp. 8, 10, 40, 94, 133, 145, 149, 156, 160, 163; DI on pp. 17, 21, 33-5, 51, 53f, 63, 92, 93f, 97, 149f, 141, 132, 159; bibliography.
- HORSFALL, Nicholas. Review of Burkhardt CARDAUNS, *Antiquitates Rerum Divinarum* (Wiesbaden, 1996), *Classical Review* 93, n.s. 29 (1979) 46-8. ↗ Pp. 47f on *DI* 1.6.7-12 and Varro's list of Sibyls.
- _____. ‘Two Virgilian Notes,’ *Scripta Classica Israelica* 8 (1999) 45-8. Σ *APh* 70 (1999) 597 #06312. ↗ On pp. 45f, *DI* 1.6.9 and Naevius *Bellum Punicum*.
- HOSAKA, Takaya. ‘A new interpretation of the origin of the Great Persecution,’ *Journal of Classical Studies* 55 (2007) 126-138 (in Japanese, with English summary). ↗ Interprets the basis of the persecution from the witness of Eusebius *HE* 8.1 and L *MP* 10.
- HOSE, Martin. ‘Konstantin und die Literatur oder Gibt es sine Konstantinische Literatur?’ *Gymnasium* 114,6 (2007) 535-558. ↗ The beginnings of a Constantinian literary era with L's *ID* and *AP*, and Constantine's own *Oratio ad Sanctum Coetum*.
- HOWE, John. *Archaioskopia, or View of Antiquity, etc.* London, 1677. See above at HANMER.
- HUBAUX, Jean. ‘Pline et les Esséniens,’ *Bulletin de la Classe des Lettres et des sciences morales et politiques de l'Académie Royale de Belgique*, 5^e série, 44 (1958) 475-495. Σ *APh* 31 (1960) 170. ↗ AP on pp. 482-7 & 492f.
- _____. & Maxime Leroy. *Le mythe du Phénix dans les littératures grecque et latine*. Liège & Paris, 1939. ↗ Text and translation of *AP*, pp. xi-xx; commentary *passim*; indices rerum, nominum, auctorum pp. 253-66.
- HUDSON-WILLIAMS, A. ‘Orientius and Lactantius,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 3 (1949) 237-43. Σ *APh* 20 (1949) 78. ↗ Orientius *Commonitorium* 1.43-64 as a poetic paraphrase of *DI* 7.5.16-18 & 6.1; *Commonitorium* 1.65-70 and *DI* 6.1.5f, with Lactantian textual help for Orientius.
- HUMPHRIES, Mark. ‘From Usurper to Emperor: the politics of legitimization in the age of Constantine,’ *Journal of Late Antiquity* 1.1 (2008) 82-100 with illustrations. ↗ Strategies of Constantine and his sons in getting their legitimacy as emperor accepted.
- HUNT, Richard William. ‘The Mediæval Home of the Bologna Manuscript of Lactantius,’ *Medievalia et Humanistica* 14 (1962) 3-6. Σ *APh* 33 (1962) 107. ↗ History, antiquity, and significance of Bologna ms. 701.
- HUSNER, Fritz. *Leib und Seele in der Sprache Senecas. Ein Beitrag zur sprachlichen Formulierung der moralischen Adhortatio*. Leipzig, 1924 (*Philologus* Supplement-Band 17,3). ↗ Pasages cited from *DI*, *ID*, *OD*; see index, p. 156.
- IBARRA BENLOCH, Martin. ‘Diferencia de pareceres entre Lactancio y Eusebio de Cesarea en torno a la muerte voluntaria del cristiano en testimonio de su fè,’ Pamplona Universidad de Navarra, Facultad de Teología, *Anuario de historia de la iglesia* 3 (1994) 95-107.
- INGREMEAU, Christiane. ‘Faits de langue tardive, effets du style, ou problèmes textuels?’ (Quelques exemples chez Commodien; bilan sur le livre VI des *Institutions divines* de Lactance), *Recherches Augustiniennes* 29 (1996) 113-125. ↗ Complexity of establishing the text of Commodian; on pp. 118-124, nine examples of editors' inappropriately correcting mss. of *DI* 6 to produce results more classical or normative.

- _____. ‘Les *institutions divines* de Lactance: une composition architecturale,’ *Vita Latina* 132 (1993) 33-40.
- _____. ‘Lactance et Cicéron: ce que dit et ce que cache une citation (*Pro Marcello* 8),’ pp. 311-319 of Michel DUBROCARD & Chantal KIRCHER, edd., *Hommage au doyen [Jean-Pierre] WEISS*. Nice, 1996 (Publications de la Faculté des Lettres, Arts et Sciences Humaines de Nice, n.s. N° 27). Σ APh 72 (2001) 344 # 72-03105. ↗ L’ misleading use at *DI* 1.9.3f of Cicero, along with unacknowledged use of Lucretius’ *De Rerum Natura* 5.
- _____. ‘Lactance et la justice dans le livre V des *Institutions divines*,’ pp. 153-162 in Madeleine Piot, ed., *Regards sur le monde antique: hommages à Guy Sabbah*. Lyon, 2002. Σ APh 73 (2002) #03484. ↗ L’ use of the term *iustitia* in *DI* 5, referring to Cicero *De Re Publica*.
- _____. ‘Lactance et la justice: du livre V au livre VI des *Institutions Divines*,’ pp. 43-52 in Jean-Yves GUILLAUMIN & Stéphane RATTI, edd., *Autour de Lactance: hommages à Pierre Monat*. Besançon, 2003. ↗ Terminology and concepts of *iustitia*, *lex*, *pietas*, and *aequitas*.
- _____. ‘Lactance et la philosophie des passions,’ pp. 283-296 in Bernard POUDERON and Joseph DORÉ, edd., *Les apologistes chrétiens et la culture grecque*. Paris, 1998 (Théologie Historique 105). Σ APh 72 (2001) 344 #72-03106. ↗ Detailed exposition of the doctrines of *DI* 6.14-19, considered as a unit, and as a treatise Περὶ παθῶν.
- _____. ‘Lactance et le sacré. L’Histoire Sainte racontée aux païens... par les païens,’ *Bulletin de l’Association Guillaume Budé* (1989) 345-54. Σ APh 60 (1989) 200f #2955. ↗ L’ use of Ovid, Cicero, and Vergil to present the story of the creation at *DI* 2.5; *DI* 2.8.2.
- _____. ‘*Nitor et fulgor* dans l’esthétique et dans l’éthique chrétiennes de Lactance,’ pp. 571-82 of *De Tertullien auz Mozarabes: Mélanges offerts à Jacques FONTAINE I: Antiquité tardive et christianisme ancien (III^e-VI^e siècles)*. Paris, 1992 (Collection des Études Augustiniennes, Série Antiquité 132). ↗ Thorough word study departing from *EDI* 61.10.
- _____. ‘Quand un citoyen romain d’Afrique écrit «Nos ancêtres, les Hébreux», pp. 349-365 in Roger-Pol DROIT, ed., *Grecs, les Romains, et nous: l’Antiquité est-elle moderne?* Paris, 1991 (Deuxième Forum Le Monde Le Mans). [See the next item.] ↗ For a version in English, see the next item.
- _____. ‘When a Roman Citizen from Africa Wrote: Our Hebrew Ancestors,’ ch. 8, pp. 113-125, in Roger-Paul [sic] DROIT, ed., *Greeks and Romans in the Modern World*. Boulder (Colorado and New York, 1998). ↗ English version of the foregoing. Intro. to L’ life and works; interpretation of *DI* 10.5.5-13.
- ISETTA, Sandra. ‘Il *De ave Phœnix* attribuito a Lattanzio,’ *Civiltà Classica e Cristiana* 1 (1980) 379-409. Σ APh 51 (1980) 163 #2390. ↗ Survey of the state of the question with bibliography.
- IVANKA, Endre von. ‘Die stoische Anthropologie in der lateinischen Literatur,’ *Anzeiger der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, philosophische-historische Klasse* 87 (1950) 178-92. Σ APh 22 (1951) 400. ↗ OD, Cicero *ND* 2, Posidonius, Ambrose, and Gregory of Nyssa on the physiology of human organs.
- JACOB, Ch. Fr. *Lactance considéré comme apologiste*. Strasbourg, 1848.
- JACOB, François. ‘Voltaire et Lactance,’ pp. 53-61 in Jean-Yves GUILLAUMIN & Stéphane RATTI, edd., *Autour de Lactance: hommages à Pierre Monat*. Besançon, 2003. ↗ L, available to Voltaire and Rousseau in the 1752 translation by René Famé, became an important source for their deconstruction of Christianity due to his writing before the 4th-century crystallization of Christian dogma, and his promotion of tolerance.
- JAGELITZ, Karl. *Über den Verfasser der Schrift De mortibus persecutorum*. Berlin, 1910 (Programm). ↗ Argues against Brandt ‘Zum Phönix’ for authenticity of *MP*.

- ⑧ DELEHAYE, *Analecta Bollandiana* 30 (1911) 116; JÜLICHER, *Theologische Literaturzeitung* 36 (1911) 142f; RÉVAY, *Egyetemes Philologai Közlöny* vol? (1910) 750.
- JAGIELSKI, Hubert. *De Firmiani Lactantii fontibus quæstiones selectæ*. Königsberg, 1912 (dissertation). ↗ On Varro, pp. 1-54; Seneca phil., 54-84; Quintilian, 84-93; Gellius, 93-6.
- JAMES, Montague Rhodes. ‘The *Apocalypse of Baruch*,’ pp. lxiv-lxvi of ‘Apographa Anecdota II,’ pp. li - lxxi of *Texts and Studies* 5.1 (1897). ↗ Ch. XV of the *Apocalypse*, on the phoenix, with its connections to Baruch 4 and the Slavic Enoch; no *AP*.
- JANNIARD, Sylvain. ‘Accesion au pouvoir impérial et consensus des troupes au I^e siècle après J.-C.’ *Revue Internationale d’Histoire Militaire Ancienne* 4 (2016) 113-125, with summary in English. ↗ The process of rallying the support of troops for new candidates for imperial power in the 3rd-4th centuries, according to Ammianus 25-26 and *MP* 19.1.
- JONES, Arnold H. M. *Constantine and the Conversion of Europe*. London, 1948; New York, 1949. ↗ L and Diocletian’s reforms, 26f; L on Diocletian’s abdication and the succession, 56f; L and Eusebius on Constantine’s Christianity, 89f; L on Constantine’s vision, 94f.
- JOUCLA, M. [Note about] ‘un sarcophage historié du cimetière paléo-chrétien de Saint-Paul,’ *Bulletin de la Commission Archéologique de Narbonne* 22 (1947-8) lviii-lx. ↗ Reports Henri Grégoire’s observation of a similarity between an inscription on the sarcophagus and an unspecified text of L.
- KAHLOS, Maijastina. ‘The rhetoric of tolerance and intolerance: from Lactantius to Firmicus Maternus,’ pp. 79-95 of Jörg ULRICH, Anders-Christian JACOBSEN, and Maijastina KAHLOS, edd., *Continuity and Discontinuity in Early Christian Apologetics*, Frankfurt am Main & Bern, 2009 (Early Christianity in the Context of Antiquity 5.) ↗ Movement of rhetorical strategy from a defense of Christianity accompanied by a certain tolerance, to an outright attack upon paganism.
- _____. ‘*Ritus ad solos digitos pertinens* (Lact. inst. 5.19,29): a caricature of Roman civic religion in Lactantius’ *Institutiones diuinae*, pp. 283-302 in Anders-Christian Jacobsen, Jürg Ulrich, and David Brakke, edd., *Critique and Apologetics: Jews, Christians, and Pagans in Antiquity*. Frankfurt am Main and Bern, 2009 (Early Christianity in the Context of Antiquity 4, a colloquium organized by the Faculty of Theology in the University of Aarhus, January 2007).
- KALTIO, Outi. ‘Valuing oracles and prophecies: Lactantius and the pagan seers,’ pp. 199-213 of Mika Kajava, ed., *Studies in Ancient Oracles and Divination*. Rome: Institutum Romanum Finlandiae, 2013 (*Acta Instituti Romani Finlandiae* 40). ↗ L on the Apolline oracles, the Sibyls, Hermes Trismegistus, and Hystaspes.
- KANY-TURPIN, José. ‘Lactance, un critique mésestimé de l’épicureisme,’ pp. 218-230 in Michael ERLER and Robert BEES, edd., *Epikureismus in der späten Republik und der Kaiserzeit*. Stuttgart, 2000 (Akten der 2. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung, October 1998 in Würzburg). Σ ↗ L’ argument with Lucretius in *OD*, *DI*, and *ID* on the topics of teleology, atomic theory, the fear of death, and the nature of the soul.
- KARPP, H. *Probleme Altchristlicher Anthropologie*. Gütersloh, 1950. ↗ 132-171 on *OD*.
- KEHREIN, Valentin. *Quis scripserit libellum qui est Lucii Cæcilius de mortibus persecutorum*. Stuttgart, 1877 (Münster dissertation).
- KENDEFFY, Gábor. ‘The Christian who could not bring himself to decide whether to love this world or hate it.’ *Acta Antiqua Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricæ* 40 (2000) 217-225. Σ *Ibid.* 217; *APh* 72 (2001) 344 #03107. ↗ Dualism and inconsistencies in L’ concepts of the nature of the world and of humankind.

- _____. ‘Lactantius as Christian Cicero, Cicero as shadow-like instructor,’ pp. 56-92 of William H. F. Altman, ed., *Brill’s Companion to the reception of Cicero* (Brill’s Companions to Classical Reception 2), Leiden: Brill, 2015. ↗ On the reception of Cicero in L.
- _____. ‘Lactantius on the Function of the Two Ways,’ pp. 39-44 in Jane BAUN, Averil CAMERON, and Mark EDWARDS, eds., *Studia Patristica 46: Papers presented at the Fifteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford*, 2007, Leuven and Paris: Peeters 2010. ↗ The doctrine of the Two Ways plays a key role in L’ theology, and reveals the background of his self understanding as an apologist.
- _____. ‘Lactantius on the Passions,’ *Acta Classica Universitatis Scientiarum Debrecenensis* 36 (2000) 113-129. Σ APh 71 (2000) 302 #02931. ↗ Survey of L’ ideas in DI and ID, and the implications for L’ theology and critique of the Stoic and Epicurean positions.
- _____. ‘Metaphorical Approach in Lactantius’ Theology and Cosmology,’ pp. 391-397 in Frances YOUNG, Mark EDWARDS, and Paul PARVIS, eds., *Studia Patristica 42: Papers presented at the Fourteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford* 2003. Leuven, 2006. ↗ An interpretation of L’ idea of God’s fiery substance (DI 2.9.16); his metaphorical language concerning cosmology (DI 2.9, 4.17, 4.26); connections to Apuleius’ *De Mondo*.
- KERESZTES, Paul. ‘From the great persecution to the peace of Galerius,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 37 (1983) 379-99. Σ APh 54 (1983) 655 #11305. ↗ *Passim* on MP.
- KETTERN, Bernd. Article ‘Lactantius,’ in Verlag TRAUTGOTT BAUTZ, *Biographisch-Bibliographisches Kirchenlexikon*, vol. 4 (Herzberg, 1992), cols. 952-965 = <http://www.bautz.de/bbkl/l/Lactantius.shtml>.
- KIRCHMAIER, Georg Caspar. ↗ Served as *præses* for SENFTT and ZIMMERMANN.
- KIRSCH, Wolfgang. ‘Textimmanenz und Texttranszendenz bei Interpretation literarischer Kunstwerke, am Beispiel des Phœnix-Gedichts von Laktanz,’ *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität, Rostock, gesellschaftswissenschaftliche Reihe* 34 (1985), Heft 1, pp. 26-28. Σ APh 56 (1985) 167 #2626. ↗ General treatment with remarks on modern literary theory.
- _____. ‘Triebkräfte der historischen Entwicklung bei Laktanz,’ *Klio* 66 (1984) 624-630. Σ APh 56 (1985) 167 #2625. ↗ Motivations for events in L’ historical interpretation in MP.
- KISSEL, Walter. ‘Eine falsch verstandene Laktanz-Stelle (*De opificio Dei* 19.10),’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 27 (1973) 123-128. Σ APh 44 (1973) 180. ↗ *Quam* read as a relative pronoun rather than a comparative conjunction.
- KLEIN, Joseph. ‘Zu Symphosius und Aldhelmus,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 23 (1868) 525-31, under Miscellen–Handschriftliches. ↗ Ms *inscriptiones* and the name Symphosius; collations from various mss of AS.
- KLOTZ, Alfred. ‘Das Geschichtswerk des älteren Seneca,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 56 (1901) 429-42. ↗ Connections with L on pp. 431-7 (including the comparison of Roman history to the ages of man at DI 7.15.14-19) and 440f.
- KNAPPITSCH, Anton. ‘De Cæcili Firmiani Lactantii Ave Phœnlice.’ Graz, 1896 (Programm). Intro., pp. 13-17; text with notes, 18-39. ↗ Upholds authorship of L, writing as a Christian.
⑧ BRANDT *Wochenschrift für Klassische Philologie* 48 (1896) 1312f.
- KOCH, Hugo. ‘La sopravvivenza di Cipriano nell’ antica letteratura cristiana,’ *Ricerche Religiose* 6 (1930) 304-16 & 492-501, and 7 (1931) 122-32 & 313-35. Σ APh 6 (1931) 44. ↗ Those portions found in vol. 7 deal with Cyprian and L.

- _____. 'Der «Tempel Gottes» bei Laktanz,' *Philologus* 76 (1920) 235-8. Σ *Dix Années* 1.216.
 ↗ Interpretation of *DI* 5.2.2.
- _____. 'Zu Arnobius und Lactantius,' *Philologus* 80 (1925) 467-72. ↗ Their relationship examined in the light of the texts.
- _____. 'Zwei übersehene Stellen bei Laktanz,' *Zeitschrift für die Neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die Kunde des Urchristentums* 18 (1917-18) 196-201. Σ *Dix Années* 1.216.
 ↗ Vindicates authenticity of *MP* by comparisons with *DI*.
- KÖNIG, Ingemar. 'Laktanz und das «System» der Tetrarchie,' *Labeo* 32 (1986) 180-93. Σ *APh* 59 (1988) 214 #3072. ↗ The term "tetrarchy" is a fiction based solely upon *MP* 18.5, where what scholars have turned into Diocletian's "system" is but hinted at in a fictional conversation.
- KÖTTING, Bernhard. 'Endzeitprognosen zwischen Lactantius und Augustinus,' *Historisches Jahrbuch* 77 (1958) 125-39. Σ *APh* 29 (1958) 522. ↗ On pp. 125f, the comparison at *DI* 7.15.14-19 of Roman history to the ages of man; and on pp. 134f, a discussion of *DI* 7.15 & 18.
- KOLB, Frank. *Diocletian und die erste Tetrarchie*. Berlin & New York, 1987. ↗ Pp. 131-9 on *MP*.
- _____. 'L'ideologia tetrarchica e la politica religiosa di Dioceleziano' pp. 17-44 of Giorgio BONAMENTE & Aldo NESTORI, edd., *I Cristiani e l'Impero nel IV secolo: Colloquio sul Cristianesimo nel mondo antico*. Macerata, 1988. ↗ *MP* passim.
- KOPP, Joseph. *Über den Verfasser des Buches de Mortibus persecutorum*. Saint-Ingbert, 1902 (Dissertation, Munich, 45 pp.).
- KORTHOLT, Matthias Nicolaus. ↗ Claimed at *PL* vol. 6, p. 110 to be the author of *BUFF Dissertatio*.
- KOTZÉ. *Specimen historico-theologicum de Lactantio*. Utrecht, 1861.
- KRAFT, Heinrich. *Die Kirchenväter bis zum Konzil von Nicäa*. Bremen, 1966. ↗ Pp. 437-72 on L; prose translation of *AP* on pp. 462-7.
- KRAFT, Heinz. 'In welchem Zeichen siegte Konstantin?' *Theologische Literaturzeitung* 77 (1952) 118-20. Σ *APh* 23 (1952) 448. ↗ *MP* 44.4f and Constantine's vision.
- _____. *Kaiser Konstantins religiöse Entwicklung*. Tübingen, 1955. ↗ Some notes on L on pp. 207, 212f.
- KRAHNER, Leopold Heinrich (1810-84). *Grundlinien zur Geschichte des Verfalls der römischen Staatsreligion bis auf die Zeit des August. Eine Literarhistorische Abhandlung*. Halle, 1837 (Programm der Lateinischen Hauptschule zu Halle). ↗ P. 39, note 2 on Οὐράνιος διφρός = Caeli sella at *DI* 1.11.63.
- KRARUP, Per. 'Zur Rekonstruktion des letzten Teiles von Ciceros *De re publica* II,' *Classica et Mediævalia* 21 (1960) 20-28. Σ *APh* 31 (1960) 55. ↗ Pp. 24f on *DI* 5.14.3-5 & *EDI* 15.5.
- KRAUSE, Wilhelm. *Die Stellung der frühchristlichen Autoren zur heidnischen Literatur*. Wien, 1958. ↗ Summaries of L' works on pp. 30-33; his attitude to the classics on pp. 119-23; pp. 179-254 on direct quotations.
- KREBS, Johann August. ↗ Served as *Præses* for PETERMANN *Dissertatio*.
- KRENKEL, Werner. 'Vermutungen zu lateinischen Texten,' *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität, Rostock, Gesellschafts und sprachwissenschaftliche Reihe* 11 (1962) 319-320. Σ *APh* 34 (1963) 305. ↗ §2 on *DI* 6.18.6.
- KRETZENBACHER, Leopold. *Die Seelenwaage. Zur religiösen Idee vom Jenseitsgericht auf der Schicksalswaage in Hochreligion, Bildkunst und Volksgläub*e. Klagenfurt, 1958. ↗ *DI* 7.20.6 on pp. 59f.

- KREYSSIG, Johann Gottlieb. *Commentatio de locis Gellii Noct. Att. Lib. VI, cap. I et Lactantii Epit. Inst. Div. cap. 29.* Meissen, 1827. ↗ Restoring the text of Gellius through Lactantius.
- KRUG, Heinrich. ‘Zum Text von Ennius’ *Euhemerus*,’ *Forschungen und Fortschritte* 24 (1948) 57-59. Σ *APh* 19 (1948) 50. ↗ Surveys scholarly literature on the Euhemerus fragments, and offers a criterion to determine direct or indirect quotations by L; see also FRAENKEL, ‘Additional Note,’ n. 1 on p. 56.
- KUHOFF, Wolfgang. ‘Die dioletianische Tetrarchie als Epoche einer historischen Wende in antiker und moderner Sicht,’ *International Journal of the Classical Tradition* 9.2 (2002-3) 177-194. Σ *ibid.* p. 177 in English; *APh* 75 (2004) 1132f #10278. ↗ The tetrarchy is not in fact so much a turning point in history as Lactantius’ attitude to Diocletian has suggested (*MP* 7.1-4, 8.1, 12.1).
- KUNICK, H. J. *Der Lateinische Begriff patientia bei Laktanz.* Freiburg im Breisgau, 1955 (dissertation). Σ *WLOSOK Gnosis*, preface, p. vii. ↗ Pp. 4-120 on *patientia* in pagan Roman literature; pp. 121-176, *patientia* in Christian Latin literature before L; pp. 177-210, *patientia* in L; pp. 211-214, conclusion; *index locorum*, bibliography.
- KURFESS, Alfons. ‘Alte lateinische Sibyllenverse,’ *Theologische Quartalschrift* 133 (1953) 80-96. Σ *APh* 24 (1953) 124. ↗ L’ Sybilline oracles.
- _____. ‘Augustin und die tiburtinische Sibylle,’ *Ibid.*, 131 (1951) 458-463. Σ *APh* 23 (1952) 29. ↗ Sybilline oracles at *DI* 4.17-49 and Augustine *De Civitate Dei* 18.23; Latin translations of the oracles.
- _____. ‘Kaiser Konstantin und die erythräische Sibylle,’ *Zeitschrifte für Religions- und Geistgeschichte* 4 (1952) 42-57. Σ *APh* 24 (1953) 54. ↗ Sybilline oracles in the *Oratio ad Sanctos* and L.
- _____. ‘Kaiser Konstantin und die Sibylle,’ *Theologische Quartalschrift* 117 (1936) 11-26. ↗ L’ Sybilline oracles.
- _____. ‘Lactantius und Plato,’ *Philologus* 78 (1923) 381-93. Σ *Dix Années* 1.216.
- _____. ‘Zu dem Apokryphen Briefwechsel zwischen dem Philosophen Seneca und Paulus dem Apostel,’ *Aevum* 26 (1952) 42-8. Σ *APh* 23 (1952) 44. ↗ *DI* 6.24.12-14 on p. 43.
- KUTSCH, Rev. Gulielmus, S.J. *In Lactanti De Ira dei librum quæstiones philologæ.* Leipzig, 1933 (Klassisch-Philologische Studien 6, 83 pp.). ↗ Genre and sources.
- ⑧ BORLEFFS, *Museum. Maandblad voor Philologie en Geschiedenis* 42 (1934) 64; PETERSON, *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte* 57 (1938) 252; SCALAIIS, *Les Études Classiques* 3 (1934) 274; THEILER, *Gnomon* 10 (1934) 106f.
- LABARRE, Sylvie. ‘Prudence, les Étrusques et l’étrusca disciplina,’ pp. 123-130 of *La divination dans le monde italique*, vol. 9, *Les écrivains du IV^e siècle: L’Etrusca Disciplina dans un monde en mutation.* Tours, 2005 (Actes de la table ronde tenue à Clermont-Ferrand 17-18 Sept 1999). ↗ Prudentius’ picture of the Etruscans in *Contra Symmachus*, with a poetic transposition of the account by L (*DI* 4.27-32 and *MP* 10.1-4) of a divination interrupted by someone making the sign of the cross.
- LABRIOLLE, Pierre Champagne de. ‘Apatheia,’ pp. 215-223 in Pierre CHANTRAINE *et al.*, edd., *Mélanges de philologie, de littérature et d’histoire anciennes offerts à Alfred ERNOUT.* Paris, 1940. ↗ *DI* 6.15f on pp. 219f.
- _____. & Gustave BARDY. *Histoire de la Littérature Latine Chrétienne.*³ Paris, 1947. ↗ Book II, ch. IV, §§ VII-XIII on L (pp. 282-318); Book III, ch. IV, §3 on AP (pp. 477-9).
- _____. *History and Literature of Christianity from Tertullian to Boethius*, tr. of the foregoing by Herbert WILSON. London & New York, 1924. ↗ Book II, ch. IV, §§ VII-XIII on L (pp. 199-219); Book III, ch. IV, §3 on AP (pp. 320f).

- LACANDIA, Rosaria. ‘Forme sentenziose in Lattanzio,’ *Vetera Christianorum* 4 (1967) 73-83. Σ *APh* 38 (1967) 144. ↗ Style, diction, and *clausulae* in a range of passages.
- LADNER, Gerhart Burian. *The Idea of Reform: its impact on Christian thought and action in the age of the Fathers*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1959. ↗ L on pp. 139-41.
- LAMIRANDE, Émilien. Article ‘Lactance,’ cols. 48-59 of M. VILLER *et al.*, *Dictionnaire de Spiritualité: ascétique et mystique, doctrine et histoire*, vol. 9. Paris, 1976. ↗ L’ theology and spirituality.
- LANDI, Carlo. ‘Il carme de ave phœnix e il suo autore,’ *Atti e Memorie della reale Accademia di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti in Padova* N.S. 31, anno 374 (1914-15) 33-72. ↗ Interprets AP as the expression of a mystical synthesis of neo-Platonism and Mithraism, and concludes that its author is Lactantius Placidus.
- ® *Bollettino di Filologia Classica* 21 (1915) 190.
- LANE FOX, Robin. *Pagans and Christians*. New York, 1987. ↗ L’ life and writings, pp. 604-7; Constantine’s vision and *MP* 44.5, pp. 613-17; *AP*, 639-41; L and Constantine, 659-61; L’ use of oracles, 679-80 (see also p. 171 on *DI* 1.7).
- ® BEARD, *Times Literary Supplement* 86 (1987) 179; FOWDEN, *Journal of Roman Studies* 78 (1988) 173-82; FREND, *Downside Review* 105 (1987) 224-31; GRANT, *Church History* 56 (1987) 379-81; POHLSANDER, *Classical Journal* 83 (1988) 347f; POTTER, *Journal of Roman Archaeology* 1 (1988) 207-14; TODD, *Antiquity* 61 (1987) 500f.
- LA PENNA, Antonio. ‘Un dubbio su Properzio 4,4,3,’ *Maia* 47.1 (1995) 35f. Σ *APh* 66 (1995) 302 #03715. ↗ *Conditus* vs. *consitus* at *AP* 9 and Propertius 4.4.3.
- LAQUALE, Marilena. ‘Lattanzio (*div. inst.* I 21, 25-27) interprete di Ovidio (*fast.* VI 319-348),’ *Vetera Christianorum* 35.1 (1998) 79-96 with illustration. Σ *APh* 73 (2002) #03485. ↗ L transforms Ovid’s explanation of ritual origins into an indignant attack upon their immorality.
- LASZLO, Renate. *Die poetischen Dichtungen des Lactantius*. Marburg, 2002. ↗ Identifies the *Symposium* listed under L’ works by Jerome (*De Viris Illustribus* 80) as *AS*, and the Ὀδοιπορικόν as the *Historia Apollonii Regis Tyri*.
- ® FREUND, *Plekos* 5 (2003) 69, available at <http://www.plekos.uni-muenchen.de/2003/rakta.html> & [~pdf](#).
- LAUGHTON, Eric. ‘The Prose of Ennius,’ *Eranos* 49 (1951) 35-49. Σ *APh* 22 (1951) 46. ↗ On the fragments of Ennius’ translation of Euhemerus’ *Iερὰ Αναγραφή* at *DI* 1.13.14-14.2; supplemented by FRÄNKEL ‘Additional Note.’
- LAURIN, Joseph-Rhéal, O.M.I. *Orientations maîtresses des apologistes chrétiens de 270 à 361*. Rome, 1954 (Analecta Gregoriana 61). ↗ Life and chronology of L on pp. 186-93; *OD*, 193-206; *DI*, 206-87; *ID*, 287-304; *MP*, 326-38; *EDI*, 339-43.
- LAUSBERG, Marion. ‘Christliche Nächstenliebe und heidnische Ethik bei Laktanz,’ pp. 29-34 in Elizabeth A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 13, part 2 of the Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1971. Berlin, 1975 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 116). ↗ Ethical concepts from Cicero and Seneca used to describe the hereafter at *DI* 6;10-12.
- _____. *Untersuchungen zu Senecas Fragmenten*. Berlin, 1970 (Untersuchungen zur Antiken Literatur und Geschichte 7; from a 1969 Münster dissertation). ↗ Pp. 1-50 on L and Seneca; pp. 53-227 on the fragments, with L *passim*; see Register, pp. 248f & 255f.
- LAWLOR, Hugh Jackson. ‘Notes on Lactantius,’ *Hermathena* 12 (1902-03) 447-469. ↗ Various matters, including L’ apologetical technique, the dualistic passages and dedications to Constantine, and L’ use of the book of Enoch.

- LEADBETTER, William L. ‘Lactantius and Paideia in the latin West,’ pp. 245-252 in T. W. Hillard, R. A. Kearsley, C.E.V. Nixon, and A.M. Nobbs, edd., *Ancient History in a Modern University (Conference proceedings at Macquarie University, 8-13 July 1993)*, Vol. II, *Early Christianity, Late Antiquity, and Beyond*. ↗ L deploys classical educational tradition in his apologetic aiming to bridge the gap between tradition and Christianity.
- LE BONNIEC, Henri. *Arnobe: Contre les Gentils*. Paris, 1982. ↗ L and Arnobius on pp. 15f, where he follows MICKA and MCCRACKEN.
- _____. ‘Une interprétation chrétienne de la mythologie gréco-latine: l’exploitation d’Ovide par Lactance,’ pp. 75-87 of P. M. MARTIN & Ch. M. TERNEZ, edd., *La Mythologie: clef de lecture du monde classique: hommage à Raymond CHEVALIER*, vol. 1. Tours, 1986 (Cæsarodunum N° 21 bis). Σ APh 65 (1994) 276 #3598.
- LECLERCQ, Dom Henri, O.S.B. Article ‘Lactance’ in columns 1018-41 of Dom Fernand CABROL, O.S.B. & Dom Henri LECLERCQ, O.S.B., eds., *Dictionnaire d’archéologie chrétienne et de liturgie*, vol. 8. Paris, 1927.
- _____. Article ‘Phénix’ in vol. 4, cols. 682-91, *ibid.* Paris, 1939. ↗ Cols. 683-5 on AP.
- LECOQC, Françoise. ‘L’Empereur romain et le Phénix,’ pp. 27-56 in Silvia FABRIZIO-COSTA, ed., *Phénix: mythe(s) et signe(s): actes du colloque international de Caen (12-14 octobre 2000)*. Bern, Berlin et al., 2001. ↗ On AP and the 1000 year phœnix cycle, p. 41; on AP and imperial coinage, 49 & 51-54.
- _____. ‘Le sexe incertain du phénix: de la zoologie à la théologie,’ pp. 187-210 of Laurence Gaußerez, ed., *Le phénix et son autre: poétique d’un mythe (des origines au XVI^e siècle)*. Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 2013. ↗ The sex of the phoenix, though indeterminate in ancient texts, notably in Ovid and L, became important in a Christian context, where the phoenix symbolized the resurrection of the body.
- LÉCUYER, Joseph, C.SS.P. ‘Jésus, fils de Josédec, et le sacerdoce du Christ,’ *Recherches de Science Religieuse* 43 (1955) 82-103. Σ APh 26 (1955) 181. ↗ Pp. 91f on DI 4.14.
- LENAZ, Luciano. ‘Tre note sulla vechiaia,’ *BSTudLat* 38.1 (2008) 57-61. ↗ Vergil *Aen.* 8.485-8, Hor. *Epist.* 1.1.28-31, and *EDI* 1.21.
- LE NOURRY, Rev. Dom Denis Nicolas. *Dissertatio de Lactantii libro de ira dei*. PL 7, cols. 147-56. ↗ Analysis of the text, L’ reasons for writing, its Ciceronian style, dedicatee, mss., and editions.
- _____. *Dissertatio de septem divinarum institutionum libris*. PL 6, cols. 823-84. ↗ Analysis of the text, life of L, his reasons for writing, interpolations, and L’ errors.
- _____. *Dissertatio, in qua de huius libri [MP] auctore disputatur, et omnia loca dubia, difficilia, obscura, variaeque auctoris opiniones examinantur, explicantur, illustrantur*. ↗ From his edition (Paris, 1710), pp. 103-403, with detailed index; denies Lactantian authorship. Ch. 1: analysis of MP and its codex; 2: on the author, on Donatus, and the three persecuting judges; 3: on the editions; 4: New notes for this edition; 5: doctrinal content; 6-8: the persecutions; 9-15: the Emperors and their families from Diocletian’s wife Prisca and daughter Valeria through Constantine. Reprinted in PL 7, cols. 839-1012.
- LEPELLEY, Claude. ‘Quelques parvenus de la culture de l’Afrique romaine tardive,’ pp. 583-94 of *De Tertullien aux Mozarabes: Mélanges offerts à Jacques FONTAINE I: Antiquité tardive et christianisme ancien (III^e-VI^e siècles)*. Paris, 1992 (Collection des Études Augustinianes, Série Antiquité 132). ↗ On pp. 587f, critique of St. Jerome’s report of L’ inactivity at Nicomedia.
- LEROY, Maxime. ‘Le Chant du Phénix. L’ordre des vers dans le Carmen de ave Phœnix,’ *L’Antiquité Classique* 1 (1932) 213-231. Σ APh 7 (1932) 32. ↗ Suggests a major reordering of verses, the better to match Gregory of Tours, *De Cursu Stellarum* 12.

- ® COLOMBO, *Il Mondo Classico* 4 (1934) 322-4.
- LEUILLIER, Ch. *Études sur Lactance, apôtre de la religion chrétienne*. Caen, 1846 (thesis).
- LIETZMANN, Hans. *Geschichte der alten Kirche*, 4 vols. Leipzig, 1932-44. ☐ On Lactantius: Vol. III, *Die Reichskirche bis zum Tode Julians*, pp. 166-72 on L in general; 44-67 on Diocletian's accession, with frequent references to *MP*; 149 on Constantine's policies and *DI*.
- _____. *A History of the Early Church*, translation of the foregoing by Bertram Lee WOOLF. New York, 1949-52. ☐ On L, vol. III, *From Constantine to Julian* (New York & London, 1950; London, 1963), pp. 174-9 on L in general; 59-81 on Diocletian's persecution and Constantine's accession, with frequent references to *MP*; 158 on Constantine's polices and *DI*.
- _____. 'Laktantius,' article in columns 351-356 of Wilhlem KROLL, ed., *Pauly's Real-Encyclopädie der classischen Altertumswissenschaft*, vol. 12 (Stuttgart, 1924).
- LIMBERG, Heinrich. *Quo iure Lactantius appelleatur Cicero christianus. Commentatio philologica. Monasterii Guestfalarum*, 1896. ☐ Use of cases in L: accus., 7-19; dat., 20-32; gen., 32-5; abl., 35-40.
- ® BRANDT, *Archiv für Lateinische Lexikologie und Grammatik* 10 (1898) 302-5; LÜDEMANN, *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 11 (1898) 547.
- LO CICERO, Carla. 'Il certamen col nemico: sopravvivenza e rielaborazione di topoi classici in un passo di Lattanzio (*div. inst. 6, 4, 15-24*)' *Pan: studi dell'Istituto di Filologia latina dell'Università di Palermo* 14 (1995) 159-168. Σ APh 70 (1999) 282 #02829. ☐ Military metaphors describing spiritual struggle from classical Latin literature.
- _____. 'Echi senecani e tecnica della contaminazione in un passo di Lattanzio,' *Pan: studi dell'Istituto di Filologia latina dell'Università di Palermo* 9 (1989) 65-9. Σ APh 61 (1990) 160 #2261. ☐ DI 5.7.
- _____. 'Omnium Stoicorum acutissimus: Seneca filosofo in Lattanzio: intertestualità e rescrittura,' pp. 1237-61 of *Studi di filologia classica in onore di Giusto MONACO*, vol. III: *Letteratura latina dall'età di Tiberio all'età del basso impero*. Palermo, 1991.
- _____. 'Una "citazione" di Seneca in Lattanzio e l'epilogo del V libro delle *Divinæ Institutiones*,' *Orpheus* n.s. 12.2 (1991) 378-410. Σ AP 62 (1991) 205, #2925. ☐ DI 5.22.11-17.
- LÖBE, Rudolf. 'In scriptorem carminis de Phœnix quod L. Cæli Firmiani Lactantii esse creditur observationes,' *Jahrbücher für protestantische Theologie* 18 (1892) 34-65. ☐ Defends authenticity on grounds of thought and style; cf. Brandt 'Zum Phönix' 640.
- LÖFSTEDT, Bengt. 'Drei Neue Patristica,' in *Acta Antiqua Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 42 (2002) 217-222, with summary in English. ☐ Observations on the Latin of L and two other Fathers.
- LÖHR, Winrich A. 'Der gerechte Gott und seine Verteidiger: eine altkirchliche Debatte,' pp. 387-397 of Joachim MEHLHAUSEN, ed., *Recht—Macht—Gerechtigkeit*. Gütersloh, 1998. Σ APh 10 (1999) 1202 #12427. ☐ Marcion, Tertullian, Origen, and L.
- LÖW, Andreas. *Hermes Trismegistos als Zeuge der Wahrheit: die christliche Hermetikrezeption von Athenagoras bis Laktanz*. Berlin & Vienna, 2002 (Theophaneia 36). Σ APh 74 (2003) 284 #02556. ☐ L on pp. 88-260; bibliography, 261-284; indices of passages, names, and subjects.
- ® FREUND, *Pleklos* 5 (2003) 70-73, available at <http://www.pleklos.uni-muenchen.de/2003/rkltanz.html & ~pdf>.
- LOI, Vincenzo, S.D.B.. 'Il concetto di «iustitia» e i fattori culturali dell' etica di Lattanzio,' *Salesianum* 28 (1966) 583-625. Σ in Latin, p. 583; in modern languages, pp. 624f; APh 37 (1966) 144.
- _____. 'La concorrenza tra aggettivo e genitivo adnominale nel latino di Lattanzio,' *AION* 8 (1968) 87-111. Σ APh 39 (1968) 154. ☐ Christian Latin usage as found in L.

- _____. ‘Cristologia e soteriologia nella dottrina di Lattanzio,’ *Rivista di Storia e Letterature religiosa* 4 (1968) 237-287. ΣAPh 42 (1971) 161. \Rightarrow Analysis of *DI* 4 (and some of *DI* 7) on pp. 282-7.
- _____. ‘La funzione sociale della iustitia nella polemica anti-pagana di Lattanzio,’ pp. 843-852 in *Letterature comparate: problemi e metodo. Studi in onore di Ettore PARATORE*. Bologna, 1981. \Rightarrow Principally *DI* 5 & 6 and *EDI*.
- _____. ‘La giustizia sociale nell’ etica Lattanziana,’ *Augustinianum* 17 (1977) 153-160. ΣAPh 49 (1978) 198 #2643.
- _____. ‘L’interpretazione giuridica del *testamentum* divino nella storia della salvezza (dalla *Vetus Latina* a Lattanzio),’ *Augustinianum* 16 (1976) 41-52. ΣAPh 49 (1978) 402 #5477.
- _____. *Lattanzio nella storia del linguaggio e del pensiero teologico preniceno*. Zürich, 1970 (Pontificale Athenæum Salesianum, Facultas Theologica, Series 1, Fontes, vol. 5). \Rightarrow Bibliography on pp. 281-92; indices, 295-331.
- ® DE ALDAMA, *Estudios Eclesiásticos* 45 (1970) 590; DI BERNARDINO, *Augustinianum* 13 (1973) 163; GALLICET, *Rivista di Storia e Letterature religiosa* 7 (1971) 150-2; GRYSON, *Revue d’Histoire Ecclésiastique* 65 (1970) 1116; MORESCHINI, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Lettere e Filosofia* 2 (1972) 964f; NAUTIN, *Revue de l’Histoire des Religions* (1973) 99f.
- _____. ‘Il libro quarto delle *Divinæ Institutiones* fu da Lattanzio composto in Gallia?’ pp. 61-79 in *Mélanges CHRISTINE MOHRMANN: Nouveau Recueil offert par ses anciens élèves*. Utrecht, 1973. ΣAPh 44 (1973) 180. \Rightarrow Argues from internal evidence for composition in Gaul.
- _____. *Origini e Caratteristiche della Latinità cristiana*. Rome, 1978 (Supplemento 1 ai Bollettini dei Classici, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei). \Rightarrow L on pp. 30-32 & 52.
- _____. ‘Per la storia del vocabolo “sacramentum”: “sacramentum” in Lattanzio,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 18 (1964) 85-107. ΣAPh 35 (1964) 121. \Rightarrow Various *sacramenta* in L: *veræ religionis, Dei, mundi, hominis, divinum, scripturæ, Iudaicæ religionis, virtutis, cubili*.
- _____. ‘Problema del male e dualismo negli scritti di Lattanzio,’ *Annali della Facoltà di Lettere e Filosofia dell’ Università di Cagliari* 29 (1961-1965) 37-96. ΣAPh 39 (1968) 154.
- _____. ‘Il termine *arcانum* e la disciplina dell’ arcano nelle testimonianze di Lattanzio,’ *Annali della Facoltà di Lettere, Filosofia, e Magistero della Università di Cagliari* 37 (1974-75) 71-89. ΣAPh 47 (1976) 190f #2301.
- _____. ‘Il termine *mysterium* nella letteratura latina cristiana prenicena,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 19 (1965) 210-232 & 20 (1966) 25-44. \Rightarrow L on pp. 227-32 & 25f.
- _____. ‘I valori etici e politici della romanità negli scritti di Lattanzio: opposti atteggiamenti di polemica e di adesione,’ *Salesianum* 27 (1965) 65-133. \Rightarrow Development of L’ attitude to Rome.
- LOMAS SALMONTE, Francisco Javier. ‘Notas lactancianas: a propósito de una traducción de las *Institutiones divinas*,’ *Habis* 22 (1991) 361-9. $\Sigma Ibid.$ p. 361 in Spanish and English; *APh* 66 (1995) 200 #02436. \Rightarrow Review of SÁNCHEZ SALOR’S translation of *DI*, q.v. *supra* in part III.
- LONG, Jacqueline F. ‘How to read a halo: three (or more) versions of Constantine’s vision,’ pp. 227-35 in Andrew Jason CAIN and Noel Emmanuel LENSKI, edd., *The Power of Religion in Late Antiquity*, selected papers from the 7th biennial Shifting Frontiers in Late Antiquity, held at the University of Colorado at Boulder, 2007. Aldershot, 2009. \Rightarrow On the three sources, namely anon. Panegyric of 310, L, and Eusebius, for Constantine’s vision.
- LÓPEZ SÁNCHEZ, Fernando. ‘“Under this sign you shall be the ruler!”: Eusebius, the “Chi-Rho” letters and the ἄρχοντ of Constantine,’ pp. 137-158 (summary in Spanish on pp. 22-23) of Álvaro Sánchez-Ostiz, ed., *Beginning and End: from Ammianus Marcellinus to Eusebius of Caesarea* (Exemplaria Classica, Anejo 7). Huelva: Universidad de Huelva, 2016. \Rightarrow Constantine’s vision of a sign in heaven, according to Eusebius *Vita Constantini* 1.28-29 and L *MP* 44.4-5.

- LORCH, Maristella DE PANIZZA. *A Defense of Life: Lorenzo Valla's Theory of Pleasure*. Munich, 1985. ↗ Pp. 61-72 on L, Antonio DA RHO, and Valla.
- LUCIANI, Sabine and Béatrice BAKHOUCHE, eds. *Le De Opificio Dei: regards croisés sur l'anthropologie de Lactance. Actes des journées d'études organisées à Montpellier, 24-25 November 2005*. Publications de l'Université de Saint-Étienne, 2007 (Mémoires du Centre Jean-Palerne, 31).
- ⑧ A. PRENNER, *Bulletino di studi latini* 38.2 (2008) 812-14.
- LÜHR, Franz-Frieder. ‘Weltreiche und Lebensalter: Ein Kapitel Laktanz,’ *Der altsprachliche Unterricht* 21.5 (1978) 19-35. Σ APh 49 (1978) 198 #2644
- _____. ‘Zur Darstellungen und Bewertung von Massenreaktionen in der lateinischen Literatur,’ *Hermes* 107 (1979) 92-114. Σ APh 50 (1979) 343 #4997. ↗ DI 6.20.32f on p. 111.
- LUNEAU, Auguste. *L'Histoire du salut chez les Pères de l'église: la doctrine des âges du monde*. Paris, 1964 (Théologie Historique 2). ↗ L' millenarism on pp. 220f; his philosophy of history and eschatology on 229-34 & 281-2. See also index, p. 430.
- MACKAY, Charles S. ‘Lactantius and the Succession to Diocletian,’ *Classical Philology* 94 (1999) 198-209. Σ APh 70 (1999) 282 #02831. ↗ How MP 18 misrepresents the succession to Diocletian, particularly in the case of Maximinus, in order to bolster the claims of Constantine; demonstration that Maximinus' native name is Daza, not Daia.
- MADDALENA, Antonio. ‘Per la definizione storica del *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Atti del Reale Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti* 94.2 (1934-5) 557-88. Σ APh 12 (1937) 70. ↗ Concludes for authenticity; see also Baynes' review in *Gnomon* 13 (1937) 507f.
- _____. ‘Sulle fonti per la storia di Diocleziano e Costantino,’ *ibid.* 95.2 (1936) 247-75. ↗ MP, Zosiums, Eutropius, Zonaras, the *Epitome de Cæsaribus*, the *Anonymus Valesianus*.
- MAGAZZÙ, Cesare. ‘L'influsso del *De opificio Dei* di Lattanzio sul libro XI della *Etymologiae* di Isidoro,’ *Bollettino di Studi latini* 12 (1982) 247-50. Σ APh 54 (1983) 178 #2837. ↗ Discussion of several parallel passages.
- MAGGIO, Alessandro. ‘Tre testimonianze sulla metrica di Difilo,’ *Incontri di Filologia Classica* 15 (2015-2016) 11-167, with a resumé in English on p. vii. ↗ Analysis of various metrics in the comedies of Diphilus through the evidence of L fr. 2, Aphthonius *De metris* 2.2, and Sacerdos 3.3.
- MAGGIULLI, Gigliola. ‘Nonio e Lattanzio,’ pp. 119-122 in *Studi Noniani* III. Genoa, 1975 (Pubblicazioni dell' Istituto di Filologia classica e medievale 41). Σ APh 47 (1976) 200 #2431. ↗ OD 11.12 & DI 1.22.13.
- MAHÉ, Jean Pierre. ‘Note sur l'*Asclepios* à l'époque de Lactance,’ p. 295 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ↗ L' Latin version of the *Logos Teleios* compared with the Latin version transmitted under the title *Asclepius*.
- MAKSIMOVA, I. V. [‘The problem of *numina* in the light of evidence from Arnobius and Lactantius’], *Sbornik naučnykh trudov Mosk. gos. inst. inostrannykh jazykov im. Morisa Toreza* 347 (1989) 104-14. ↗ Written in Russian.
- MANCINI, Augusto. ‘La pretesa *Oratio Constantini ad Sanctorum Caetum*,’ *Studi Storici* 3 (1894) 92-117 & 207-27. ↗ The *O ad S* a 5th century forgery and not the work of Eusebius. State of the question. Dependence of *O ad S* on DI on pp. 100, 104, 211-219. Pp. 207-9 on the relation of *O ad S* and DI 7.19f to the acrostic Sybilline oracle. 209f on the relation of *O ad S* to Vergil's *Ecl* 4.

- _____. ‘Quæstiones Lactantianæ,’ *Studi Storici* 2 (1893) 444-64. ↗ I & II, pp. 444-51 on *OD*; III, pp. 451-3 on Donatus, the dedicatee of *ID*; IV, pp. 453-64, undermines alleged similarities between *MP* and *EDI*. BRANDT in his response ‘Adnotatiunculæ’ largely disagrees.
- _____. Review article of Belser, ‘Über den Verfasser.’ *Studi Storici* 2 (1893) 105-22. ↗ Sides with BRANDT against BELSER’s vindication of the authenticity of *MP*.
- _____. ‘La storia ecclesiastica di Eusebio e il *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Studi Storici* 5 (1896) 555-71 & 6 (1897) 125-135. ↗ In the first part, a detailed comparison of *MP* 13, 15, 33, 36, & 37 with *HE* 8.2, 5, 6, 13, 14, & 17. In the second part, comparison of *MP* 42, 46, & 48 with *HE* 9.10 and 10.1, 9, & 11.
- ® WEYMAN, *Byzantinische Zeitschrift* (1898) 471.
- _____. ‘Sull’ Acrostico della Sibilla Eritrea,’ *Studi Italiani di Filologia Classica* 46 (1896) 537-40. ↗ The sibylline texts at *DI* 7.16.8-11.
- MANITIUS, Max. ‘Beiträge zur Geschichte römischer Dichter im Mittelalter,’ *Philologus* 51 = n.F. 5 (1892) 156-171. ↗ AS pp. 158-9: various ms. *inscriptiones*; later citations of *AS*.
- _____. *Geschichte der christlich-lateinischen Poesie*. Stuttgart, 1891. ↗ pp. 49f on *PD*.
- _____. *Philologisches aus alten Bibliothekskatalogen (bis 1300)*. *Rheinisches Museum* n.F 47 (1892) Ergänzungsheft, pp. 1-152. ↗ On p. 152, ms. evidence about *AP*. *AS* at p. 110, ‘Symphosius.’
- _____. ‘Zu späten lateinischen Dichtern: II, Das Carmen *de passione Domini*,’ *Rheinisches Museum* n.F. 45 (1890) 156. ↗ Suggests a late date.
- _____. ‘I. Zur Anthologia Latina,’ *Rheinisches Museum* n.F. 48 (1893) 474-6. ↗ On pp. 474-5 under ‘2. Symphosius,’ a discussion of rhyme in *AS*.
- MARBACH, Friedrich. *Die Psychologie des Firmianus Lactantius. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Psychologie*. Jena, 1889 (dissertation), & Halle, 1897.
- ® PICAVET, *Revue Philosophique* 2 (1897) 311; L. STEIN, *Deutsche Literaturzeitung für Kritik der internationalen Wissenschaft* 11 (1890) 1340f.
- MARCOS, Mar. ‘Portrait of a persecutor: the defeat and death of Maximinus Daia in Christian historiography,’ vol. 1, pp. 13-36 of Giorgio Vespignani, ed., *Polidoro: studi offerti ad Antonio CARILE*. Spoleto: Centro Italiano di Studi sull’ Alto Medioevo, 2013. ↗ Maximinus was clearly the victim of a *damnatio memoriae* in hostile Christian texts like those of Eusebius and L. An attempt is made to compare these texts with non-Christian sources like Aurelius Victor, Eutropius, the *Epitome de Caesaribus*, and Zosimus.
- MARLETTA, S. *Lattanzio e il De mortibus persecutorum*. Thesis, Università di Catania. Noted in *Nuovo Didaskaleion* 1 (1947) 99.
- MAROUZEAU, Jules. ‘La leçon par exemple’ (suite), *Revue des Études Latines* 26 (1948) 105-108. Σ *APh* 19 (1948) 126; discusses *DI* 1.1.10 on p. 107.
- MARROU, Henri Irénée. ‘Autour du monogramme Constantinienne,’ pp. 403-414 in *Mélanges offerts à Étienne GILSON*. Toronto and Paris, 1959. Σ *APh* 31 (1960) 116. ↗ Pp. 403-10 on *MP* 44.5.
- MARTIN, Joseph. ‘Christliche lateinische Dichter (1900-1927),’ *Jahresbericht für Altertumswissenschaft* 221 (1929) 65-140. ↗ *PD* on p. 86, surveying literature to date and arguing against Brandt’s ascription to an anonymous renaissance humanist.
- _____. ‘Ein frühchristliches Kreuzigungsbild?’ pp. 151-168 of Reinhard HERBIG, ed., *Würzburger Festgabe H. BULLE dargebracht zum 70. Geburtstage*. Stuttgart, 1938 (Würzburger Studien zur Altertumswissenschaft 13). ↗ Thorough discussion of *PD*’s mss. and Latinity; locates it in southern Gaul in the 5th-6th century.

- _____. ‘Zu Novatians *De Bono Pudicitiae*,’ *Wochenschrift für Klassische Philologie* 36 (1919) 239f. Σ *Dix Années* 1.255. ↗ Note on the influence of *DI* 1.10.12 upon *De Bono Pudicitiae* 3.
- MARTÍN RODRÍGUEZ, María Teresa. ‘Possibles adaptaciones de las «Sátiras» de Juvenal en autores cristianos tardoantiguos,’ *Antigüedad y Cristianismo* 14 (1997) 189-198. Σ *ibid.* in English; *APh* 75 (2004) 328 #0290. ↗ On the revival of Juvenal in L. and Prudentius.
- MARTÍNEZ MANZANO, Teresa. ‘Manuscritos latinos humanísticos de la Universidad de Salamanca: «specimina selecta», *Cuadernos de Filología Clásica, Estudios Latinos* 33.2 (2013) 401-412. ↗ On 5 mss, of which the last, Salamanca Biblioteca Univ. 2679, has a Greek text restored from *DI*.
- MARTÍNEZ PASTOR, Marcelo. ‘Tertuliano y Lactancio sobre los poetas,’ pp. 345-363 in Antonio Alberte GONZÁLEZ & Cristóbal Macías VILLALOBOS, eds., *Cristianismo y tradición Latina: actas del congreso internacional, Málaga, 25-28 de abril de 2000*. Madrid, 2001.
- MARTROYE, François. *Bulletin de la Société Nationale des Antiquaires de France* (1915) 105-7. Σ *Dix Années* 1.130. ↗ On L, Eusebius, and the Edict of Milan.
- MASANTE, Maresa. ‘Lattanzio Firmiano o Lattanzio Placido autore del «De Ave Phoenice»?’ *Didaskaleion* N.S. 3 (1925) 1.105-110. Σ *APh* 5 (1930) 56. ↗ L’ attention to ancient philosophy; his opposition to Epicureanism interpreted as antimaterialism.
- MASLOWSKI, Tadeusz. ‘The Opponents of Lactantius [*Inst. VII. 7. 7-13*],’ *California Studies in Classical Antiquity* 7 (1974) 187-213. Σ *APh* 46 (1975) 185. ↗ L’ attitude towards Epicureanism reviewed in the light of his primary concern with atomism as a representation of ancient materialism, the real threat to Christian teaching.
- MASON, Arthur James. *The Persecution of Diocletian*. Cambridge, 1876. ↗ *MP* used as source *passim*. L & Diocletian, 58 n. 2, 80; *MP* and its purposes, 64, 255f n. 2; L’ life and sources, 65f.
- MASTANDREA, Paolo. ‘Novità nel campo della critica congetturale (ed esempi di restauro a testi de prosa latina),’ pp. 111-118 in Laura CASARSA, Lucio CRISTANTE, & Marco FERNANDELLI, eds., *Culture Europee e tradizione latina: atti del Convegno internazionale di studi, Cividale del Friuli, Fondazione Niccolò Canussio, 16-17 novembre 2001*. Trieste, 2003 Σ *APh* 74 (2003) 971 #09230. ↗ Textual suggestions for Sallust, Tacitus, Apuleius, and *MP* 3.5.
- MATTIOLI, Umberto. ‘*L'innocentia* in Lattanzio: basi classiche e bibliche,’ pp. 44-66 of *Tradizione dell’ antico nelle letterature nelle arti d’Occidente: Studi in memoria di Maria BELLINCIONI SCARPAT*. Roma, 1990 (La civiltà delle scritture 10). ↗ Christian *patientia* and non-violence.
- MAURICE, Jules. ‘La véracité historique de Lactance,’ *Académie des Inscriptions et Belles Lettres. Comptes Rendus des Séances de l’année* 1908, pp. 146-59. ↗ Accuracy of *MP* demonstrated from numismatic evidence.
- MAYER, M. ‘*Figulus Noster est Christus*: Consideraciones sobre la trayectoria del término *figulus* en los autores latinos cristianos,’ *Boletín del Instituto de Estudios helénicos* 7.2 (1973) 35-51. Σ *APh* 46(1975) 392.
- MAZZOLI, Giancarlo. ‘Sul protrettico perduto di Seneca: Le *Exhortationes*,’ *Memorie dell’ Istituto Lombardo, Accademia di Scienze e Lettere, Milano* 36.1 (1977) 7-47. Σ *APh* 48 (1977) 297 #3848. ↗ Seneca in L on pp. 24-30, 33-6, 40, 47.
- MCCRACKEN, George E. *Arnobius of Sicca: the Case Against the Pagans*. 2 vols., Westminster, Maryland & London, 1949 (Ancient Christian Writers 7-8). ↗ On Arnobius and L, vol. 1, pp. 12-15 & 48-51, with notes on 246f & 263f; index of Lactantian passages in vol. 2, p. 641.
- MCDONALD, SISTER Mary Francis. ‘*Phœnix redivivus*,’ *Phœnix* 14 (1960) 187-200. ↗ Survey of the ancient sources of the myth. *AP* on pp. 201f.
- _____. Article ‘Lactantius,’ pp. 392-410 in Johannes Quasten, *Patrology*, vol. 2. Westminster, Maryland, 1953.

- McGUCKIN, John A. (for a time, Paul by name in religion). ‘The Christology of Lactantius,’ pp. 813-20 in ELIZABETH A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 17, part 2 of the Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1979. Oxford, 1982. ↗ Vindicates L as an important thinker and theologian in the pre-Nicene context; argues against the depiction of L as a subordinationist by Loi *Lattanzio*, Grillmeier, and Studer.
- _____. ‘Does Lactantius denigrate Cyprian?’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 39 (1988) 119-24. Σ APh 59 (1988) 214 #3073. ↗ On *DI* 5.1.24-8 and 5.4.3-8: L’ criticism of and independence from Cyprian, responding to Brandt’s ascription of L’ biblical citations to Cyprian’s *Ad Quirinum* (*CSEL* 27, p. 252), to Koch ‘Sopravvivenza,’ to Loi ‘Valori Etici’ & ‘Concetto di iustitia,’ and to Bolkestein ‘Humanitas.’
- _____. ‘Lactantius as theologian. An angelic christology on the eve of Nicæa,’ *Rivista di Storia e Letteratura religiosa* 22 (1986) 492-7. Σ APh 58 (1987) 179 #2537. ↗ The natures of Christ and the angels in L’ thought.
- _____. ‘The non-Cyprianic scripture texts in Lactantius’ *Divine Institutions*,’ *Vigiliae Christianæ* 36 (1982) 145-163. Σ APh 53 (1982) 177 #2629. ↗ Rebuts Wlosok (especially in ‘Bedeutung’) on L’ Gnosticism; thoroughly revises Brandt’s index of L’ use of the Bible, de-emphasizing the importance of Cyprian (see the Table on pp. 161-3); vindicates L’ independent use of biblical passages, even those he quotes from other apologists.
- _____. *Researches into the Divinæ Institutiones of Lactantius*. Durham, 1980 (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation).
- _____. ‘Spirit Christology: Lactantius and his Sources,’ *Heythrop Journal* 24 (1983) 141-148. ↗ L theology of the Holy Spirit, far from being the result of incompetence as is so often stated, in fact reflects the thinking of the second century church and its understanding of the Bible, to which he clings somewhat conservatively.
- MECCHI, T. E. *Lattanzio e la sua patria*. Fermo, 1875.
- MEINKING, Kristina Ann. ‘Anger and adjudication: the political relevance of «*De ira dei*», *Journal of Late Antiquity* 6.1 (2013) 84-107. ↗ In *ID* L uses Roman religious thought instead of Christian theology in discussing divine anger, and his arguments affected Constantine’s handling of the Donatist controversy.
- _____. *Anger Matters: Politics and Theology in the Fourth Century C.E.* Ph.D. thesis at the University of Southern California, Los Angeles; summary available in ProQuest Dissertations Database, ID 847222028. ↗ On *ID* in the perspectives of classical philosophy, rhetorical theory, and 4th cent. socio-historic debates.
- _____. ‘Eusebius and Lactantius: rhetoric, philosophy, and Christian theology,’ pp. 325-350 in Aaron P. Johnson and Jeremy M. Schott, eds., *Eusebius of Caesarea: tradition and innovations (Hellenic Studies 60)*. Washington, D.C.: Center for Hellenic Studies 2013. ↗ L argues in *ID* that God has emotions, and anger is critical to his nature. Latin rhetorical discourse is significant in L’ treatment of philosophers.
- MELTZER, Hans. ‘Cyprianus-Koprianus,’ *Archiv für lateinische Lexikographie und Grammatik* 13 (1904) 406. ↗ On *DI* 5.1 and the pronunciation of *c*.
- MERCATI, Giovanni. ‘Kleinere Mitteilungen,’ *Theologische Revue* 3 (1904) 28f. ↗ PD found in *Communale di Perugia*, cod. 657.
- MERKELBACH, Reinholt. ‘Zwei Gespensternamen, Aelafius und Symphosius,’ *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 51 (1983) 228f. Σ APh 54 (1983) 410 #6764. ↗ On *-ius* names formed in late antiquity from abstracts, and the errors which have turned Ablabius into Aelafius and Symposium into Symphosius.

- MERLIN, C. ‘Apologie de Lactance contre M. Bayle,’ *Journal de Trévoux* (Juillet, 1736) 1220-37, 1400-17.
- MESSMER, Ernst. *Laktanz und die Dichtung*. München, 1974 (dissertation). ↗ On pp. 26-111, general discussion arranged according to Lactantian themes; on pp. 112-138, overviews of specific poets used by L; index of passages 144ff.
- MEYER, Peter. *Quæstionum Lactantianarum particula prima*. Jülich, 1878 (Programm). ↗ Date of *DI*, 1-4; authenticity of *MP*, 4-8.
- MICHELIUS, Danielis. *Introductio ad historiam litterarum*. Cambridge, 1721. ↗ On pp. 187-240, disputes with LENOURRY *Dissertatio in qua* on *MP*.
- MICKA, Rev. Ermin F., O.F.M. *The Problem of Divine Anger in Arnobius and Lactantius*. Washington, 1943 (Catholic University of America Studies in Christian Antiquity 4). ↗ Part 3, chapter 1 (pp.145-57) on the relations between Arnobius and L.
- MILHAU, Marc. ‘Lactance, *Institutions Divines* IV: note de lecture,’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 39.1 (1993) 215-220. ↗ Review of Monat’s *DI* 4.
- MILICHIUS, Ludwig. *Der Zauberteuffel*. Frankfurt, 1563 ↗ Two passages about L.
- MŒHLER, Johann Adam. *Patrologie, oder christliche Literärgeschichte*. Regensburg, 1840, repr. Frankfurt am Main, 1975. ↗ On L, pp. 917-33.
- MOHRMANN, Christine. ‘Les éléments vulgaires du Latin des Chrétiens,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 2 (1948) 89-101 and 163-184. Σ *APh* 19 (1948) 127. ↗ Pp. 165-76 on L.
- _____. ‘Statio,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 7 (1953) 221-45. ↗ *DI* 7.27.16 discussed on p. 230.
- MOLIGNONI, G. ‘Lattanzio Apologeta,’ *Didaskaleion* N.S. 5 (1927) fasc. 3, pp. 117-54. Σ *APh* 5 (1930) 56f. ↗ Discusses L’ works and life, apologetical orientation, and attitude towards polytheism; and then his relationship with the Sibylline oracles, Euhemerus, Varro, Cicero, and Tertullian.
- MOMIGLIANO, Arnaldo, ‘Pagan and Christian Historiography in the Fourth Century A.D.,’ chapter 4, pp. 79-99 of MOMIGLIANO, ed., *The Conflict between Paganism and Christianity in the Fourth Century*. Oxford, 1963. ↗ Pp. 79f & 88f on *MP*; see also VOGT ‘Pagans and Christians.’
- MONAT, Pierre. ‘Le classement des manuscrits par l’analyse factorielle. Recherches pour l’établissement d’un stemma, Lactance, *Institutions divins*, livre IV,’ *Revue d’Histoire des Textes* 5 (1975[1977]) 311-30. Σ *APh* 48 (1977) 445 #5946. ↗ Higher mathematics and textual criticism.
- _____. ‘Étude sur le texte des citations bibliques dans les *Institutions divines*; la place de Lactance parmi les témoins des *Vieilles Latines*,’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 28 (1982) 19-32. Σ *APh* 53 (1982) 177 #2631. ↗ Corrects the idea of Brandt and Pichon that L derived his biblical citations largely from St. Cyprian.
- _____. ‘Lactance comme témoin du texte de Vergile. Note sur Verg. *Georg.* 2,341,’ *L’Antiquité Classique* 43 (1974) 346-354. Σ *APh* 45(1974) 333. ↗ The readings *ferrea* and *terrea* at *DI* 2.10.16.
- _____. ‘Lactance contre Junon: de la polémique au dialogue avec les païens,’ pp. 259-264 in *Hommages à Jean Cousin: Rencontres avec l’antiquité classique*. Paris, 1983 (Annales littéraires de l’Université de Basançon 273).
- _____. ‘Lactance et Cicéron: à propos d’un fragment de l’*Hortensius*,’ *Revue des Études Latines* 53 (1975) 248-167. Σ *APh* 46(1975) 185f. ↗ L exploits Cicero for his own purposes at *DI* 6.2.13-15.

- _____. *Lactance et la Bible. Une propédeutique latine à la lecture de la Bible dans l'Occident constantinien.* 2 vols., Paris, 1982. ↗ Table of contents in volume 1, pp. 285-8. Indices in vol. 2: passages, 133-148; names, words, and subjects, 149-155; bibliography, 157-164.
- ⑧ BRAUN, *Latomus* 44 (1985) 414-6; CHADWICK, *Journal of Theological Studies* 35 (1984) 547; DE DURAND, *Revue des Sciences Philosophiques et Théologiques* 67 (1983) 607-9; DOIGNON, *L'Antiquité Classique* 53 (1984) 452f; GRYSON, *Revue d'Histoire Ecclésiastique* 78 (1983) 474-6; INGREMEAU, *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 30.1 (1984) 152f; PERRIN, *Revue des Études Latines* 60 (1982) 518-21; SIEBEN, *Theologie und Philosophie* 68 (1983) 583f; see also M. SPANNEUT, 'Lactance aujourd'hui', and M. PERRIN, 'L'Authenticité.'
- _____. 'Notes sur le Texte de Lactance, *Institutions Divines* 4,21,1 et *Épitomé* 42(47),3,' pp. 417-425 in Jürgen von DUMMER, ed., *Texte und Textkritik. Eine Aufsatzsammlung.* Berlin, 1987 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 133). ↗ DI 4.21.1, EDI 42.3, & MP 2.2f on the Ascension compared to Tertullian *Apologeticum* 21.23.
- _____. 'La Polémique de Lactance contre Hercule: tradition orientale et culture occidentale,' pp. 575-583 in HÉLÈNE WALTER, ed., *Hommages à Lucien LERAT.* Paris, 1984, 2 vols. (Annales Littéraires de l'Université de Besançon 294). ↗ DI 1.9.1-11 & 1.21.31-5.
- _____. 'La présentation d'un dossier biblique par Lactance, le sacerdoce du Christ et celui de Jésus, fils de Josédec,' pp. 273-292 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps.* ↗ Argues against Lactantian dependence on the biblical texts presented in Cyprian's *Testimonia*; cf. Wlosok 'Zur Bedeutung' below.
- _____. [for a *Festschrift* in honor of MONAT, see above at GUILLAUMIN & RATTI, *Autour de Lactance: hommages à Pierre Monat.* Besançon, 2003.]
- MONCEAUX, Paul. 'Études critiques sur Lactance,' *Revue de Philologie* 29 (1905) 104-39. Σ Lam 1.336. ↗ L' name, pp. 104f; life, 105-112; lost and apocryphal works, 112-15; chronology of works, 115-22; MP, 122-34; AP, 134-9.
- _____. *Histoire Littéraire de l'Afrique Chrétienne.* 10 vols. Paris, 1901-1923. Vol. 3, ch. 2 (pp.287-359) on L.
- MONTELEONE, C. 'Sul testo dell' *Ecloga quarta* di Virgilio,' *Quaderni dell' Associazione italiana di cultura classica, Delegazione di Foggia* 2-3 (1982-83) 33-74. Σ APh 54 (1983) 342f #5532.
- MONTERO, Santiago. 'Porfirio e il sacrificio divinatorio,' pp. 81-93 of Dominique BRIQUEL & Charles GUITTARD, ed., *La Divination dans le monde italien.* 8, *Des Sévères à Constantin: les écrivains du IIIème siècle et l' «Etrusca disciplina».* *Actes de la table ronde tenue a l'ENS les 24 et 25 octobre 1997.* Tours, 1999. Σ APh 72 (2001) 529 #72-04911. ↗ Contradictions between Porphyry's thought and the doctrine of auspices, with supplementary consideration of the role of Porphyry in the Great Persecution according to Lactantius MP 10.2f.
- MONTGOMERY, Hugo. 'From friend to foe: the portrait of Licinius in Eusebius,' *Symbolæ Osloenses* 75 (2000) 130-138. Σ APh 71 (2000) 200 #01960. ↗ Licinius in MP (p. 131) and Eus. *Hist. Ecc.* and *Vita Const.*
- MORAWSKI, Kasimierz. 'De Scriptoribus Romanis III et IV post Chr. n. saeculi observationes,' Serja I, Tom XV, Nr. 2 of Polska Akademja Umiejetnosci, *Rosprawy Wydziazu filologicznego.* Crocovie, 1921. ↗ 15 pp; 3-5 on L and Augustine, with further observations on L, 5-6.
- ⑧ Grupe, *Philologische Wochenschrift* 42 (1922) col. 700.
- MOREAU, Jacques. 'À propos de la persécution de Domitien,' *La Nouvelle Clio* 5 (1953 = *Mélanges A. CARNOY*) 121-9. Σ APh 24 (1953) 504. ↗ MP 3 on p. 121 in a discussion of the history of the Christian reports.
- _____. *Lactance: De la mort des persécuteurs.* 2 vols., Paris, 1954 (Sources Chrétiennes 39). ↗ Pp. 13-75 on MP.

- _____. ‘Les «litteræ Licinii»,’ Sofiiski Universitet, Fakultet po klasiceski i novi filogii, *Gedisknik* [= *Annuaire de l'Université de Sofia, Faculté des Lettres classiques et modernes*] 2 (1953) 100-5. Σ APh 27 (1956) 93.
- _____. *La Persécution du christianisme dans l'empire romain*. Paris, 1956. ☛ Less a scholarly discussion than a well informed narrative; L *passim* in ch. 6, pp. 110-37.
- _____. ‘Sur la vision de Constantin (312),’ *Revue des Études Anciennes* 55 (1953) 307-33. Σ APh 24 (1953) 429. ☛ MP 44 and the other evidence.
- _____. ‘Vérité historique et propagande politique chez Lactance et dans la *Vita Constantini*,’ Sofiiski Universitet, Fakultet po klasiceski i novi filogii, *Gedisknik* [= *Annuaire de l'Université de Sofia, Faculté des Lettres*] 4 (1955) 89-97.
- MORENO DE VEGA, Maria Auxiliadora. ‘Citas de autores griegos y latinos en el libro I de las *Instituciones* de Lactancio,’ *Helmantica* 35 (1984) 209-230. ☛ Summarizes principal texts used by L at DI 1.4-14, especially Euheremus.
- MORENO RESANO, Esteban. ‘El elogio del emperador Constantino en la literatura cristiana de su época,’ *Anuario de Historia de la Iglesia* 22 (2013) 83-109. ☛ Christian writers in the period of Constantine use praise of the Emperor to express their accommodation to the new imperial religious politics after 313, including L’ MP; a contrast revealed with respect to Eusebius of Cæsarea.
- MORESCHINI, Claudio. ‘L’intelletuale cristiano e l’impero da Tertulliano a Costantino, pp. 237-256 in Renato UGLIONE, ed., *Intellettuali e potere nel mondo antico: atti del convegno nazionali di studi, Torino, 22-24 aprile 2002*. Alessandria, 2003. Σ APh 74 (2003) 671 #06204. ☛ DI 1.1.13-16 & 7.27.11-17 on pp.253-256.
- MORFILL, William Richard & Robert Henry CHARLES. *The Book of the Secrets of Enoch*. Oxford, 1896. ☛ Note on the numerology of twelve in AP, p. 13; resemblances of AP to the Slavonic book of Enoch , pp. 33 & 43-54. (See also CHARLES, *Book of Enoch*.)
- MORONI, Maria Grazia. ‘Note testuali al carme *De ave phœnice*,’ *Bollettino di studi latini* 25.1 (1995) 105-110. Σ APh 68 (1997) 241 #02635. ☛ Restores ms. readings to AP 98-100 and 125-9, rejecting traditional emendations.
- MOUNTAIN, REV. Jacob Henry Brooke. *A summary of the writings of Lactantius*. London, 1839. ☛ Summaries of DI, EDI, OD, ID; dismisses authenticity of EDI, MP, AP, RDD, PD.
- MÜLLER, Alfons. ‘Lactantius «De mortibus persecutorum» oder Die Beurteilung der Christenverfolgungen im Lichte des Mailänder Toleranzskripts vom Jahre 313,’ pp. 66-88 (ch. III) in Franz Joseph DÖLGER, ed., *Konstantin der Grosse und seine Zeit. Gesammelte Studien. Festgabe zum Konstantins-Jubiläum 1913 und zum goldenen Priesterjubiläum von MGR. DR. A. DE WAAL*. Freiburg im Breisgau, 1913 (*Römische Quartalschrift* supplement XIX). ☛ General appreciation and interpretation of MP.
- ⑧ A.H., *Byzantinische Zeitschrift* 22 (1913) 605.
- MÜLLER, Johann Gustav Theodor. *Quæstiones Lactantianæ*. Göttingen, 1875. ☛ On the disputed passages DI 2.8f & 7.5 & OD 19.
- MÜLLER, Lucian. *De Re Metrica Poetarum præter Plautum et Terentium Libri VII*. Leipzig, 1861. ☛ AS on pp. 55-57, vindicating an early date because of the classical features of its metrics; written against an 1854 Berlin dissertation by PAUL.
- MUÑOZ JIMÉNEZ, María José. ‘Algunos aspectos de los *Aenigmata Symphosii*: Título, autor, y relación con la *Historia Apollonii regis Tyri*,’ *Emerita* 15 (1987) 307-312. Σ APh 58 (1987) 296 #4234. ☛ Adds to the arguments of MURRU (*q. v. infra*) that *Symposii* is not a proper name,

- but part of the title of an anonymous work, possibly by the author of the *Historia Apollonii Regis Tyri*.
- MURRU, Furio. ‘*Aenigmata Symphosii ou Aenigmata symposii?*’ *Eos* 48 (1980) 155-8. Σ *APh* 51 (1980) 2 #13. ↗ False attribution of *AS* to a nonexistent Symphosius; the title is *Aenigmata Symposii*.
- MUSE, Kevin. ‘Lactantius *Divinae institutiones* 3.26.7 and the text of Sallust *Catilina* 14.2,’ *Mnemosyne* 4.69.3 (2016) 503-510. ↗ The Sallust passage must be retained in view of its imitation at *DI* 3.26.3-9.
- MUZELIUS, Carolus Ludovicus, Jacobus Theodorus VIEL, Johann Gottlob Gulielmus DUNKEL, Samuel MURSINA, & Georgius Jacobus PAULI. *Dissertatio critica; qua Lactantio locus vexatissimus Lib. II Inst. Cap. viii vindicatur*. Berlin, 1744. ↗ Five candidates for holy orders list learned objections to the authenticity of *DI* 2.8 and then argue for it.
- NAUSEA, Friedrich, bishop of Vienna. *Disticha in elegantissima L. Caelii Lactantii Firmiani Christianorum disertissimi opera*. Pavia, 1519 & Milan, 1521. ↗ Versified general preface, plus couplets as epigraphs for each chapter of each book of L’ works (including *De Passione Domini* and *De Resurrectione*), concluding with an *envoi*.
- NAUTIN, Pierre. ‘Les additions du manuscrit de Bologne au *De opificio Dei* de Lactance,’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 21 (1975) 273-288. Σ *APh* 46(1975) 186. ↗ Argues against Perrin *L’ouvrage* on the authenticity of these passages.
- _____. ‘Divorce et remariage dans la tradition latine,’ *Recherches de science religieuse* 62 (1974) 7-54. Σ *APh* 45 (1974) 219. ↗ Pp. 14-17 on L’ opinion at *DI* 6.23 & *EDI* 61.
- _____. ‘Histoire des dogmes et des sacrements chrétiens,’ *École Pratique des Hautes Études, Section (cinquième) des Sciences Religieuses, Annuaire* 75 (1967-8) 162-7. ↗ On Aristo of Pella’s *Controversia Iasonis et Papisci* as a source of the millenarism of L and others.
- _____. ‘Une édition nouvelle du «De mortibus persecutorum» de Lactance,’ *Revue d’Histoire Ecclésiastique* 50 (1955) 892-99. ↗ Review of Moreau’s 1954 edition.
- NAZZARO, Antonio V. ‘Quatenus P. Vergilius Maro Christianus necnon quidam Christi propheta habitus atque renuntiatus sit,’ *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Classe di Scienze morali, storiche e filologiche* 24 (2013) 13-40, with a resumé in English. ↗ On Christian interpretation of Virgil’s 4th *Eclogue* by L, Constantine, and others.
- NESSELHAUF, Herbert. ‘Das Toleranzgesetz des Licinius,’ *Historisches Jahrbuch* 74 (1955) 44-61. Σ *APh* 26 (1955) 470. ↗ MP 48 and Eusebius *HE* 10.5.2ff.
- NESTLE, Wilhelm. ‘Legenden vom Tod der Gottesverächter,’ *Archiv für Religionswissenschaft* 33 (1936) 246-69. ↗ Pp. 268f on MP. Σ *APh* 11 (1936) 380.
- NICHOLSON, Caroline & Oliver. ‘Lactantius, Hermes Trismegistus and Constantinian obelisks,’ *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 109 (1989) 198-200. Σ *APh* 60 (1989) 599. ↗ Concerns Garth Fowden, ‘Nicagoras of Athens and the Lateran Obelisk,’ *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 107 (1987) 51-7. ↗ Σ *APh* 58 (1987) 639 #9855.
- NICHOLSON, Oliver. ‘Arnobius and Lactantius,’ part III, chapter 2 (pp. 259-265) of Frances YOUNG, Lewis AYRES, & Andrew LOUTH, edd. *Cambridge History of Early Christian Literature*. Cambridge, 2004. ↗ Summary treatments of Arnobius on pp. 259-261 and L on pp. 261-265.
- _____. ‘Broadening the Roman Mind: Foreign Prophets in the Apologetic of Lactantius,’ pp. 364-374 in M. F. WILES and E. J. YARNOLD, edd., *Studia Patristica* 36: *Papers presented at the Thirteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford 1999*. Leuven, 2001. ↗ L’ rhetorical strategy in the use of Hermes Trismegistos, the Sybilline Oracles, and Hydaspes.

- _____. ‘*Cælum potius intuemini*: Lactantius and a Statue of Constantine,’ pp. 177-196 in M. F. WILES and E. J. YARNOLD, edd., *Studia Patristica 34: Papers presented at the Thirteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford 1999*. Leuven, 2001. ↗ The conversion of the Roman empire to Christianity viewed in terms of the colossus of Constantine and L’ concept of the human body.
- _____. ‘*Civitas Quæ Adhuc Sustentat Omnia*: Lactantius and the City of Rome,’ pp. 7-25 of William E. KLINGSHIRN & Mark VESSEY, edd., *The Limits of Ancient Christianity: Essays on Late Antique Thought and Culture in Honor of R. A. Markus*. Ann Arbor, 1999. ↗ How L. integrated a Christian understanding of God’s providence with a high regard for the City of Rome (p. 11).
- _____. ‘Constantine’s Vision of the Cross,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 54.3 (2000) 309-323. Σ APh 71 (2000) 1306 #13139. ↗ Constantine’s vision a sign of the imminent second coming of Christ; pp. 310-312 on MP 44.5, and pp. 317-323 on DI 7.14.26 & EDI 66-8.
- _____. ‘Flight from persecution as imitation of Christ. Lactantius’ *Divine Institutes* IV.18,1-2,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 40 (1989) 48-85. Σ APh 60 (1989) 201 #2957. ↗ Historical examples of such flight, especially St. Cyprian of Carthage.
- _____. ‘Hercules at the Milvian Bridge. Lactantius, *Divine Institutes* I, 21, 6-9,’ *Latomus* 43 (1984) 133-142. Σ APh 55 (1984) 167f #2601. ↗ L’ attitude towards the Tetrarchy.
- _____. *Lactantius: Prophecy and Politics in the Age of Constantine the Great*. Oxford, 1981 (unpublished Ph.D. thesis). ↗ A confrontation of L’ political experience (MP) with his religious convictions (DI et al.). Part I, *Politics and History* (pp. 1-111) on L and Constantine; Part II, *History and Prophecy* (pp. 112-205), on L’ theory of history; Part III, *Policy and Politics in Retrospect* (pp. 206-300) and Part IV, *Prophecy and Politics Live* (pp. 301-390) on L’ eschatology; bibliography on pp. 391-427.
- _____. ‘Lactantius’ History of His Own Time: the First English Translation of *De Mortibus Persecutorum* (1687),’ pp. 256-65 of ELIZABETH A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 23, Part 5 of the Tenth International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1987. Leuven, 1989. ↗ On Burnet *Relation* and more generally the contemporary importance of 4th century events in the 1680’s in England and France.
- _____. Review of MONAT’s *DI 4* (*q.v. supra* in Part III), *Journal of Theological Studies* n.s. 44 (1993) 355-8. Pp. 357f on DI 4.5.3-5 & 7.14.7-17 regarding the date of Moses.
- _____. ‘The Source of the Dates in Lactantius’ *Divine Institutes*,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 36 (1985) 291-310. Σ APh 56 (1985) 167 #2628. ↗ Uncovers L’ comprehensive dating system and its relation to Theophilus of Antioch.
- _____. ‘The Wild Man of the Tetrarchy: a divine companion for the Emperor Galerius,’ *Byzantium* 54 (1984) 253-275. Σ APh 55 (1984) 681 #11722. ↗ Evidence from MP & DI *passim*.
- NICOLOSI, Iolanda Tomaselli. ‘L’influsso di Lucrezio su Lattanzio,’ *Raccolta di Studi di Letteratura cristiana antica*, vol. 2. Catania, 1946.
- ΝΙΚΗΤΑΣ, Δ.Ζ. (Nikitas, D. Z.) Η ερωτική αλληγορική τριλογία της λατινικής ποίησης του 4ου αιώνα (= ‘I erotiki alligoriki trilogy tis latinikis poiisis tou 4ou aiona): *De aue Phœnix, Peruigilium Veneris, Cupido cruciatur*,’ Ἐπιστημονική Ἐπετηρίδα τῆς Φιλοσοφικῆς Σχολῆς, Περιόδος Β’, Τεῦχος Τημήματος Φιλολογίας (= *Epistimoniki Epetirida tis Filosofikis Scholis, Tefchos Tmimatos Filologias*) 2 (1992) 39-90. Σ *Ibid.* pp. 88-90 in German; APh 73 (2002) #03487. ↗ First translations of the three poems into modern Greek, with a discussion of their erotic allegories; allegory of the Phoenix as the Christian soul in AP.

_____. Ὁβιδιανὲς ἐπιδράσεις στὸ ποίημα τοῦ Λακταντίου *De ave phœnlice*, Ἐπιστημονική Ἐπετηρίδα τῆς Φιλοσοφικῆς Σχολῆς, Περίοδος Β', Τεῦχος Τημήματος Φιλολογίας (= *Epistimoniki Epetirida tis Filosofikis Scholis, Tefchos Tmimatos Filologias*) 1 (1991) 135-163 = ‘Ovidianes epidrasis sto poiima tou Lactantiou *De ave Phœnlice*,’ *Epistimoniki Epetirida tis Filosofikis Scholis, Tefchos Tmimatos Filologias* 1 (1991) 135-163. Σ *ibid.* in German; *APh* 73 (2002) #03488: *AP* and *Ovid Met.* 12.39-63 & 15.392-407; *Am.* 2.6.

NISARD, Désirée. *Salluste, Jules César, ...* Paris, 1874 (Vol. 21 of *Collection des auteurs latins avec la traduction en français*. Paris. 1869-77). ↗ P. 622 on whether Florus' *Epitome* or Seneca rhetor is the source at *DI* 1.7.4f.

NISBET, Robin G. M. ‘Virgil’s 4th Eclogue: Easterners and Westerners,’ *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies* 25 (1978) 59-78. ↗ On p. 60, *DI* 7.24.12, the Sibylline oracles, and *Ecl.* 4.

NOCK, Arthur Darby. ‘The Exegesis of *Timaeus* 28 C,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 16 (1962) 79-86. Σ *APh* 33 (1962) 150. ↗ A response to Wlosok *Laktanz und die philosophische Gnosis* 202, 225, 252ff. _____ . Review of Wlosok, *Gnosis* in *Journal of Theological Studies* 14 (1963) 177-180.

_____ and ANDRÉ-JEAN FESTUGIÈRE, O.P. *Hermès Trismégiste*. Paris, 1945-54. ↗ Vol. I p. xxxviii for L’ *tesetimonia* to the *Corpus Hermeticum*; vol. IV, pp. 105-114, for L’ fragments.

NODES, Daniel. ‘Restoring the Golden Age from Lactantius (ca. 240–ca. 325) to Egidio of Viterbo (1469–1532),’ *Studi Umanistici Piceni* 20 (2000) 221-236. Σ *Ibid.* in Italian; *APh* 72 (2001) 343 #03101. ↗ Reception of Lactantius in the Renaissance.

NOEL, Joanne Mannell. ‘Ritual, religion, and the law: transformations in architecture under the tetrarchy,’ pp. 29-46 (with illustrations) in Linda Jones Hall, ed., *Confrontation in Late Antiquity: imperial presentation and regional adaptation*. Cambridge, 2003 (iv + 181 pp. (a selection of papers from the conference “Shifting frontiers in late antiquity 2: transformation of law and society,” held at the University of South Carolina in March of 1997). ↗ Though maligned by L for his building mania, the emperor Diocletian renewed the practice of exploiting architecture for its propagandic values, especially in the palace and the Temple of Jupiter at Split, and the tetrarchic palaces generally, which exemplify the new imperial architectural language.

NORDEN, Eduard. ‘Beiträge zur Geschichte der griechischen Philosophie,’ [Neue] *Jahrbücher für Philologie und Pädagogik*, Suppl. 19 (1893), Heft 7, pp. 365-462. ↗ Pp. 420-21, n. 1, on *DI* 6.20.6.

NÜTZEL, Johannes M. ‘Zum Schicksal der eschatalogischen Propheten,’ *Biblische Zeitschrift* n.F. 20 (1976) 69-94. ↗ *DI* 7.16-19 and Apocalypse of John 11 on pp. 79-82; see also pp. 84, 86, 89.

NYERUP, Erasmus. *Dissertatio de Lactantio*. Hanniæ, 1761. ↗ L’ life and writings; appendices on 15th-century editions and a codex “quem offert Bibliotheca Regia.”

OBERWEIS, Michael. ‘Die mittelalterlichen T-O-Karten,’ pp. 121-134 of Justus Cobet, ed., *Weltwissen vor Kolumbus*. Berlin: LIT Verlag, 2013. ↗ On the origins of mediæval T and O maps, and the idea of the earth as a sphere, found at Augustine *CD* 16.9, rejected by L *DI* 3.24.

OCKER, Christopher. ‘*Unius arbitrio mundum regi necesse est*. Lactantius’ concern for the preservation of Roman Society,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 40 (1986) 348-364. Σ *APh* 57 (1986) 169 #1536. ↗ L’ presentation of Christian theology and worship viewed as a response to the political situation under the Tetrarchy.

O’DALY, Gerard. *Augustine’s City of God: a Reader’s Guide*. Oxford, 1999. ↗ L *passim* in ch. 3, ‘The Apologetic Tradition,’ pp. 39-52; p. 260 on L and Augustine’s use of Sybilline Oracles.

- ODAHL, Charles Matson. *Constantine and the Christian Empire*. London and New York, 2004. ↗ Biographical study based on material sources as well as literary, with maps, numerous illustrations, notes, bibliography, and index; L. *passim* (see Index, p. 397).
- OGILVIE, Robert M. ‘Four Notes on Lactantius,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* 26 (1975) 410-412. ΣAPh 46(1975) 186. ↗ DI 2.11.19, 2.15.3, 5.2.15, & OD 18.2
- _____. ‘Lactantius, *Divinæ Institutiones* 6.18.15-16,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 25 (1971) 56. ↗ In reply to Bentley *Lactantiana* on whether to read *trium* or *duorum*.
- _____. *The Library of Lactantius*. Oxford, 1978. ↗ On which classical texts L read at first hand, and which through intermediaries.
- ® BOWMAN, *Journal of Theological Studies* 33 (1982) 345; BRENNAN, *Journal of Religious History* 11 (1980) 148f; CASEY, *Phoenix* 34 (1980) 187f; COURCELLE, *Revue de Philologie* 53 (1979) 371f; CUPAIUOLO, *Bollettino di Studi latini* 9 (1979) 363; DEN BOEFT, *Mnemosyne* 35 (1982) 419-21; DIAS DOGO, *Euphrosyne* 11 (1981-2) 313f; HECK, *Gnomon* 52 (1980) 572-4; HUNT, *Journal of Roman Studies* 71 (1981) 193-7; MATHON, *Bulletin de Théologie ancienne et médiévale* 12 (1980) 549; MINN, *Prudentia* 11 (1979) 123f; NAUTIN, *Revue de l’Histoire des Religions* 196 (1979) 213; NICHOLSON, *The Times Literary Supplement* 79 (1980) 447; REES, *Religious Studies* 15 (1979) 259-61; SAVON, *Revue des Études Anciennes* 86 (1984) 381-3; STENUIT, *Les Études Classiques* 47 (1979) 67; VOKES, *Hermathena* 127 (1979) 77; WALCOT, *Greece and Rome* 26 (1979) 212; WALSH, *Theological Studies* 40 (1979) 752-4; WINTERBOTTOM, *Classical Review* 30 (1980) 144f. See also J. BRYCE, *The Library of Lactantius*, preface to the 1990 reprint edition.
- _____. ‘Virgil and Lactantius,’ pp. 263-268 in vol. I of *Atti del Convegno mondiale scientifico di studi su Virgilio, Mantova, Roma, Napoli 19-24 Settembre 1981*. Milano [1986 or 84?].
- OHL, Raymond Theodore. ‘Symphosius and the Latin Riddle,’ *Classical World* 25 (1932) 209-212. ↗ General introduction to the text.
- OLSZANIEC, Włodzimierz. ‘The Latin inscriptions in Sandro Botticelli’s and Filippino Lippi’s five Sibyls,’ *Neulateinisches Jahrbuch* 14 (2012) 233-240, with illustrations. ↗ Possibly a L ms was the source of these inscriptions, provided at DI 1.6; 2.13; 4.6 & 19; 7.18, 20, & 23-4.
- OMONT, Henri. ‘Restauration d’un manuscrit de Lactance au XII^e siècle,’ *Bibliothèque de l’École des Chartes* 45 (1884) 563f. ↗ MSS. of DI in the scriptoria of Fleury and Plampied. Available on the World Wide Web with a summary by Christopher CROCKETT at <http://www.riadne.org/centrechartraine/plampied/omont/htm>.
- OPELT, Ilona. ‘Formen der Polemik im Pamphlet *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Jahrbuch für Antike und Christentum* 16 (1973) 98-105. ΣAPh 45(1974) 176. ↗ Compares polemical language of MP with that of DI.
- _____. *Die Polemik in der christlichen lateinischen Literatur von Tertullian bis Augustin*. Heidelberg, 1980 (Bibliothek der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft n.F., Reihe 2, Band 63). On L *passim* in Part B. Die Polemik in der christlichen lateinischen Prosaliteratur bis zum Anfang des 5. Jahrhunderts, ch. II. Heidenpolemik, pp. 73-111, & ch. III. Judenpolemik, pp. 111-116; pp. 109f identify the hostile philosopher of DI 5.2.3-11 as Celsus.
- OTIS, Brooks. ‘The *Argumenta* of the so-called Lactantius,’ *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 47 (1936) 131-63. ↗ The *Argumenta* or *Narrationes* of the so-called Lactantius Placidus in relation to the text of Ovid’s *Metamorphoses* in whose mss. they are found.
- _____. *De Lactantii qui dicitur Narrationibus Ovidianis*. Thesis, Harvard University. Σ *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 46 (1935) 209-11.
- OTÓN SOBRINO, Enrique. ‘Epicuro y Lucrecio en la polémica de Tertulliano y Lactancio,’ *Helmantica* 40 (1989) 133-158. ΣAPh 68 (1997) 414 #04610. ↗ L on pp. 143-158.
- _____. ‘La polémica de Arnobio y Lactancio en torno a la «ira Dei»,’ pp. 85-101 in Antonio Alberte GONZÁLEZ & Cristóbal Macias VILLALOBOS, edd., *Cristianismo y tradición Latina: actas del congreso internacional, Málaga, 25-28 de abril de 2000*. Madrid, 2001.

- OVERLACH, E. *Die Theologie des Lactantius*. Schwerin, 1858.
- PALANQUE, Jean-Rémy. ‘Sur la date du *De Mortibus Persecutorum*,’ pp. 711-6 in *Mélanges d’archéologie, d’épigraphie, et d’histoire offerts à JÉRÔME CARCOPINO*. Paris, 1966.
- PALLA, Roberto. ‘La parafrasi di Matth. 7, 13-14 negli «Evangelium libri» di Giovenco,’ *Bulletino della Badia Greca di Grottaferrata* n.s. 52 (1998) 19-29. Σ APh 75 (2004) 330 #02910. ↗ The *topos* of the two ways in *Juvencus*; *DI* 1.20.21, 5.18.11, 6.3, & *EDI* 54.1-3 on pp. 25-29.
- PANIZZA, Letizia A. ‘Lorenzo Valla’s *De vero falsoque bono*, Lactantius, and Oratorical Scepticism,’ London University: *Journal of the Warburg and Courtauld Institutes* 41 (1978) 76-107. ↗ L and the Humanists, pp. 82-9; L and Valla, pp. 49-84, 99-104.
- PANIZZA LORCH, Maristella DE. *A Defense of Life: Lorenzo Valla’s Theory of Pleasure*. Munich, 1985. ↗ Pp. 61-72 on L, Antonio DA RHO, and Valla.
- PASCAL, Carlo. ‘Il bivio della vita e la «*Littera Pythagoræ*»,’ pp. 57-67 of *Miscellanea Ceriani: Raccolta di Scritti originali per onorare la memoria di Mr. ANTONIO MARIA CERIANI*. Milano, 1910. ↗ On the two ways and the symbol Y; *DI* 6.3.14-4.3 & *EDI* 54 on pp. 60-63.
- _____. ‘Narrationes Fabularum,’ *Bollettino di Filologia Classica* 11 (1904-5) 112-14. ↗ A Naples codex of Ovid’s *Metamorphoses* with summations of the fables falsely attributed to Lactantius.
- _____. ‘Sul carme *De Ave Phœnix* attribuito a Lattanzio, con un appendice contenente le lezioni de due codici Ambrosiani,’ Società reale di Napoli: Accademia di archeologia, lettere, e belle arti, *Rendiconto delle tornate e dei lavori* N.S. 18 (1904) 219-39. ↗ Argues that many parallels to ideas in *AP* from Stoic authors on the regeneration of the cosmos demonstrate that the text is pagan, and of stoic inspiration; whether it was written by Lactantius before his conversion, or by some other author, is not clear.
- ® BRANDT, *Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift* 25 (1905) 375-9; PICHON, *Revue de Philologie* 28 (1904) 299f.
- PASCHOUD, François. ‘Gibbon et Constantin,’ *International Journal of the Classical Tradition* 15.2 (2008-9) 173-86. ↗ Gibbon’s use of Zosimus and L’ MP in writing about Constantine.
- _____. ‘Richiamo di una verità offuscata: il secondo libro dei Maccabei quale modello del *De Mortibus Persecutorum* di Lattanzio,’ pp. 373-380 of Giorgio BONAMENTE, Noel Emmanuel LENSKI, and Rita LIZZI TESTA, *Constantino prima e dopo Constantino (Munera: studi storici sulla Tarda Antichità* 35, containing the proceedings of a conference held 27-30 April, 2011, at Perugia and Spello). Bari, 2012. ↗ L’ invention of Constantine’s vision of the chi rho (MP 44.5) based on the vision of Judas Maccabaeus in Maccabees 2.15.12-16.
- _____. ‘Ventà storica e convenzioni letterarie negli storici del tardo impero,’ pp. 427-441 in Biagio AMATA, ed., *Cultura e lingue classiche* 3. Rome, 1993 (3º convegno di aggiornamento e di didattica, Palermo, 29 ottobre-1 novembre 1989). Σ APh 64 (1993) 550 #7248. ↗ Jerome’s *Vita S. Paulini*, L’ MP, & *Historia Augusta*.
- PASQUAZI, Anna. ‘Sui due frammenti dei *Phænomena* di Ovidio,’ *Giornale Italiano di Filologia* 41 (1989) 39-42. Σ APh 60 (1989) 239 #3529. ↗ DI 2.5.24 & Probus on Vergil, *Georgics* 1.138 (Hagen p. 457).
- PAULY, August Friedrich von, Georg WISSOWA, & Wilhelm KROLL. *Pauly’s Realencyclopädie der Classischen Altertumswissenschaft*. Stuttgart, 1893—. ↗ LIETZMANN’s article on L in vol. 12, cols. 351-356.
- PAVLOVSKIS, Zojja. ‘The Riddler’s Microcosm,’ *Classica et Mediævalia* 39 (1988) 219-251. ↗ 59 (1988) 2 #13. ↗ A literary reading of riddles leads to the riddler’s world, personality, and thought. AS so interpreted on pp. 220-229.

- PELLEGRINO, Michele. *Studi su l'antica apologetica*. Rome, 1947. ↗ Extensive demonstration of L' dependence on Minucius Felix in Ch. IV, 'Minucio Fonte di Lattanzio,' pp. 151-207.
- PEASE, Arthur Stanley. 'Caeli enarrant,' *Harvard Theological Review* 34 (1941) 163-200. ↗ L' discussion of stoic teleology on p. 178 (*ID* 9-12), 182 (*DI* 2.8.9-59 & *ID* 13.20f), 193 (*OD* 2.6, 2.10, 6.7-15, and 8; *ID* 10.26 and 13.1; *DI* 3.28.4f, 7.5.14f, 7.5.20, and 7.7.9), and 195.
- PENWILL, John. "Does God care? Lactantius vs. Epicurus in the *De Ira Dei*," *Sophia: International Journal for Philosophy of Religion, Metaphysical Theology, and Ethics* 43 (2004) 23-43. ↗ Analysis of L' attempt in *ID* to show that, in contrast to the Epicurean position that God takes no interest in human affairs, God is in fact capable of anger. To do so, L tries to take over the Stoic and Neoplatonist positions in the debate between theism and atomist materialism, and thus to combat the influence of Lucretius, still significant, upon Roman education.
- PÉPIN, J. *Mythe et allégorie: les origines grecques et les contestations judéo-chrétiennes*. Paris, 1958. ↗ Pp. 429-431 on L' critique of Stoic allegorization of myth (*DI* 1.12 & 17); pp. 438-443 on L' theory of poets' elaborating mythology out of actual events (*DI* 1.11.17-38; *EDI* 11. Further on L *passim*; see index on p. 489.
- PÉREZ GALICIA, Guillermo. 'Los *Oraculos de Hystaspes*: puesta al día y análisis en el marco de la literatura apocalíptica,' *Minerva* 22 (2009) 127-152 (summary in English). ↗ A study of this text, preserved in L, and dated 2nd cent. B.C. – 1st cent. A.D.
- PERETTI, Aurelio. 'Sulla duplice stesura del libro d'Istaspe,' *Wiener Studien* 69 (1956 = *Festschrift LESKY*) 350-62. Σ *APh* 28 (1957) 99. ↗ *DI* 7.15.19, 7.18.1, & *EDI* 68 among other witnesses to the oracles of Hystaspes.
- PERETTO, Elio. *La sfida aperta: le strade della violenza e della nonviolenza dalla Bibbia a Lattanzio*. Roma, 1993. ↗ Ch. 8, §6 (pp. 319-339), "Lattanzio: un' evoluzione politica," discusses L' attack upon violence in Roman culture from Hercules and Scipio (*DI* 1.18) to the religious violence of his own day (*DI* 6, *EDI* 61, and *MP*).
- PERRIN, Michel Jean-Louis. 'À propos du chapitre 24 de l'Épitomé des *Institutions de Lactance*,' *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 27 (1981) 24-37. Σ *APh* 52 (1981) 172 #2467. ↗ Argues for the authenticity of this chapter on the basis that its dualism is less jarring than sometimes thought.
- _____. 'L'authenticité lactancienne de l'Épitomé des *Institutions divines*: à propos d'un livre récent,' *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 32 (1986) 22-40. ↗ Response to P. MONAT, *Lactance et la Bible*.
- _____. 'La christianisation de la notion de «mirabilia» chez Lactance (250-325),' pp 157-170 in Olivier BIANCHI & Olivier THÉVENAZ, edd., *Mirabilia – Conceptions et représentations de l'extraordinaire dans le monde antique: actes du colloque international, Lausanne, 20-22 mars 2003*. Bern, 2004. Σ *APh* 75 (2004) 331 #02918. ↗ Analysis of Lactantius' use of words deriving from the root *mir-*.
- _____. 'Du destin à la providence. Quelques reflexions sur les avatars de la notion antique de destin chez Lactance,' pp. 137-151 in *Visages du destin dans les mythologies. Mélanges Jacqueline DUCHEMIN*. Paris, 1983 (Travaux et Mémoires du Centre de Recherche mythologique de l'Université de Paris X). Σ *APh* 54 (1983) 185 #2944. ↗ L' concepts of *fatum* and divine providence.
- _____, with a preface by Jacques FONTAINE. *L'homme antique et chrétien. L'anthropologie de Lactance, 250-325*. Paris, 1981 (Collection Théologie historique 59). ↗ 559 pages on *OD*; elaboration of a doctoral thesis from the Sorbonne. Bibliography, pp. 17-25; indices of Latin and Greek words, ancient names, and biblical references 547-559.

- ® BRAUN, *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 31 (1985) 179-81; CONTINI, *Maia* 36 (1984) 290f; CORSARO, *Orpheus* 3 (1982) 388-91; CROUZEL, *Bulletin de Littérature Ecclésiastique* 84 (1983) 303; DE DURAND *Revue des Sciences Philosophiques et Théologiques* 67 (1983) 605-7; DOIGNON, *Rivista di Storia e Letteratura religiosa* 19 (1983) 164; ENO, *Catholic Historical Review* 70 (1984) 98f; FISCHER, *Sudhoffs Archiv. Zeitschrift für Wissenschaftsgeschichte* 68 (1984) 248; FREDOUILLE, *Revue de Philologie* 58 (1984) 159; FREND, *Journal of Ecclesiastical History* 34 (1983) 449-51; HECK, *Gnomon* 57 (1985) 513-6; JAVAUX, *Nouvelle Revue Théologique* 105 (1983) 435; KANNENGIESSER, *Recherches de Science Religieuse* 71 (1983) 542; MONAT, *Revue des Études Latines* 60 (1982) 514-8; POIRIER, *Studi Romani* 12 (1983) 477; SIEBEN, *Theologie und Philosophie* 58 (1983) 583f; TREU, *Eirene* 21 (1984) 156-9; WALSH, *Theological Studies* 44 (1983) 147-9. See also F. AMARELLI, ‘Due recenti studi su Lattanzio,’ and M. SPANNEUT, ‘Lactance aujourd’hui.’
- _____. ‘L’image du stoïcien et du stoïcisme chez Lactance,’ pp. 113-129 of Michel SOËTARD, ed., *Valeurs dans le stoïcisme: du Portique à nos jours. Textes rassemblés en hommage à Michel SPANNEUT*. Lille, 1993.
- _____. ‘Jérôme lecteur de Lactance,’ pp. 99-114 of Yves-Marie DUVAL, ed., *Jérôme entre l’Occident et l’Orient. Actes du colloque de Chantilly, 1986*. Paris, 1988. ☐ The relevant passages of Jerome collected and interpreted.
- _____. ‘Lactance apologiste dans le premier livre de ses *Institutions divines*,’ *Vita Latina* 133 (1994) 37-42.
- _____. ‘Lactance, *De opificio Dei* (303-304): le savoir medical au début du IVe siècle,’ pp. 71-86 in Mireille COURRÉNT & Joël THOMAS, dir., *Imaginaire et modes de construction du savoir dans les texts scientifiques et techniques: actes du colloque de Perpignan, 12-13 Mai 2000*. Perpignan, 2001. Σ APh 75 (2004) 330f #02917. ☐ In *OD 5*, sources of medical knowledge on the skeleton and breathing.
- _____. ‘Lactance et la culture grecque: esquisse d’une problématique,’ pp. 297-313 of Bernard POUDERON and Joseph DORÉ, eds., *Les apologistes chrétiens et la culture grecque*. Paris, 1998 (Théologie Historique 105). Σ APh 72 (2001) 344 #03108. ☐ Lactantius at the crossroads of a multicultural world; survey of his use of Greek texts, especially philosophical.
- _____. ‘Lactance et les mouvements philosophiques et religieuses de son temps,’ *Kentron* 9 (1993) 149-168.
- _____. ‘Lactance et la «ratio» romaine et chrétienne,’ pp. 153-160 in Valérie NAAS, ed., *En deçà et au-delà de la «ratio»: actes de la journée d’étude, Université de Lille, 3, 28, et 29 septembre 2001*. Villeneuve-d’Asq, 2004. 153-150. Σ APh 75 (2004) 330 #02916. ☐ L’vocabulary of reason, the irrational, and transcendence.
- _____. ‘Lactance lecteur d’Arnobe dans l’Épitomé des *Institutions*?’ *Revue des études augustiniennes* 30 (1984) 36-41. Σ APh 65 (1994) 276f #3600. ☐ Indications of Arnobian influence at EDI pref. 1, 2.2, 2.7, 18.2, 20.2, 62.5, & 67.7.
- _____. ‘La «révolution constantinienne» vue à travers l’œuvre de Lactance (250-325 ap. J.-C.),’ pp. 81-94 of *L’idée de révolution* (colloque, Centre d’Histoire des Idées, Université de Picardie). Fontenay & St. Cloud, 1991 (Les Cahiers de Fontenay 63-4).
- _____. ‘Le Platon de Lactance,’ pp. 203-234 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ☐ Platonizing passages with probable sources.
- _____. ‘L’exemple de Lactance (250-325),’ pp. 61-80 of Michel PERRIN, ed., *Le Pardon* (colloque, Centre d’Histoire des Idées, Université de Picardie). Paris, 1987 (Le Point Théologique 45).
- _____. ‘Médecine, maladie, et théologie chez Lactance (250-325),’ pp. 335-350 of Véronique BOUDON-MILLOT and Bernard POUDERON, directors, *Les Pères de l’Église face à la science médicale de leur temps*. Paris, 2005 (Actes du 3e Colloque d’études paristiques, Paris, 9-11

Sept. 2004). ↗ How the medical vocabulary and indications of L are borrowed from a teleological viewpoint.

_____. ‘Quelques observations sur la conception de la mort et de l’eschatologie chez Lactance (250-325 ap. J.-C.),’ *Bulletin de l’Association Guillaume Budé* (1987) 12-24 = pp. 107-118 in F. JOUAN, ed., *Mort et fécondité dans les mythologies. Actes du colloque de Poitiers, 13-14 mai 1983*. Paris, 1986 (Travaux et Mémoires du Centre de Recherche mythologique de l’Université de Paris X). Σ APh 58 (1987) 179 #2539, with reference to PERRIN, *L’homme*. ↗ Enlarges upon ch. 16 of PERRIN, *L’homme*.

_____. ‘Rôle et fonction de la mythologie chez Lactance (env. 250-325), rhéteur, polemiste, apologiste et historien,’ *Pallas* 78 (2008) 361-70. ↗ L’ mythological methodology seen as a response to the régime of the Tetrarchs.

_____. ‘Le savoir médical au début du IV^o siècle à travers l’ oeuvre de Lactance,’ pp. 71-86 in Mireille COURRENT & Joel THOMAS, eds., *Imaginaire et Modes de Construction du Savoir Antique dans les Textes Scientifiques et Techniques. Actes du Colloque de Perpignan des 12 et 13 Mai 2000*. Saint-Estève, 2001 (Collection Études).

PETERMANN, Friedrich. *Dissertatio de stilo Lactantii*. Halle, 1702. ↗ 30 pages demonstrating that Lactantius is as much worth reading for style as for theology.

PETERSON, Erik. ‘Christus als Imperator,’ *Catholica* 5 (1936) 64-72.

PÉTRÉ, Hélène. *Caritas: étude sur le vocabulaire latin de la charité chrétienne*. Louvain, 1948 (Spicilegium Sacrum Lovaniense, Études et Documents 22). ↗ Lactantian terminology *passim*; see indices on pp. 382f & 403.

_____. ‘Misericordia: histoire du mot et de l’idée du paganisme au christianisme,’ *Revue des Études Latines* 12 (1934) 376-89. ↗ Pp. 385-9 on Lactantius and Ambrose.

PETRONE, Gianna. ‘Responsabilità e merito nell’aiuto agli altri: una riflessione esemplare tra Plauto, Cicerone e Lattanzio,’ *Hormos* n.s. 9(2017) 438-453, with a resumé in English. ↗ Who is worthy of economic assistance? Plautus’ *Trinummus*, Cicero, and L.

PETRUCCI, Armando. ‘Alcuni codici Corsianiani di mano di Tommaso e Antonio Baldinotti,’ *Rendiconti della Classe di Scienze morali, storiche, critiche e filologiche dell’ Accademia nazionale dei Lincei, Roma Ser. 8^a* 11 (1956) 252-63. Σ APh 28 (1957) 274f. ↗ On L and the Humanists.

PETSCHENIG, Michael. ‘Zur Kritik der Schrift *De mort. persec.*,’ *Philologus* 57 (1898) 191f. ↗ Textual critical notes on MP 1.2, 2.4, 27.1, 33.7, 40.1, 41.1, & 45.5.

PHILIPPE, J. ‘Lucrèce dans la Théologie chrétienne du III au XIII siècle,’ *Revue de l’Histoire des Religions* 32 (1895) 284-302 and 33 (1896) 19-36 & 125-62. ↗ A little on Lactantius on p. 293 of 32 (1895).

PHILONENKO, Marc. ‘*Magister iustitiae*: note sur la christologie de Lactance,’ pp. 227-231 in *Paganisme, judaïsme, christianisme: influences et affrontements dans le monde Antique. Mélanges offerts à MARCEL SIMON*. Paris, 1978. ↗ Suggests Qumrân connections with L’ notion of Christ as *magister iustitiae*.

PICHON, René. *Lactance. Étude sur le mouvement philosophique et religieux sous le règne de Constantin*. Paris, 1901. ↗ Classic and comprehensive.

® BRANDT, *Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift* 23 (1903) 1223-8 & 1255-9; GEFFCKEN, *Neue Jahrbücher für das klassische Altertum* 11 (1903) 550-68; GUIGNEBERT, *Revue de l’Histoire des Religions* 48 (1903) 87-101; LEJAY, *Revue Critique* 2 (1904) 462-6; MONCEAUX, *Revue Archéologique* (1904) 425-9; SABBADINI, *Rivista di Filologia e d’Istruzione classica* 32 (1904) 333-5; THOMAS, *Revue de l’Instruction publique en Belgique* 47 (1904) 100-2.

- _____. ‘Note sur un vers des *Oracles Sibyllins*,’ *Revue de Philologie* 28 (1904) 41. Σ Lam 1.411. ↗ DI 4.17.4.
- _____. ‘Sur un passage du *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Revue de Philologie* 28 (1904) 60. ↗ An emendation at *MP* 14.4-5.
- PIETRUSINSKY, Dionysius. ‘Quid Lactantius de ethnicorum philosophia, litteris, eloquentia iudicaverit,’ *Latinitas* 12 (1964) 274-279. Σ *APh* 35 (1964) 121f.
- PIGANIOL, André. ‘L’état actuel de la question constantinienne, 1930/49,’ *Historia* 1 (1950) 82-96.
- _____. ‘Dates Constantiniennes,’ *Revue d’Histoire et de Philosophie religieuse* 12 (1932) 360-372. Σ *APh* 8 (1933) 293. ↗ 366-9 on L’ life; 370 on L and Constantine.
- PIRONI, Patrizia. ‘Il soggiorno siciliano di Porfirio e la composizione del «Κατὰ Χριστιανῶν»,’ *Rivista di storia della chiesa in Italia* 39 (1985) 502-8. ↗ Pp. 505-7 identifying the hostile philosopher of *DI* 5.2.3-11 with Porphyry of Tyre.
- PIZZANI, Ubaldo. ‘Consistenza e limiti degli influssi locali su alcuni aspetti del pensiero teologico di Lattanzio,’ *Augustinianum* 19 (1979) 87-102. Σ *APh* 50 (1979) 177 #2554. ↗ Response to HECK *Dualistischen* on the dualistic passages.
- _____. ‘Osservazioni sulla genesi della teologia della storia in Lattanzio,’ *Augustinianum* 16 (1976) 53-60. Σ *APh* 49 (1978) 198 #2648. ↗ Concerns *ID* & *MP*.
- _____. ‘Precetto evangelico dell’ amore e *divini iuris societas* in un passo del *De ira Dei* di Lattanzio,’ *Augustinianum* 17 (1977) 145-151. Σ *APh* 49 (1978) 198f #2649. ↗ ID14.1-2.
- PLUTA, Alfons. *Fragen der Erkenntnis bei Laktanz in geistesgeschichtlicher Schau*. Vienna, 1954 (dissertation).
- POHLENZ, Max. *Vom zorne Gottes: eine Studie über den Einfluss der griechischen Philosophie auf das alte Christentum*. Göttingen, 1909. ↗ Pp. 48-50 on Lactantius philosophical outlook; 50-57 on *ID*.
- POLLMANN, Karla. ‘Epikur als Typos Christi?: Lukrez und die christlichen Folgen,’ pp. 41-55 in Victoria ZIMMERL-PANAGL & Dorothea WEBER, edd., *Text und Bild: Tagungsbeiträge*, Wien 2010 (*Sitzungsberichte* der Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-Historische Klasse 813 = Veröffentlichungen der Kommission zur Herausgabe des Corpus der Lateinischen Kirchenväter 30). ↗ The adoption of Lucretian ideas by Arnobius, Lactantius, and Pseudo-Hilarius is understandable due to the natural Christian affinity with Epicurus, who attacked pagan religion.
- POHLSANDER, Hans A. ‘Crispus: brilliant career and tragic end,’ *Historia* 33 (1984) 79-106. ↗ Pp 82-3 on L in Gaul and the date of his appointment as tutor to Crispus.
- PORTOLANO, Antonio. *L’Eumeismo da Ennio a Lattanzio*. Napoli, 1975. ↗ Pp. 95-120 on euhemerism in L; cf. index, pp. 127f.
- POTTER, D. S. *Prophecy and History in the Crisis of the Roman Empire: a Historical Commentary on the Thirteenth Sybilline Oracle*. Oxford, 1990. ↗ Appendix I, pp. 351-5, on the oracle at Oenoanda and *DI* 1.7.1-3.
- POUCET, Jacques. ‘Varro, Denys d’Halicarnasse, Macrobius et Lactance: l’oracle rendu à Dodone aux Pélasges,’ *Pallas* 39 (1993) 41-69. Σ *Ibid.* pp. 68f in French and English; *APh* 69 (1998) 139 #01495. ↗ *DI* 1.21.6-8, *Macr. Sat.* 1.7.28-33, & *Dion.* 1.19.2-3.
- PRETE, Serafino. ‘Der geschichtliche Hintergrund zu den Werken des Laktanz,’ *Gymnasium* 63 (1956) 365-82 & 486-509. Translation by H. HAAS. Σ *APh* 27 (1956) 93. ↗ Five chapters on religious and historical background and a sixth on *MP*.

- _____. ‘Note storiche a Sulpicio Severo (*Chronica* II 31-32, 4),’ *Paideia* 8 (1953) 345-9. ΣAPh 25 (1954) 166f. \square P. 397 on *MP* 2; p. 352 on *DI* 5.9.3, 11, & 21f; lists of persecutors in *MP* and elsewhere on pp. 356-8.
- PRÉVOT, Françoise. ‘Origène, Lactance, Jérôme et les autres: la culture chrétienne de Sodome Apollinaire,’ *Bulletin de la Société nationale des antiquaires de France* (1995) 215-228. ΣAPh 68 (1997) 390 #04345. \square Pp. 220f on Sidonius and *OD*; pp. 224-7 on Sidonius *Carm.* 16.40-42 & 47-63, influenced by L’ christology at *DI* 4.6-12 and elsewhere.
- PRICOCO, Salvatore. ‘Un oracolo di Apollo su Dio,’ *Rivista di Storia e Letteratura religiosa* 23 (1987) 3-36. ΣAPh 58 (1987) 205 #2888. \square On the oracle at *DI* 1.7.1 and its other witnesses, *viz.* *Theosophia* 13 and an inscription from Oenoanda in Lycia.
- _____. ‘Per una storia dell’oracolo nella tarda anticità. Apollo Clario e Didimeo in Lattanzio,’ *Augustinianum* 29 (1989) 351-74. ΣAPh 60 (1989) 201 #2958. \square *DI* 1.7.1 & 9f; 4.13.11; 7.13.6; *ID* 23.12.
- _____. ‘Tre frammenti oracolari di Apollo (*Lact. Inst.* 1.7.9-10),’ pp. 337-53 of *Polyanthema: Studi di letteratura antica cristiana offerti à Salvatore COSTANZA*, vol. II. Messina, 1991 (Studi tardoantichi 8).
- QUACQUARELLI, Antonio. *Le Fonti della Paideia Antenicena (Renovatio Mundi)*. Brescia, 1967. \square L’ concept of intellectual freedom, xxxiv f; interpretation of Jesus’ acts, lxxxiii; secular learning and the Christian spirit, cxiv; rhetoric and truth, cxix f; see further in index, p. 403.
- _____. ‘La parentesi negli apologeti retori latini da Tertulliano a Firmico Materno,’ *Orpheus* 4 (1957) 63-75. ΣAPh 28 (1957) 240. \square Lactantian examples on pp. 72-4.
- QUASTEN, Johannes. *Patrology*. 3 vols., Utrecht, Antwerp, & Westminster, Maryland, 1950-60. \square General presentation of L in vol. 2, pp. 392-410.
- QUINN, Dennis P. ‘Roman household deities in the Latin Christian writers Tertullian, Arnobius, and Lactantius,’ pp. 71-75 in Jane BAUN, Averill CAMERON, and Mark EDWARDS, edd., *Studia Patristica* 44, *Papers presented at the 15th International Conference on Patristic Studies held at Oxford in 2007*, Leuven & Paris, 2010. \square Three approaches to condemning domestic pagan cults; L is concerned about the introduction of demons into a household.
- RABBOW, Paul. *Antike Schriften über Seelenheilung und Seelenleitung auf ihre Quellen versucht*. Vol. I: *Die Therapie des Zorns*. Leipzig and Berlin, 1914. \square *ID* 17.13 in Anhang I, ‘Posidonius’ Definition des Zorns bei Seneca-Laktanz,’ pp. 171-5.
- RAHNER, Hugo. ‘Antenna crucis. III. Das Schiff aus Holz,’ *Zeitschrift für Katholische Theologie* 66 (1942) 196-227 & 67 (1943) 1-21.
- RAMBAUX, Claude. ‘Christianisme et paganisme dans le livre I des *Institutions divines* de Lactance,’ *Revue des Études Latines* 72 (1994) 159-176. $\Sigma Ibid.$ p. 159 in French and Latin; *APh* 65 (1994) 277 #3601.
- RAND, Edward Kennard. *The Building of Eternal Rome*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1943. \square General treatment of L on pp. 191-202.
- _____. *The Founders of the Middle Ages*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1928. \square L *passim*, but especially on pp. 49-64.
- _____. ‘The Latin Literature of the West from the Antonines to Constantine,’ chapter XVII, pp. 571-610, in *The Cambridge Ancient History*, vol. 12. Cambridge, 1939. \square L on pp. 609f.
- RAPISARDA, Emanuele. *L’Ave Fenice di L. Cecilio Firmiano Lattanzio*. Catania, 1946 (Raccolta di Studi di Letteratura cristiana antica 4) = *Il carme «De ave Phœnlice» di L. Cecilio Firmiano Lattanzio*. Catania, 1959 (3^a edizione) & 1970.

- ® AVALLONE, *Antiquitas* 1 (1946) 128-136; CARAMELLA, *Nuovo Didaskaleion* 1 (1947) 97; HERRMANN, *Latomus* 1 (1948) 98; WASZINK, *Vigilice Christianæ* 2 (1948) 124f.
- _____. ‘L’Epicureismo nei primi scrittori latini cristiani,’ *Antiquitas* 1 (1946) 49-54. ΣAPh 19 (1948) 50. ↗ L on pp. 51-54.
- _____. ‘La Polemica di Lattanzio contro l’epicureismo,’ *ibid.* 2-5 (1947-1950) 45-52 = *Miscellanea di Studi di Letteratura cristiana antica* 1 (1947) 5-20. ΣAPh 19 (1948) 50. ↗ Continuation of the previous item.
- RASPANTE, S. *Il numerus nel «De mortibus persecutorum» attribuito a Lattanzio*. Adernò, 1913. ® DI CAPUA, *Bollettino di Filologia Classica* 20 (1913-4) 277.
- RATTI, Stéphane. ‘Réponses de l’*Histoire Auguste* aux apologistes Tertullien et Lactance,’ *Museum Helveticum* 59.4 (2002) 229-237. ΣAPh 73 (2002) 295 #02831. ↗ On pp. 235-237, traces of *MP* 5.5 & 40.6, and *DI* 5.3.6-9 in the *Historia Augusta*.
- RAU, Joachim Justus. *Diatrībe historico-philosophica de philosophia Lucii Cæciliī Lactantii Firmiani*. Jena, 1733. ↗ On pp. 1-154, a treatise on the concept ὄμοούσιος; pp. 155-263 discuss L’ philosophical and theological errors.
- RAUDENSIS, Frater Antonius [= DARHO, Antonio]. *De Lactantii erratis Dialogi tres*. [Milan?], 1443. ↗ Found in the early editions, often with a rebuttal by ADAMUS GENUENSIS.
- RAUSCHEN, Gerhard, & Berthold ALTANER. *Patrologie*. Freiburg im Breisgau, 1931. ↗ Discusses L in §39 on pp. 153-6.
- REES, Roger D. ‘Diocletian and the efficiency of public law,’ pp. 105-121 in John W. CAIRNS and Paul J. DUPLESSIS, ed., *Beyond Dogmatics: law and society in the Roman world*, Edinburgh 2007 (Edinburgh Studies in the Law 3). ↗ Evidence from *MP* 7 about the persecution edicts and the prices edict.
- REINER, Erica. ‘The riddling of Valerian,’ *Classical Quarterly* n.s. 56.1 (2006) 325-9. ↗ Vindicating L’ account of the death of Valerian (*MP* 5.6) by comparing a story about the death of king Sargon of Assyria (721-705 B.C.), as found upon a cylinder inscription buried in his palace at Khorsabad.
- REITERER, Markus. *Die Herkulesentscheidung von Prodigos und ihre frôhhumanistische Rezeption in der «Voluptatis cum Virtute disceptatio» des Benedictus Chelidonius*. Wien, 1955 (dissertation).
- RENGER, Almut-Barbara. ‘...βασάνους ἔγεγκεν ἀνδρέίως (Klemens von Alexandrien): die Mannhaftigkeit der athenischen Hetäre Leaina: zur Überlieferung einer Tyrannenmordgeschichte in den ersten vier Jahrhunderten n. Chr.’ vol. 2, pp. 25-42 of Christoph ULF and Robert ROLLINGER, edd., *Frauen und Geschlechter*. Köln & Wien, 2006, 2 vols. ↗ The concept of Leaina from Hipparchus to Lactantius.
- REYNOLDS, Leighton Durham. *Texts and Transmission: a Survey of the Latin Classics*. Oxford, 1983; reprinted with corrections, 1986. ↗ AS discussed under *Anthologia Latina*, pp. 9-13, esp. pp. 12f with note 18.
- RICCIOTTI, Giuseppe. ‘Le fonti storiche della persecuzione diocleziana,’ *Orpheus* 1 (1954) 59-67. ΣAPh 25 (1954) 487. ↗ Pp. 63f on L.
- RICHARD, Gaston. ‘Les obstacles à la liberté de conscience au IV^e siècle de l’ère chrétienne,’ *Revue des Études Anciennes* 42 (1940 = *Mélanges RADET*) 498-507. ↗ On *DI* 5.20; *EDI* 54 on p. 499.
- RICHTER, Will. ‘Zwei spätantike Gedichte über den Vogel Phoenix,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 136.1 (1993) 62-90. ΣAPh 64 (1993) 121 #1624. ↗ A posthumously published analysis of parallel passages to prove that *AP* is a theologized revision of Claudian; *AP* regarded as an overrated

- piece of work that cannot have been written by L, but must belong at the earliest to the fifth century.
- RIESE, Alexander. ‘Über den Phœnix des Lactantius (A.L. 731) und andere Gedichte der lateinischen Anthologie, *Rheinisches Museum* N.F. 31 (1876) 446-52. ↗ Textual and interpretative notes.
- _____. ‘Über die Textkritik des Symphosius,’ *Zeitschrift für die österreichischen Gymnasien* 19 (1868) 483-500. ↗ On ms. *inscriptiones* and the name Symphosius, and the Salmasianus and other mss.
- _____. ‘Zu dem Phoenix des Lactantius,’ *Rheinisches Museum* N.F. 55 (1900) 316-8. ↗ Textual observations.
- RITSCHL, Friedrich. ‘Zur lateinischen Anthologie,’ *Rheinisches Museum* N.F. 28 (1873) 189-92 = vol. 3 (1877), pp. 806-11 of his *Opuscula Philologica*. 5 vols. Leipzig, 1866-79; reprinted Hildesheim, 1978. ↗ On AP 97-100, 131, 133-6, 139, 141.
- RIVIÈRE, Yann. ‘Constantin, le crime et le christianisme: contribution à l’étude des lois et des mœurs de l’Antiquité tardive,’ *Antiquité Tardive* 10 (2002) 327-361. Σ *ibid.* p. 327 in English; *APh* 73 (2002) #14804. ↗ Refutes the notion that Constantine’s legal reforms were influenced by Christianity. *MP* 36.6-7 on p. 335; *DI* 5.1, 8, 11, 18 on pp. 349-50; *MP* 1, 7, 8, 15, 22, 23, 36, 38 on p. 350; *DI* 7.5.6 on p. 353.
- ROBERT, Louis. ‘Un oracle gravé à Oinoanda,’ *Comptes Rendus des séances de l’Académie des inscriptions et belles lettres* (1971) 597-619. Σ *APh* 42 (1971) 191 #03361. ↗ On pp. 607-9 and 611f, L’ revised version of three lines of the oracle at *DI* 1.7.1-3, attributing it to Apollo of Claros. See further Potter *Prophecy*.
- ROCHETTE, Bruno. ‘*Nudus... infans...*: à propos de Lucrèce, V, 222-227,’ *Les études classiques* 60 (1992) 63-73. Σ *APh* 63 (1992) 241, #3130. ↗ Pp. 68-70 on *OD* 3.1.
- RÖHRICHT, Alexander. *Die Seelenlehre des Arnobius*. Hamburg, 1893.
- ROLLER, Karl Ludwig. *Die Kaisergeschichte in Laktanz «De mortibus persecutorum»*. Giessen, 1927 (dissertation, 38 pp.).
- ® BAYNES, *Journal of Roman Studies* 18 (1928) 226-8 = pp. 321-5 of his *Byzantine Studies and Other Essays*. London, 1955.
- ROMALDO, A. M. ‘*Corpus Titularum Senensium. Le Divinæ Institutiones* di Lattanzio e il pavimento del Duomo di Siena,’ *Annuario dell’ Istituto Storico Diocesano di Siena Anno 1* (1992-1993), pp. 51-81. ↗ Depictions of the Sibyls in quattrocento art.
- ROMANO, Domenico. ‘Vessili e <<clipei>> tra i due regni: echi della teologia della vittoria nel *Carmen de passion Domini*,’ pp. 429-435 of Rossanna BARCELONA & Teresa SARDELLA, edd., *Munera Amicitiae, studi di storia e cultura sulla tarda antituità offerti à Salvatore Pricocco*. Rubettino, 2003. ↗ Dating of the poem is to the era of Constantine, but the attribution to L unlikely.
- ROMBACH, Ursula, and Peter SEILER. ‘*Eleos – misericordia – compassio*: Transformation des Mitleids in Text und Bild,’ pp. 256-276 (with illustrations) in Martin HARBSMEIER and Sebastian MÖCKEL, edd., *Pathos, Affekt, Emotion: Transformationen der Antike*. Frankfurt am Main, 2009. ↗ L and Augustine reveal that pagan concepts, flowing from Aristotle, become the vehicles of new meaning in early Christianity.
- RONCORONI, Angelo. ‘Sul *De passione Domini* pseudolattanziano,’ *Vigiliae Christianæ* 29 (1975) 208-21. Σ *APh* 46 (1975) 80. ↗ Detailed study of mss. of *PD*; argues for dating to 5th/6th century.

- ROOTS, Peter A. ‘The *De Opificio Dei*. The Workmanship of God and Lactantius,’ *Classical Quarterly* 37 (1987) 466-486. Σ *APh* 58 (1987) 179 #2540. ☐ A revisionist view of the structure, its relation to Cicero *De Natura Deorum* 2, and other sources.
- _____. *De Opificio Lactantii: a reassessment of the work of L. Cæcilius Firmianus Lactantius*. Cambridge, 1988 (Ph. D. thesis). ☐ Argues that L, understanding himself to be a Christian Cicero, paralleled Cicero’s philosophical works by intending each of the books of *DI*, *OD*, and *ID* to represent at Ciceronian dialogue. Studies L’ place in the history of ideas by investigating his relationship with Epicureans, Stoics, and the New Academy.
- ROOY, Antonius DE. *Spicilegia critica*. Dordrecht, 1771. ☐ In ch. 7, pp. 34-41, notes on *MP* 7, 11, 17, 28, 31, 33, 38, 40, 44; in ch. 24, pp. 170f on *AP*; N.B. *omissa et corrigenda* at end of volume.
- RORDORF, Willy. ‘Un chapitre d’Éthique Judéo-Chrétienne: les deux Voies,’ *Revue des Sciences Religieuses* 60 (1972) 109-28. Σ *APh* 43 (1972) 804. ☐ *EDI* 53-62 and *Didache* 1-5.
- ROSSETTI, LUIGI. ‘Il «De Opificio Dei» di Lattanzio e le sue fonti,’ *Didaskaleion* 6 (1928) fasc. 3, pp. 115-200.
- ROSSI, SANTE. ‘Il concetto di storia e la prassi storiografica di Lattanzio e del *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Giornale Italiano di Filologia* 14 (1961) 193-213. Σ *APh* 32 (1961) 117. ☐ Argues against authenticity of *MP* by comparing it with *DI*.
- ROTHFUCHS, Julius. ‘Qua historiæ fide Lactantius usus sit in libro *de mortibus persecutorum*.’ Marburg, 1862 (Programm).
- ROUGÉ, Jean. ‘À propos des mendiants au IV^e siècle; étude de quelques textes,’ *Cahiers d’Histoire* 20 (1975) 339-46. Σ *APh* 48 (1977) 797 #11390. ☐ Pp. 539-40 on *MP* 23.7-9.
- _____. ‘À propos du manuscrit du *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ pp. 13-23 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ☐ Close study of the ms. with a rereading of *MP* 44.5.
- _____. ‘L’abdication de Dioclétien et la proclamation des Césars: degré de fiabilité du récit de Lactance,’ pp. 77-89 of Michel CHRISTOL, Sérgolène DEMOUGIN *et al.*, edd., *Institutions, société et vie politique dans l’empire romain au IV^e siècle ap. J.-C.* Rome, 1992 (Actes de la table ronde autour de l’oeuvre d’André Chastagnol; Collection de l’École Française de Rome N° 159). Σ *APh* 64 (1993) 254 #3240. ☐ Analysis of *MP* 18f.
- _____. ‘Le *De mortibus Persecutorum*, 5^e livre des Macchabées,’ pp. 135-143 in Elizabeth. A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 12, part 1 of the Proceedings of the International Conference on Patristics Studies, Oxford, 1971. Berlin, 1975 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 115). ☐ Topos of the punishment of θεόμαχοι and the connection of *MP* with II Maccabees.
- _____. ‘Fausta, femme de Constantin, criminelle ou victime?’ *Cahiers d’Histoire* 25 (1980) 3-15. Σ *APh* 51 (1980) 602 #9704. ☐ *MP* 29-30 on pp. 7-10 & 14.
- _____. ‘L’incendie de Rome en 64 et l’incendie de Nicomédie en 303,’ pp. 433-441 in *Mélanges d’histoire ancienne offerts à WILLIAM SESTON*. Paris, 1974 (Publications de la Sorbonne, Série d’Études 9). ☐ L’ picture of Diocletian in *MP* compared with the historiographical tradition on Nero.
- _____. ‘Lactantiana,’ a presentation to the 4th session in 1969 (26 April) of the Société Lyonnaise des Études Anciennes, summarized in *Cahiers d’Histoire* 15 (1970) 372-373. ☐ *MP* as an imitation of II Maccabees; restorations of the text on the mutilated first page of the ms; an argument against Moreau’s emendation *littera <I>* at *MP* 44.5.
- _____. ‘Remarques sur le premier folio du manuscrit du *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Revue des Études Augustiniennes* 30 (1984) 30-35. Σ *APh* 65 (1994) 277 #3602. ☐ Some readings restored with the aid of a quartz lamp.

- RUCH, Michel. *L'Hortensius de Cicéron: Histoire et Reconstruction*. Paris, 1958. ↗ Lactantian fragments at no. 24, 25, 32, 48, 51.
⑧ Brink, *Journal of Roman Studies* 51 (1961) 215-222.
- RUHBACH, G. ‘Zum Begriff ἀντίθεος in der alten Kirche,’ pp. 372-84 of F. L. CROSS, ed., *Studia Patristica* 7, part 1 of the Reports to the Seventh International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1963. Berlin, 1966 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 92). ↗ Pp. 381-3 on L.
- RUNCHINA, Giovanni. ‘Polemica filosofica e dottrinale nel *De ira Dei* di Lattanzio,’ *Annale della Facoltà di Lettere, Filosofia, e Magistero della Università di Cagliari* 6 (1985[1987]) 159-81. Σ APh 59 (1988) 214 #3074.
- RUSSELL, Jeffry Burton. *Inventing the Flat Earth: Columbus and modern historians*. New York, Westport, Connecticut, & London, 1991. L’s rejection of the global earth (DI 3.3.4-7 & 3.24) on pp. 32-33, 60, 62, 72, 74; L used in the debate on science and religion on 35, 45, 48; L and Copernicus, 64f & 70; L. and Washington Irving’s imaginary account of the trial of Christopher Columbus at Salamanca, 50-54.
- _____. *Satan: the early Christian tradition*. Ithaca & London, 1981. ↗ Pp. 149-159 offer a brief but thorough treatment of L’ notion of Satan, and his ethical, anthropological, and cosmological dualism; survey of L’ works and selected scholarship on pp. 235-6.
- RUSSO, Alessandro. ‘Hiera anagraphé, «Sacra historia», «sacra scriptio», un frammento dell’ «Euhemerus» di Ennio (54 Winiarczyk = Var. 65-82 V.²) e un passo di Lattanzio (*Epit.* 13, 3),’ *Rivista di Filologia e di Istruzione Classica* 145.2 (2017) 346-380, with resumé in English. ↗ The true title of Euhemerus’ work and its relationship with its Greek model.
- RUYSSCHÄRT, José. ‘Le miniaturiste “romain” de l’ “opus” de Michele Carara,’ *Scriptorium* 23 (1969) 215-224. ↗ Pp. 215f, 219 on a 15th-century miniaturist’s image of L.
- RUYT, Franz de. ‘L’idée du «bivium» et le symbole pythagoricien de la lettre Y,’ *Revue Belge de Philologie et d’Histoire* 10 (1931) 137-44. ↗ DI 6.3.6 on p. 138.
- SABBADINI, Remigio. ‘Un manoscritto del carme ‘De passione domini’ attribuito a Lattanzio,’ *Studi Italiani de filologia classica* 7 (1899) 133f. ↗ Early editions of PD and the scholarly controversies; Ravenna ms. classensis 297 Bologna 401 disproves Brandt’s attribution to an anonymous Renaissance humanist. Elenchus of variations collated against Roma 1759.
- _____. *Le scoperte dei codici latini e greci ne’ secoli XIV e XV nuove ricerche*. Firenze, 1905; Firenze, 1914. ↗ PD on pp. 125 and 231 respectively.
- SAUMAGNE, Charles. ‘Du mot αἴρεσις dans l’édit licinien de 313,’ *Theologische Zeitschrift* 10 (1954) 376-87. Σ APh 26 (1955) 471. ↗ MP 48.2-8, Eusebius *HE* 10.5-6, and other texts.
- SAUER, Jochen. ‘Eine Anthropologie der Erkenntnis in Laktanz’ Argumentation für den Monotheismus,’ pp. 129-144 of Alfons Fürst, Luise Ahmed, Christian Gers-Uphaus, & Stefan Klug, eds., *Monotheistische Denkfiguren in der Spätantike (Studien und Texte zu Antike und Christentum* 81). Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2013. ↗ Compares Cicero’s *De Legibus* 1.24-27 to the opening of DI 2 to reveal a different Christian idea of God.
- SBOARDONE, Francesco. ‘La fenice nel culto di Helios,’ *Rivista Indo-Græca-Italica di filologia, lingua, antichità* 19.1-2 (1935) 1-46. ↗ AP among other texts.
- SCHÄFER, K. Th. Article ‘Eisagogue,’ cols. 862-904 of Theodor KLAUSER *et al.*, eds., *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, vol. IV. Stuttgart, 1959. ↗ Cols. 886f on DI.
- SCHÄUBLIN, Christoph. ‘Christliche Humanitas, christliche Toleranz,’ *Museum Helveticum* 32 (1975) 209-222. Σ APh 46 (1975) 393. ↗ On EDI 47-50.4.

- _____. ‘Zur “*Alcestis Barcinonensis*,”’ *Museum Helveticum* 41 (1948) 174-81. ↗ Pp. 179ff on *AP* and the *Alcestis Barcinonensis*.
- SCHANZ, Martin von, Carl HOSIUS, & Gustav KRÜGER. *Geschichte der Romischen Literatur*³. Munich, 1922. ↗ Vol. 3, pp. 413-437 on L; 433 on PD.
- SCHENKL, Karl. ‘Zur Kritik späterer lateinischer Dichter,’ *Sitzungsberichte der philosophisch-historischen Klasse der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien* 43 (1863) 11-73. ↗ Authorship and critical notes on *AS* under ‘I. Das Räthselgedicht des Symposium,’ pp. 11-32; index of passages cited on pp. 72f.
- SCHETTER, W. ‘Nemesians *Bucolica* und die Anfänge der spätlateinischen Dichtung,’ pp. 1-43 of Christian GNILKA & W. SCHETTER, *Studien zur Literatur der Spätantike*. Bonn, 1975. ↗ Pp. 15f on L’ classicism both in prose and in the *AP*.
- SCHIEBE, Marianne Wifstrand. ‘Laktanz und Varro: Beobachtungen zu *Inst. 1,13,8* an Hand einiger Stellen der *Civitas Dei* Augustins,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 137.2 (1994) 162-186. Σ *APh* 70 (1999) 282 #02831 ↗ In the light of *CD* 7.19 argues that L errs in stating that Varro believed Saturn to have been a human being.
- _____. ‘Laktanz, Varro, und die Tradition des Argeer-Ritus,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 142 (1999) 189-209. ↗ *DI* 1.21.3-9, *EDI* 18.2, Macrobius *Sat. 1.7.28-32*, Ovid *Fasti* 5.621f & 629-632: L’ erroneous citation of Varro derives from a commentary on the *Fasti*.
- SCHMID, Wolfgang. Article ‘Bukolik,’ cols. 786-800 of Theodor KLAUSER *et al.*, edd., *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, vol. II. Stuttgart, 1954. ↗ Cols. 791f on on Virgil’s 4th *Eclogue* and the image of the good shepherd in *DI* 7.24.
- _____. Article ‘Epikur,’ cols. 681-819 of Theodor KLAUSER *et al.*, edd., *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, vol. V. Stuttgart, 1962. ↗ On L, cols. 784-786, 794-796, & 810-814.
- SCHMIDT, Ernest Günther. ‘Peripatetiker-Zitate bei Lactantius und «Psellos»,’ *Helikon* 18-19 (1978-79 [1980]) 396-402. Σ *APh* 52 (1981) 39 #553. ↗ Pp. 398-402 on *DI* 3.7.5-8.
- SCHMIDT, Moriz. ‘Zu Lactantius Firmianus,’ *Rheinisches Museum* N.F. 6 (1848) 318-20. ↗ Concerns *AP* 125f & 131.
- SCHMIDT, P. L. ‘Zeugnisse antiker Autoren zu Cicero’s Werk *De Legibus*,’ pp. 301-33 of Johannes IRMSCHER *et al.*, edd., *Miscellanea Critica*, vol. II. Leipzig, 1965. ↗ Pp. 305-21 on L and Cicero.
- SCHMITT, Charles B. *Cicero Scepticus: a study of the Influence of the Academica in the Renaissance*. Den Haag, 1972. ↗ L on pp. 23-28 & 158f.
- SCHNEIDER, Catherine. ‘Lactance, Jérôme et les recueils de declamations pseudo-quintiliens,’ pp. 63-76 in Jean-Yves GUILLAUMIN & Stéphane RATTI, edd., *Autour de Lactance: hommages à Pierre Monat*. Besançon, 2003. ↗ On pp. 63-69, *DI* 1.21.16-17, 3.1.3, 3.30.1, 5.7.6-7, 5.9.8, 6.1.3, & 6.23.29-30; *OD* 1.1 & 13.2.
- SCHNEWEIS, Rev. Emil. *Angels and Demons According to Lactantius*. Washington, 1944 (Catholic University of America Studies in Christian Antiquity 3).
- SCHRIJVERS, Pieter Herman. ‘La présence de Lucrèce dans le *De opificio dei* de Lactance,’ pp. 259-266 of Rémy POIGNAULT, ed., *Présence de Lucrèce: Actes du colloque tenu à Tours, 1998*. Tours, 1999 (Collection Césarodunum XXXII bis). Σ *APh* 71 (2000) 302 #02933 ↗ *OD* 2-4, 6, 16-19.
- SCHOLZ, Udo W. ‘Laktanz und die *virtus* des Lucilius,’ pp. 303-312 in Wilhelm BLÜMER, Rainer HENKE und Markus MÜLKE, edd., *Alvarium: Festschrift für Christian Gnilka*. Münster, 2002 (*Jahrbücher für Antike und Christentum* Ergänzungsband 33). Σ *APh* 73 (2002) #03489 ↗

- Virtus* in L, esp. *DI* 6.5f with its analysis of the Lucilius fragment *Virtus, Albine...* (1326-38 MARX, 1342-54 KRENKEL, *Hexametri incertæ sedis* 23 CHARPIN).
- SCHOTT, Jeremy M. *Christianity, Empire, and the Making of Religion in Late Antiquity*. Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania Press, 2008; 254 pp., index. ↗ Transformation of Roman imperial rhetoric and ideology in the early 4th century as a result of conflicts between pagan and Christian intellectuals, including Porphyry of Tyre vs. L, Eusebius, and Constantine.
- SCHULTZ, Wolfgang. ‘Herakles am Scheidewege,’ *Philologus* 68 (1909) 488-99. ↗ *DI* 6.3 on p. 489.
- SCHUSTER (née WALLA), Marialuise. *Der Vogel Phönix in der antiken Literatur und die Dichtung des Laktanz*. Wien, 1969 (dissertation, 1965). ↗ Detailed commentary and interpretation.
⑧ FONTAINE, *Gnomon* 45 (1973) 208-10
- SCHUSTER, Mauriz. ‘Der Phönix und der Phönixmythus in der Dichtung des Lactantius,’ *Commentationes Vindobonenses* 2 (1936) 55-70. Σ *APh* 12 (1937) 70. ↗ Treatment of the myth in *AP*.
- _____. ‘Zur echtheitsfrage und Abfassungszeit von Lactantius’ Dichtung *De ave Phœnico*,’ *Wiener Studien* 54 (1936) 118-28. Σ *APh* 11 (1936) 72.
- SCHWANITZ, Wolfgang. *Medizinisches bei Laktanz*. Düsseldorf, 1975 (unpublished dissertation). ↗ On *OD*.
- SCHWARTE, Karl-Heinz. ‘Diokletians Christengesetz,’ pp. 203-240 of Rosmarie GÜNTHER & Stefan REBENICH, edd., *E fontibus haurire: Beiträge zur römischen Geschichte und zu ihren Hilfswissenschaften [Heinrich CHANTRAINE zum 65. Geburtstag]*. Paderborn, 1994 (Studien zur Geschichte und Kultur des Altertums n.F. Reihe 1 № 8). Σ *APh* 65 (1994) 1161 #16071. ↗ Pp. 206-215 on *MP* 10-15; pp. 221-9 on the convergence of L and Eusebius; pp. 235f on *MP* 17 and Diocletian’s motivation for the Great Persecution.
- SCHWARTZ, Jacques. ‘À propos des ch. 4 à 6 du *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ pp. 91-103 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*. ↗ The sources of these chapters and their influence on later works.
- SCULTETUS, Abraham. *Medullæ theologie patrum pars prima*. Amberg, 1613. ↗ On L, book X, pp. 361-98: on L and his works, ch. 1-10, pp. 361-87; on L’ doctrine, errors, and learned controversies on his theology, ch. 11-13, pp. 387-98.
- SEECK, Otto. *Geschichte des Untergangs der Antiken Welt*, vol. I. Berlin, 1895. ↗ On Lactantian authorship of *MP*, with chronology of its composition and L’ movements, pp. 426-30; *MP* 16 and L’ assertion that Maximian ruled Spain, 424; on emending the date at *MP* 17.1, Anhang pp. 409f (note on p. 4, line 22); *MP* 18, 425f (note on p. 34, line 10); *MP* 24.6f on Constantine’s escape from Maximian, p. 434 (note on p. 44, line 17); on erroneous dates at *MP* 44.4, 445f; *MP* 46.6 on Licinius’ prayer before battle, p. 461 (note on p. 145, line 3); on the second edition of *DI*, p. 437 (note on p. 51, line 27) and 441 (note on p. 60, line 3).
- _____. ‘Das sogenannte Edikt von Mailand,’ *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte* 12.3-4 (1891) 381-6. ↗ The document at *MP* 48 is not an edict, but a letter, with legal force only in Licinius’ jurisdiction.
- SEILER, Peter and Ursula ROMBACH. “Ἐλεος – misericordia – compassion: Transformation des Mitleids in Text und Bild,” pp. 256-276 (with illustrations) in Martin HARBSMEIER and Sebastian MÖCKEL, edd., *Pathos, Affekt, Emotion: Transformationen der Antike*. Frankfurt am Main, 2009. ↗ L and Augustine reveal that pagan concepts, coming from Aristotle, become the vehicles of new meaning in early Christianity.

- SENFTT, Johann Christoph. *Ad L. C. Lactantii cap. iii De falsa sapientia, difficiliora aliquot paralipomena, annotatmentis illustrata*. Wittenberg, 1697. ☛ On scientific matters, mostly astronomical, in *DI* 3. (Often attributed to Georg KIRCHMAIER, but he was the *præses*, not the author.)
- SENG, Helmut. ‘Apk. 11,1-14 in Zusammenhang der Johannesapokalypse: Aufschluss aus Lactantius und Hippolytus,’ *Vetera Christianorum* 27 (1990) 111-21. Σ *APh* 61 (1990) 305 #4420. ☛ Pp. 118f & 121 on *DI* 7.17.
- SESTON, William Max M. ‘La Conférence de Carnuntum et le *dies imperii* de Licinius,’ pp. 175-86 in E. SWOBODA *et al.*, eds., *Carnuntina. Ergebnisse der Forschung über die Grenzprovinzen des Römischen Reiches. Vorträge beim Internationalen Kongress der Altertumsforscher, Carnuntum, 1955*. Graz, 1956 (Römische Forschungen in Niederösterreich 3). Σ *APh* 27 (1956) 372. ☛ *MP* 27f & Zosimus 2.10.
- _____. *Dioclétien et la Tétrarchie*, vol. I, *Guerres et Réformes (284-300)*. Paris, 1946 (Bibliothèque des écoles françaises d’Athènes et Rome 162). ☛ On *DI* 2.16 and the imperial cult, pp. 213 & 239; *DI* 2.17 on the cult of the tetrarchs, 227; *DI* 5.2.3-11 and the identification of the hostile philosopher there with Porphyry of Tyre, p. 246; *DI* 5.5f as a commentary on Diocletian, p. 282; *DI* 7.15.11 & 19 on an end to Roman rule, 123f; on the date of *MP*, 26f; *MP* 7 on Diocletian’s administration, pp. 242-4, 261f, 297, 302, 338, 349f; *MP* 8 and the administrative districts of the empire, p. 241; *MP* 9, 20, & 25 on the characterization of Maximian, pp. 90f; *MP* 9.19 and L’ source for the early history of Diocletian, 40; *MP* 9 & 42 on an assessment of Diocletian, p. 18; on emending the date at *MP* 17.1, p.51; *MP* 18 & 20 and L’ description of the tetrarchy, pp. 185f & 249; *MP* 18 and L’ view of Galerius’ role, pp. 180-3; *MP* 19 & 42 on Diocletian’s origins, pp. 38f & 42; *MP* 31 on administration, p. 347 with n. 4; *MP* 39-41 and Valeria Augusta, p. 44.
- _____. ‘L’opinion païenne et la conversion de Constantin,’ *Revue d’Histoire et de Philosophie Religieuses* 16 (1936) 250-64. ☛ Pp. 252f on *MP* 44 and Constantine’s vision.
- _____. ‘La vision païenne de 310 et les origines du chrisme constantinien,’ pp. 375-95 (two plates inserted) of *Mélanges Franz CUMONT*. Bruxelles, 1936 (Annuaire de l’institut de philologie et d’histoire orientales et slaves, vol. 4). ☛ On *MP* 44.5 and Constantine’s dream, pp. 388-92
- SETTON, Kenneth M. *Christian Attitude towards the Emperor in the Fourth Century, Especially as Shown in Addresses to the Emperor*. New York & London, 1941. ☛ Brief account of *MP* on pp. 44-6.
- ⑧ Norman H. BAYNES, ‘Lactantius, the Divine Institutes,’ *Journal of Roman Studies* 34 (1944) 136-138 = BAYNES, *Byzantine Studies and Other Essays* (London 1955 [1960]) 348-354.
- SFAMENI GASPARRO, Giulia. ‘L’ermetismo nelle testimonianze dei Padri,’ pp. 58-64 of F. L. CROSS, ed., *Studia Patristica* 11, part 2 of the Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Patristics Studies, Oxford, 1967. Berlin, 1975 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 108). ☛ *L passim*.
- SHACKLETON BAILEY, David Roy. ‘Lactantiana,’ *Vigiliæ Christianæ* 14 (1960) 165-169. ☛ Textual emendations; on *DI* 6.18.15f, see further Ogilvie ‘Lactantius.’
- _____. *Towards a Text of Anthologia Latina*. Cambridge, 1979 (Cambridge Philosophical Society: supplementary volume 5). ☛ AS at #286, pp. 37-42.
- SHARP, Margaret Clare. *A Historical and Literary Commentary on the Phoenix Poem ascribed to Lactantius*. Oxford, 1986 (unpublished D. Phil. thesis, in two volumes). ☛ A monumental work, with Introduction, text, and exhaustive commentary plus three essays: I. ‘Classical Literary Culture at the Courts of the Tetrarchs and of Constantine,’ pp. 1-53; II. ‘Continuity and Renewal:

- the Phœnix as a Political Symbol with Special Reference to the Tetrarchs and to Constantine,’ pp. 483-528; III. ‘The Summus Deus in Late Antiquity,’ pp. 529-583. Notes to Introduction and chapters, pp. 584-639; bibliography, pp. 640-707; Abstract, pp. 708f.
- SHERIDAN, Rev. Dom Marc, OSB. ‘*Digne Deo*: A traditional Greek principle of interpretation in Latin dress,’ pp. 23-40 in vol. I of *L'esegesi dei padri latini: dale origini a Gregorio Magno XXVIII Incontro di studiosi dell' antichità cristiana, Roma, 6 – 8 maggio 1999*. Roma, 2000 (Studia Ephemeridis Augustinianum 68). ↗ Pp. 33f on *ID* 4.3.
- SIEGERT, Julia. *Die Theologie des Apologeten Lactantius in ihrem Verhältnis zur Stoia*. Bonn, 1921 (dissertation, 1919).
- SIHLER, Ernest Gottlieb. *From Augustus to Augustine*. Cambridge, 1923. ↗ General treatment of L on pp. 174-82. *MP* 7 concerning Diocletian’s administration on pp. 166f; 182-9 on Diocletian’s persecution, using L among others.
- SILOMON, Hans. ‘Lactanz, *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Hermes* 47 (1912) 250-75. Σ *Lam* 1.337. ↗ Textual comparsons of *MP* (authenticity denied), Eutropius, Aurelius Victor, Anonymus Valesianus, Zonaras, and Zosimus in an attempt to isolate the (hypothetical) source texts of later imperial historiography. Response by Willem BÆHRENS, ‘Zum liber.’
- ⑧ COLOMBO, *Didaskaleion* 1 (1912) 404-13.
- _____. ‘Untersuchungen zur Quellengeschichte der Kaiser Aurelian bis Constantius,’ *Hermes* 49 (1914) 538-80. ↗ Marshalls the sources for these reigns, including *MP*, and demonstrates their various dependence upon three hypothetical primary sources.
- SILVA, Diogo Pereira da. ‘Lactâncio e a polêmica sobre o surgimento do politeísmo,’ *Praesentia* 15 (2014; no page numbers), with a summary in English. ↗ The controversy over the appearance of polytheism developed by L in *DI*, especially his appropriating arguments of Theophilus of Antioch and Euhemerus in his anti-pagan polemic.
- SIMMONS, the Rev. Dr Michael Bland. *Arnobius of Sicca: Religious Conflict and Competition in the Age of Diocletian*. Oxford, 1995. ↗ First comprehensive study of Arnobius in English. 12 chapters, 4 appendices, bibliography (pp. 338-64), index of passages (365-77), general index (378-85). Pp. 41f on *MP* 9.5-10 (whether Galerius instigated the Great Persecution); pp. 50-53 on Arnobius, Lactantius, and Jerome’s information at *Chron. s.a.* 326-7, *De Viris Illustribus* 79-80, and *Ep.* 70; pp. 77f on whether Porphyry of Tyre is the hostile philosopher of *DI* 5.2.3-11 (further on p. 24, pp. 221f).
- SIMONETTI, Manlio. ‘Sulla paternità del *Quod idola dii non sint*,’ *Maia* 3 (1950) 65-88. ↗ Examines hypothesized verbal links with *DI* and *EDI*.
- _____. ‘Il regresso della teologia dello Spirito sancto in Occidente dopo Tertulliano,’ *Augustinum* 20 (1980) 655-69. Σ *APh* 52 (1981) 354 #5263. ↗ P. 662 on L’ concept at *DI* 4.9.1, 4.12.1, 4.18.31, *EDI* 38.9.
- _____. ‘Sulla cronologia di Commodiano,’ *Aevum* 27 (1953) 227-39. Σ *APh* 24 (1953) 53f. ↗ Pp. 232-6 on connections between Commodian and *DI*.
- SINISCALCO, P. ‘Ermete Trismegisto, profeta pagano della rivelazione cristiana: la fortuna di un passo Ermetico (*Asclepius* 8) nell’ interpretazione di scrittori cristiani,’ *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino, classe di Scienze morali, storiche, e filologiche* 101, part II, (1966-67) 83-116 (with plate facing p. 116). Σ *APh* 38 (1967) 110. ↗ *DI* 4.6 et al. on pp. 84, 86, & 88-97.
- SMOLAK, Kurt. ‘*Rectius itaque Lucretius*: zur Kritik des Laktanz an Cicero’s Philosophiehymnus,’ *Z̄iva Antika* 45.1-2 (1995) 351-8. Σ *APh* 67 (1996) 214 #02502. ↗ *Cic. Tusc.* 5.5-11, *Lucr. Rer. Nat.* 5.8-10, *DI* 3.13f, and the praise of Epicurus.

- _____. Article ‘Symphosius,’ at §548, pp. 249-252, in Reinhart HERZOG, ed., *Handbuch der lateinischen Literatur der Antike*, vol. V, *Restauration und Erneuerung: die lateinische Literatur von 284 bis 374 n. Chr.* München, 1989.
- _____. Article ‘Symphosius,’ at §548, pp. 285-9, in Reinhart HERZOG, ed., *Nouvelle Histoire de la Littérature Latine*, vol. v, *Restauration et Renouveau: la littérature latine de 284 à 374 après J.-C.* Turnhout, 1993. ☐ Slightly updated French version of the preceding.
- SØBY CHRISTENSEN, Arne. *Lactantius the Historian: an Analysis of the De Mortibus Persecutorum*. København, 1980. ☐ A general treatment with some emphasis on sources.
- ® BURIAN, Eirene 20 (1983) 127f; DEN BOEFT, *Mnemosyne* 36 (1983) 436f; ILSKY, *Eos* 71 (1983) 117-20; MOLTHAGEN, *Gnomon* 53 (1981) 712-5; MOUCHOVÁ, *Gymnasium* 89 (1982) 345f; NAUTIN, *Revue des Études Grecques* 94 (1981) 581; NICHOLSON, *Classical Review* 34 (1984) 322f; NICULESCU, *Studii Clasice* 21 (1983) 164f; SCHOTTER, *Greece and Rome* 28 (1981) 103-4.
- SOGNO, Cristiana. ‘Persius, Juvenal, and the transformation of satire in late antiquity,’ pp. 363-385 of Susanna Morton Braund and Josiah Warren Osgood, *A Companion to Persius and Juvenal*. Chichester, Wiley-Blackwell 2012 (Blackwell Companions to the Ancient World: Literature and Culture). ☐ The rise of prose satire in late antiquity, including the development of Christian satire by Tertullian, Arnobius, and L.
- SOKOLOV, V. S. [in Russian] ‘Lactantius’ Conception of History,’ pp. 330-345 in *Problems in Ancient Literature and Philosophy*. Moscow, 1966.
- SORABJI, Richard. ‘Greco-Roman origins of the idea of moral conscience,’ pp. 361-383 in Jane BAUN, Averil CAMERON, and Mark EDWARDS, eds., *Studia Patristica* 44, *Papers presented at the Fifteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford*, 2007, Leuven and Paris: Peeters 2010. ☐ Various concepts of conscience in pagan Greek and Latin literature, especially in Epicurus, Paulus, L, Origen, and Jerome.
- SPADA, Concetta Aloe. ‘L’uso de *religio* e *religiones* nella polemica antipagana de Lattanzio,’ pp. 259-463 in Ugo Bianchi, ed., *The Notion of «Religion» in Comparative Research*. Rome, 1994 (Selected Proceedings of the 16th IAHR Congress; Storia delle Religioni 8). In L, *religio* = traditional cults, while *vera religio* = Christianity.
- SPALLONE, Maddalena. ‘Storia del libro, storia del testo: una interazione possibile,’ pp. 73-93 in Jacqueline HAMESSE, ed., *Les problèmes posés par l'édition critique des textes anciens et médiévaux*. Louvain-la-Neuve, 1992 (Université Catholique de Louvain: Publications de l'Institut d'Études Médiévales: Textes, études, congrès N° 13). Σ APh 63 (1992) 587 #7954. ☐ The decline of rigid adherence to the “Lachmann method”; on pp. 80-82, a case in point, the situation of *AS* in codex Angelicus 1515.
- _____. ‘Symphosius o Symposius? Un problema di fonetica nell’ *Anthologia Latina*’ Università degli Studi di Roma, Facoltà di Magistero: *Quaderni dell’ Istituto di Linqua e Letteratura Latina* 4 (1982) 41-48. Σ APh 56 (1985) 281 #4452.
- SPANNEUT, Michel. ‘À propos d’une édition de Lactance, *De opificio Dei*,’ *Mélanges de Science Religieuse* 34 (1977) 129-34. ☐ Viz. M. PERRIN, ed., *Lactance, L’Ouvrage du dieu créateur*.
- _____. ‘Lactance aujourd’hui. À propos de quatre livres récents,’ *Mélanges de Science Religieuse* 40 (1983) 55-68. ☐ Viz. J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps*; C. INGREMEAU, ed., *Lactance, La Colère de Dieu*; M. PERRIN, *L’homme antique et chrétien*; P. MONNAT, *Lactance et la Bible*.
- _____. ‘La non-violence chez les pères africains avant Constantin,’ pp. 36-9 of Dom Patrick GRANFIELD and Josef JUNGMANN, eds., *Kyriakon: Festschrift Johannes QUASTEN*, vol. 1. Münster, 1970. ☐ Brief survey of the non-violent ideology of St. Cyprian, Lactantius, Tertullian, Minucius Felix, and Arnobius.

- _____. ‘Séneque au moyen âge. Autour d’un livre,’ *Recherches de Théologie ancienne et médiévale* 31 (1964) 32-42. ΣAPh 36 (1965) 212. ↗ One mention of L.
- _____. *Tertullien et les premiers moralistes africains*. Gembloux, 1969. ↗ On L ch. IV, pp. 125-80; bibliography on p. 180.
- SPEIGL, Jakob. ‘Zum Kirchenbegriff des Laktanz,’ *Römische Quartalschrift* 65 (1970) 15-28. ΣAPh 42 (1971) 161.
- SPIRA, Gabriele. *Paradies und Sündenfall: Stoffe und Motive der Genesis 3-Rezeption von Tertullian bis Ambrosius*. Frankfurt am Mein: Lang Editionen, 2015. ↗ A 398 page book on Tertullian, Cyprian, L, Hilary of Poitiers, Proba, and Ambrose.
- SPÖRRI, Walter. *Späthellenistische Berichte über Welt, Kultur und Götter. Untersuchungen zu Diodor von Sizilien*. Basel, 1959 (Schweizer Beiträge zur Altertumswissenschaft 9). ↗ Pp. 156-8 on *DI* 6.10; for the many other L passages see index of passages, p. 233.
- STÄDELE, Alfons. ‘Laktanz’ *De mortibus persecutorum* also Dokument einer Zeitenwende,’ pp. 185-208 in Peter NEUKAM, ed., *Von der Rezeption zur Motivation*. München, 1998 (Klassischen Sprachen und Literaturen 32).
- STANGL, THOMAS. ‘Lactantiana,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 70 (1915) 224-252 & 441-71. $\Sigma Dix Années$ 1.216. ↗ Philological observations upon *DI* on pp. 224-49; *EDI* on pp. 249-52; *OD* on pp. 441-6; *ID* on pp. 446-50; *MP* on pp. 450-68; authenticity, 468-71.
- STEFAN, Alexandra. ‘Caius Valerius Diocles: le premier nom d’empereur de Dioclétien et la reconnaissance de son autorité en Égypte: à propos de P. Oxy. XLII 3055 et AE 1973, 540,’ *Antiquité Tardive* 23 (2015) 269-286. ↗ On the original name of Diocletian in the orient, confirmed by *MP* 52.3.
- STEIN, Christian. ‘L’édit de Galère (311 après J.-C.),’ *Revue des Science Sociales* 44 (2010) 74-9. ↗ Restoring the specific historical significance of the edict of Galerius (L *MP* 34).
- STEINHAUSEN, Josef. ‘Hieronymus und Laktanz in Trier,’ *Trierer Zeitschrift* 20 (1951) 126-54. ↗ On L & Probus, pp. 126f; 127-34 on L in Trier.
- STEUP, Julius. *De Grammaticis*. Jena, 1871. ↗ P. 167f on Lactantius as the author who sent Probus information on comic meters.
- STEVENSON, James. ‘Aspects of the Relations between Lactantius and the Classics,’ pp. 497-503 in F. L. CROSS, ed., *Studia Patristica* 4, part 2 of the Reports to the Third International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1959. Berlin, 1961 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 79). ↗ General observations.
- _____. ‘The Epitome of Lactantius, *Divinæ Institutiones*,’ pp. 291-298 in F. L. CROSS, ed., *Studia Patristica* 7, part 1 of the Reports to the Fourth International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1963. Berlin, 1966 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 92). ↗ General remarks with a few examples of abridgement.
- _____. ‘The life and literary activity of Lactantius, pp. 661-77 in Kurt ALAND & F. L. CROSS, eds., *Studia Patristica* 1, part 1 of the Reports to the Second International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1955. Berlin, 1957 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur 63). ↗ General treatment.
- STOCK, Brian C. ‘Cosmology and Rhetoric in the *Phœnix* of Lactantius,’ *Classica et Mediævalia* 26 (1965 [1967]) 246-257. ΣAPh 39 (1968) 154.
- STOCKMEIER, P. ‘Scheidung und Wiederverheiratung in der alten Kirche,’ *Theologische Quartalschrift* 151 (1971) 39-51. ΣAPh 43 (1972) 806 #11221. ↗ Survey of doctrine to mid 5th century; *DI* 6.23 and *EDI* 61 on pp. 44f.

- STOLA, B. ‘De Priscæ Diocletiani uxoris eiusque filiæ Valeriæ fatis ac morte,’ *Meander* 46 (1991) 55-62. ↗ L’ testimony to these events; in Polish with a Latin summary.
- STORK, Nancy Porter. *Through a Glass Darkly: Aldehelm’s Riddles in the British Library MS Royal 12.c.xxiii.* Toronto, 1990 (Pontifical Institute of Medieval Studies, Texts and Studies 98). ↗ Aldhelm’s prologue on *AS* p. 81, with her translation, p. 90.
- STRUVE, Karl Ludwig. *Fragmenta Librorum Sybillinorum quæ apud Lactantium reperiuntur.* Königsberg, 1817 (Festprogramm).
- _____. ‘Grammatische und kritische Bemerkungen über einege Stellen griechischer Schriftsteller.’ Königsberg, 1822 (Programm, 16 pp). ↗ Quotations of Greek texts in Lactantius.
- _____. ‘Grammatische und kritische Bemerkungen über einege Stellen griechischer Schriftsteller.’ Königsberg, 1823 (Programm, 8 pp). ↗ Continuing the above.
- _____. ‘Bemerkungen über einege Stellen griechischer Schriftsteller.’ Königsberg, 1823 (Programm, 4 pp). ↗ Continuing the above.
- _____. ‘Grammatische und kritische Bemerkungen über einege Stellen griechischer Schriftsteller.’ Königsberg, 1823 (Programm, 12 pp). ↗ Continuing the above.
- _____. ‘Bemerkungen über einege Stellen griechischer Schriftsteller.’ Königsberg, 1824 (Programm, 6 pp). ↗ Continuing the above.
- _____. ‘Bemerkungen über einege Stellen griechischer Schriftsteller.’ Königsberg, 1824 (Programm, 9 pp). ↗ Continuing the above.
- _____. ‘Grammatische und kritische Bemerkungen über einege Stellen griechischer Schriftsteller.’ Königsberg, 1825 (Programm, 10 pp). ↗ Continuing the above.
- _____. ‘Über die griechischen Fragmente in des Lactantius Buche *de Ira*.’ Königsberg, 1818 (Programm, 14 pp).
- _____. ‘Über die Sybillinischen Fragmente in Lactantius.’ Königsberg, 1877 (Programm, 67 pp).
- STRUVE, Jacob Theodore, ed. *Caroli Ludovici Struve Opuscula Selecta.* 2 vols., Leipzig, 1854. ↗ Collects the foregoing studies of Karl Ludwig STRUVE as follows: ‘Oracula Sibyllina apud Lactantium obvia’ covers *DI* on pp. 47-98 and *ID* on 98-106. ‘Fragmenta Græca Apud Lactantium,’ pp. 124-58, helps to rectify the garbled Greek quotations of the mss.
- STUDER, Dom Basil, O.S.B. ‘La sotériologie de Lactance,’ pp. 253-271 in J. FONTAINE & M. PERRIN, eds., *Lactance et son Temps.* ↗ L’ theology of salvation in the historical context of the end of the persecutions, and the literary context of Latin rhetoric.
- SÜHLING, Friedrich. *Die Taube als religiöses Symbol im christlichen Altertum.* Freiburg, 1930 (*Römische Quartalschrift für christliche Altertumskunde und für Kirchengeschichte* Supplement-Band 24). ↗ Pp. 9 & 56 on *DI* 4.15.3; p. 168 on *DI* 3.19.5f.
- SULZBERGER, Max. ‘Le Symbole de la Croix et les Monogrammes de Jésus chez les premiers chrétiens,’ *Byzantion* 2 (1925) 337-448. ↗ Thorough study of texts and inscriptions. Relevant to L are §7, ‘Lactance et la Vision de Constantin,’ pp. 401-9, on *MP* 44; §8, ‘Jusqu’à la mort de Constantin,’ pp. 409-19; §11, ‘Le Labarum,’ pp. 419-24.
- SVENNUNG, Josef Gusten Algot. *Untersuchungen zu Palladius.* Uppsala, 1935. ↗ P. 507, n. 4 on L’ use of *quod* at *DI* 1.1.6 & *OD* 19.7.
- SWIFT, Louis J. ‘Arnobius and Lactantius: two views of the pagan poets,’ *Transactions of the American Philological Association* 96 (1965) 439-448.
- _____. ‘Lactantius and the Golden Age,’ *American Journal of Philology* 89 (1968) 144-156. Σ *APh* 39 (1968) 54.

- SYNNERBERG, Carl. ‘Åbo-editionen af Lactantii *de mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Öfversigt af Finska Vetenskaps-Societetens Förfhandlingar* 47(1904-5) n° 6. ↗ On the 1684 Åbo edition of *MP*, with a life of the editor, Johannes COLUMBUS.
- TAGLIENTE, Maria Carmela. ‘Nota sui codici di Lattanzio,’ *Annali della Facoltà di Lettere e Filosofia, Università di Perugia* 16 [N.S. 2] (1978-9), Sezione Prima, pp. 13-31. Σ APh 54 (1983) 185 #2947.
- TANNER, R. G. ‘The aim of Lactantius in the *Liber de mortibus persecutorum*,’ pp. 836-40 in Elizabeth A. LIVINGSTONE, ed., *Studia Patristica* 17, part 2 of the Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1979. Oxford, 1982. ↗ Virgil in *MP*.
- TAYLOR, C. ‘The two ways in Hermas and Xenophon,’ *Journal of Philology* 21 (1893) 243-58. ↗ P. 247 on *DI* 6.3 and *EDI* 54.
- TEJA, Ramon. ‘Cristianos y paganos en el *De mortibus persecutorum* de Lactancio,’ pp. 465-476 in WERNER ECK *et al.*, eds., *Studien zu antike Sozialgeschichte. Festschrift FRIEDRICH VITTINGHOFF*. Köln, 1980 (Kölner historischer Abhandlungen 28). ↗ *MP* 15.1, 39.5, & 51.1f on the imperial consorts Prisca and Valeria.
- TESCARI, Onorato. ‘De Lactanti *Div. Instit.* III 14 1 sq. adnotatiuncula,’ *Giornale Italiano di Filologia* 3 (1950) 122f. Σ APh 21 (1950) 67. ↗ Proposes emending *voluisse* to *defuisse*.
- _____. ‘Echi di Seneca nel pensiero cristiano e vice versa,’ *Universitas* 2 (1947) 171-81. Σ APh 20 (1949) 105.
- TESTARD, le Rév. Père Maurice. ‘Épicure et Jésus-Christ: observations sur une lecture chrétienne de Lucrèce par Lactance,’ *Revue des Études Latines* 75 (1998) 200-218. Σ *Ibid.* p. 200 in Latin, and APh 69 (1998) 249 #02676. ↗ RN 6.24-28 at *DI* 7.27.6.
- _____. ‘Observations sur la pensée de Cicéron, orateur et philosophe: consonances avec la tradition judéo-chrétienne. III. L’*Hortensius*,’ *Revue des Etudes Latines* 79 (2001) 54-69. Σ *Ibid.* p. 54 in French and Latin; APh 72 (2001) 140 #01332. ↗ Pp. 57f on the fragments of the *Hortensius* preserved by L at *DI* 1.7.4, 3.16.9 & 12, and 6.2.15
- THOMAS, Leonhard, S.V.D. *Die Sapientia als Schlüsselbegriff zu den Divinæ institutiones des Laktanz, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung seiner Ethik*. Freiburg, Schweiz, 1959. ↗ On *sapientia* as *notitia dei* (pp. 13-55) and *religio* (pp. 56-94).
- THOMAS, Nicholas L. *Defending Christ: the Latin apologists before Augustine*. Turnhout, 2011 (Studia traditionis theologiae 9). ↗ Minucius Felix, Tertullian, Cyprian, Arnobius, and L.
- THOMPSON, B. ‘Patristic use of the Sybilline Oracles,’ *Review of Religion* 16 (1951-2) 115-136. Σ APh 23 (1952) 114.
- THRÆDE, Klaus. Article ‘Euhemerismus,’ cols 877-90 in Theodor KLAUSER, ed., *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, Band 6 (1966). ↗ L in cols. 883-5, 887-9.
- TIXERONT, Louis Joseph. *Histoire des Dogmes*, vol. I, *La théologie anténicéenne*. Paris, 1905. ↗ Pp. 444-50 on Lactantius.
- _____, tr. Henry L. BRYANCEAU. *History of Dogmas*. St. Louis, 1910-16. ↗ L in vol. I, *The Antenicene Theology* (1910), pp. 414-420.
- TJULENEV, Vladimir M. *Laktanjij: christianskij istorik na perekrestke epoch*. St. Petersburg, 2000. ↗ Title: *Lactantius: a Christian Historian at the Turning Point of an Era*. Introduction (pp. 6-39), *DI* (40-120), *MP* (122-188), bibliography (189-204), translation of selected portions of *DI* (205-317). See review by FREUND below:
- ® FREUND, *Plekos* 5 (2003) 62-65, available at <http://www.plekos.uni-muenchen.de/2003/rllaktanz.html> & [~.pdf](#).

- TÖRNEROS, Adolf, E. M. L. MALMGREN, & J. C. HALLBERG. *De Lactantii elocutione fere Ciceroniana dissertatio*. Uppsala, 1819.
- TOINARD, Nicolas. *Notæ in Lactantium, de Mortibus persecutorum*. 12°, Paris, 1690. ☐ Textual; reprinted in *PL* 7, cols. 453-62.
- TOUTAIN, Jules. *Bulletin de la Société nationale des Antiquaires de France* (1915) 141-8. ☐ Remarks on on inscriptions of Apollo at Claros, with reference to *DI* 1.7 on pp. 145f; comments follow by BATIFFOL.
- TRAVALE, A. *Lattanzio e Cicerone*. Catania, 1946 (dissertation). ☐ Noted in *Nuovo Didaskaleion* 1 (1947) 99.
- TRAVERSARIUS, St. Ambrosius (Calmaldulensis). *Ambrosii Traversarii ... aliorumque ad ipsum ... Latinæ Epistolæ*, ed. P. CANNETO. 2 vols., Firenze, 1759. ☐ Certain letters report editorial work on Lactantius: on *DI*, *Ep.* VI.5 (vol. 2, col. 280f) & *Ep.* VI.7 (vol. 2, col. 283f); on copying *AP*, *Ep.* VIII.7 (vol. 2, col. 422).
- TRIANTAPHYLLOPOULOS, Johannes. ‘Τὰ πραγματικὰ ἐλαττώματα τοῦ ποληθέντος κατὰ τὰ ἀρχαῖα Ἑλλήνικα δίκαια ἔξαιρέσει τῶν παπύρων,’ *Ἐφημερὶς Ἑλληνικῶν Νομικῶν* 35 (1968) 1-11. Σ *APh* 39 (1968) 667.
- TRILLITZSCH, Winfried. *Seneca im literarischen Urteil der Antike*. Amsterdam, 1971. ☐ On L, vol. I pp. 130-143 & vol. II, pp. 363-9.
- TÜCK, Jan-Heiner. ‘Der Zorn: die andere Seite der Liebe Gottes: dogmatische Anmerkungen zur Wiederkehr eines verdrängten Motivs,’ *Theologie und Philosophie* 83.3 (2008) 385-409. ☐ The wrath of God in the Bible and L.
- TURTURRO, Joseph. ‘De nonnullis Ciceronianis in Lactantio,’ *Classici e Neolatini* 3 (1907) 295-304.
- UDA, Antonio. ‘Lactance contre les derniers haruspices,’ pp. 165-185 of Dominique BRIQUEL & Charles GUITTARD, ed., *La Divination dans le monde italique*. 8, *Des Sévères à Constantin: les écrivains du IIIème siècle et l'«Etrusca disciplina»*. *Actes de la table ronde tenue a l'ENS les 24 et 25 octobre 1997*. Tours, 1999. Σ *APh* 72 (2001) 344 #03109: L recognises the significance of haruspices but proclaims its impotence in the sight of God. Reexamination of *MP* 10, where the presence of Christians spoiled a divination and helped to set off the Great Persecution.
- USENER, Hermann. *Epicurea*. Leipzig, 1887. ☐ For Lactantian source passages, see index, p. 429.
_____. Review of Otto Plasberg, *De Hortensio*, in *Göttingischer gelehrte Anzeigen* (1892) 377-389. ☐ *DI* 3.16.4 on p. 381; *DI* 3.17.2-7 on p. 386.
- VAHLEN, Iohannes, ed. *Ennianæ Poesis Reliquiae*². Leipzig, 1928. ☐ Includes the fragments of Euhemerus in *DI*.
- VALENTINI, Roberto. ‘De Fulvio Ursino Lactantii emendatore,’ *Didaskaleion* 1 (1912) 373-88. ☐ Concerns cod. Vat. 5394.
- VALESIUS, Henricus. ‘Ad Lactantii *Div. inst.* V,2 squ.,’ pp. 10f in his *Emendationum libri V et de critica libri II*, ed. Pieter BURMANN. Amsterdam, 1740. ☐ Book I, ch. 5, pp. 9-13 on *DI* 5.2f and the identification of Sossius Hierocles.
- VALLAURI, Giovanna. *Evemero di Messene: testimonianze e frammenti con introduzione e commento*. Torino, 1956 (Università di Torino: Pubblicazioni della facoltà di lettere e filosofia, vol. 8, fasc. 3). ☐ On pp. 38-42, the fragments at *DI* 1.11, 13, 14, 17, 22.
- _____. *Origine e diffusione dell'evemerismo nel pensiero classico*. Torino, 1960 (*ibid.*, vol. 12, fasc. 5).

- VAN DER MEEREN, Sophie. ‘Lactance et les *Academica* de Cicéron: citations et polemiques, *Revue des Études Anciennes* 111.1 (2009) 55-74, with summary in English. ↗ Borrowing from Cicero’s *Academica*, L nonetheless reprises sound methods such as the confrontation of diverse opinions, argumentation on both sides, and heuristic doubt.
- _____. ‘*Recta ratio vivendi*: sur une definition sénéquienne de la philosophie, rapportée et critiquée par Lactance,’ *Revue des Études Latines* 83 (2005) 154-178, with summary in Italian. ↗ Analysis of definitions of philosophy at *DI* 3.15, likely borrowed from Seneca.
- VAN DER NAT, Pieter Gisbertus. ‘Zu den Voraussetzungen der christlichen lateinischen Literatur. Die Zeugnisse von Minucius Felix und Laktanz,’ pp. 191-234 in M. FUHRMAN, ed., *Christianisme et formes littéraires de l’antiquité tardive en Occident*. Bern, 1977 [Entretiens sur l’Antiquité Classique 23 (1976), Vandœuvres-Genève, Fondation Hardt]. ↗ On L & Minucius Felix, pp. 201f, 210-212; on L’ poetics, pp. 214-225 & 230-234.
- VAN ROOIJEN-DIJKMAN, Hedwigis W. A. *De vita beata, het 7e boek van de Divinæ Institutiones van Lactantius: Analyse en bronnenonderzoek*. Assen, 1967 (Biblioteca Classica Vangorcumiana 16). ↗ Dissertation, with analysis of book 7; bibliography on pp. 183-7; Σ in French on pp. 149-67.
- ® Ernout, *Revue de Philologie* 43 (1969) 174; Frend, *Classical Review* 19 (1969) 105; Opelt, *Gnomon* 40 (1968) 721-3; Sanders, *Latomus* 27 (1968) 923f.
- VERBEKE, Gérard. *L’évolution de la doctrine du Pneuma du stoicisme à S. Augustin: étude philosophique*. Paris & Louvain, 1945. ↗ Ch. 5, §6 on Lactantius, pp. 469-85.
- VERDIÈRE, Raoul. ‘Une nouvelle étymologie de *labarum* et la vision constantinienne chez Lactance,’ *Rivista di Studi Classici* 12 (1964) 20-29. Σ *APH* 35 (1964) 317. ↗ MP 44.5.
- VERLINSKY, Alexander L. ‘Epicurus and his predecessors on the origin of language,’ pp. 56-100 of Dorothea FREDE and Brad INWOOD, edd., *Language and Learning: Philosophy of Language in the Hellenistic Age*. Cambridge and New York, 2005 (Proceedings of the ninth Symposium Hellenisticum, held at Hamburg in July, 2001). ↗ Includes L *DI* 6.10.13-14.
- VERMANDER, Jean-Marie & Pierre MONAT. ‘La polémique des apologistes latins contre les dieux du paganisme,’ *Recherches Augustiniennes* 17 (1982) 3-128. Σ *APH* 54 (1983) 386 #6282. ↗ L on pp. 10, 13f, 19f, 22-25, 27-30, 32, 36, 43, 50, 53f, 56-60, 64-66, 72, 77f, 85f, 97f, 100, 104-107, 109, 112, 114, 121-3, 127.
- VILHELMSON, Konstantin. *Laktanz und die Kosmogonie des spätantiken Synkretismus*. Tartu, 1940 (Acta Universitatis Tartuensis B 49,4).
- ® BEUTLER, *Gnomon* 19 (1943) 46-50; NOCK, *Classical Philology* 37 (1942) 448-51.
- VINER, Jacob. Jacques MELITZ & Donald WINCH, edd., *Religious Thought and Economic Society: four chapters of an unfinished work by Jacob Viner*. Durham (North Carolina), 1978. On p. 18, L and private property vs. communism at *DI* 3.21-22 & *EDI* 38 (critique of Platonic ideas), and *DI* 5.5.7-8 (wrongly cited in fn. 19 as *DI* 5.6); on pp. 21f, almsgiving (*DI* 6.17.17-20); on p. 28, slavery (*DI* 7.24.4); on p. 32, Diocletian’s edict on maximum prices (MP 7); on pp. 32f, public service as contrasted with useless philosophizing (*DI* 3.16.2); on pp. 199f, the nature of virtue (*DI* 3.12.13).
- VOGT, Joseph. Article ‘Christenverfolgung I (historisch),’ cols. 1159-1208 of Theodor KLAUSER *et al.*, edd., *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, vol. II. Stuttgart, 1954. ↗ L and the Diocletianic persecution *passim* cols. 1192-1201.
- _____. Article ‘Constantinus der Grosse,’ cols. 306-79 of Theodor KLAUSER *et al.*, edd., *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, vol. III. Stuttgart, 1957. ↗ on L, pp. 312, 317, 321-5, 337, 366f.

- _____. ‘Pagans and Christians in the Family of Constantine the Great,’ chapter 2 of Arnaldo Momigliano, ed., *The Conflict between Paganism and Christianity in the Fourth Century* (Oxford 1963) 38-55. ↗ P. 44 on Lactantius & Constantius; 45 on MP and Constantine; 47f on Lactantius and Crispus.
- _____. ‘Toleranz und Intoleranz im constantinischen Zeitalter: der Weg der lateinischen Apologetik,’ *Sæculum* 19 (1968) 344-61. ↗ On L, pp. 345f, 350-5, 360f.
- VOIGT, Ludwig Georg. *Die Wiederbelebung des classischen Altertums*, vol. I. Leipzig, 2¹⁸⁸⁹ & 3¹⁸⁹³. ↗ On Lactantius, Frater Antonio DARHO, and the humanists, especially Lorenzo Valla, pp. 512-4 of the second edition, 508-10 of the third.
- VOLKMANN, Richard. *Observationes miscellæ*. Iauer, 1872 (Programm). ↗ DI on pp. 13f.
- VON CAMPENHAUSEN, Hans Erich, FREIHERR. *Lateinische Kirchenväter*. Stuttgart, 1960 & 1983. ↗ Ch. 3 on L, pp. 57-76.
- _____. *The Fathers of the Latin Church*,, tr. Manfred HOFFMAN. London, 1964 & Stanford, 1969. ↗ Chapter 3 on Lactantius, pp. 61-86.
- _____. *Les Pères Latins*, tr. C. A. MOREAU. 1966.
- VON PREMERSTEIN, Anton. ‘Lex Tappula,’ *Hermes* 39 (1904) 327-347. ↗ AS on pp. 337f: how the anonymity of the author in the title *Aenigmata Symposii* led to attribution to a nonexistent person Symp(h)osius.
- VONCK, Cornelius Valerius. Caput VII, ‘Lactantius *de mortibus Persecutorum* bis correctus,’ pp. 73f of his *Specimen criticum in varios auctores. Accedunt Observationes Miscellaneæ*. Utrecht, 1744. ↗ Emendations for MP 2 & 21.
- WALCH, Johann Georg. *Lucii Cœlii sive Cœcilius Lactantii Firmiani Opera omnia quæ supersunt*. Leipzig, 1715 & 1735. ↗ Includes a *Diatribe de Lactantii eiusdem stilo* in eight chapters on L’ life, works, editions, thought, and style.
- WALLA SCHUSTER, Marialuise. *Der Vogel Phönix in der antiken Literatur und die Dichtung des Laktanz*. Wien, 1969 (dissertation, 1965). ↗ Detailed commentary and interpretation.
- ⑧ FONTAINE, *Gnomon* 45 (1973) 208-10
- WALLRAFF, Martin. ‘*In quo signo vincit?*: una rilettura della visione e ascesa al potere di Costantino,’ pp. 133-144 of Giorgio BONAMENTE, Noel Emmanuel LENSKI, and Rita LIZZI TESTA, *Constantino prima e dopo Costantino (Munera: studi storici sulla Tarda Antichità* 35, containing the proceedings of a conference held 27-30 April, 2011, at Perugia and Spello). Bari, 2012. ↗ Constantine’s conversion in contemporary literary and iconographic sources, and the transition from paganism to Christianity.
- WALSH, Rev. William Joseph, S.J. ‘The Image of the Church in Lactantius’ *De Mortibus Persecutorum*,’ pp. 521-6 of Dom Patrick GRANFIELD and Josef JUNGmann, edd., *Kyriakon*. Festschrift Johannes QUASTEN, vol. II. Münster, 1970.
- _____. *Lactantius, the Death of the Persecutors*. Washington, 1968 (dissertation, the Catholic University of America; on microfilm). Σ *Dissertation Abstracts* 29.11 (May, 1969) 4099A.
- WALTER, Fritz. ‘Zu Lateinischen Schriftstellern,’ *Philologus* 80 (1925) 437-53. ↗ Textual-critical observations including DI 1.20.5 & 6.18.16 on p. 441.
- WALTER, Jochen. *Pagane Texte und Wertvorstellungen bei Lactanz*. Göttingen, 2006 (Hypomnemata 165); 382 pp. Σ on the publisher’s website, <http://www.v-r.de/titel/352525264/>. ↗ Aims to test what is seen as a shift in modern interpretations to viewing Lactantius as a kind of visionary engaged in such modern activities as inclusivity, toleration, and intercultural dialogue: how does such a view of L affect the study of his use of ancient texts and moral

concepts in promoting Christianity? Four chapters: 1. Einleitung; 2. Konzeptualisierungen des lactanzischen Ungangs mit paganen Texten; 3. Zum Stellenwert paganer Texte; 4. Pagane Wertvorstellungen bei Lactanz. Bibliographies, pp. 334-359; indices of passages, names, and subjects, pp. 360-382.

⑧ H. M. ZILLING, *Historische Literatur* 5.1 (2007) 47-50; R. KLEIN, *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte* 118.3 (2007) 396-7; M. J.-L. PERRIN, *Gnomon* 80.7 (2008) 645-7 and *Latomus* 67.3 (2008) 785-6; S. FREUND, *Gymnasium* 116.6 (2009) 608-9; B. COLOT, *Vigiliae Christianae* 63.2 (2009) 189-194.

WEBER, Ekkehard. ‘Die «disciplina Etrusca (haruspices)» ausserhalb Roms und Italiens: einige Fallstudien aus den Provinzen,’ pp. 421-426 of Petra Amann and Elisabeth Bruna, edd., *Kulte, Riten, religiöse Vorstellungen bei den Etruskern und ihr Verhältnis zu Politik und Gesellschaft: Akten der 1. Internationalen Tagung der Sektion Wien/Österreich des Istituto Nazionale di Studi Etruschi ed Italici (Wien, 4-6.12.2008)*, *Denkschriften der Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-Historisch Klasse*. Wien, Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 2012. ↗ Analysis of Greek and Latin inscriptions reveals that Etruscan religion is found in the provinces only under Roman influence. L MP 10.1-3 as evidence for the activities of haruspices in the provinces.

WEHNER, C. ‘In welchen Punkten zeigen sich bei Lactantius *de mortibus persecutorum* die durch den lokalen Standort des Verfassers bedingten Vorzüge in den Berichten über die letzten drei Regierungsjahre Diocletians?’ Saalfeld, 1885 (Programm). ↗ Doxography on the authenticity question from 1715, p. 3.

WEHRLI, Fritz. ‘L. Cælius Firmianus Lactantius über die Geschichte des wahren Gottesglaubens,’ pp. 285-95 of his *Theoria und Humanitas*. Zurich & München, 1972. ↗ L’ concept of the true knowledge of God, and his sources.

WEICKHMANN, Joachim Samuel. *De fontibus veritatis sacræ in rivulis profanis sparsæ ad Lactantii libr. vii cap. vii*. Wittenberg, 1739 (Programm).

WESSELING, Petrus. *Observationum Variarum libri duo*. Amsterdam, 1727. Reprinted, ed. Karl Heinrich FROTSCHER. Leipzig, 1832. ↗ DI 4.7.7 and the etymology of *Christus* on pp. 61f in book I, ch. 19.

WEYMAN, Carl. ‘Analekta VIII. Zum *Carmen de passione Domini*,’ *Historisches Jahrbuch* 29 (1908) 586-8. ↗ Attributes PD to Christian late antiquity; reprinted in modern type in his *Beiträge, q.v. infra*.

_____. ‘Analecta XIV. Zur Schrift *De mortibus persecutorum*,’ *Historisches Jahrbuch* 37 (1916) 76-77. ↗ On MP 1.5 and 9.9.

_____. *Beiträge zur Geschichte der christlich-Lateinischen Poesie*. München, 1926. ↗ Pp. 16-20 on PD, attributing it to Christian late antiquity; reprinted from his ‘Analekta VIII,’ q.v. *supra*.

⑧ *Revue Bénédicteine* 39.4 (1927), #58 on p. 241 of ‘Bulletin d’ancienne littérature chrétienne Latine,’ bound at the end; BRAKMAN, *Mnemosyne* 55 (1927) 269-72; DELEHAYE, *Analecta Bollandiana* 45 (1927) 142-4; MANITIUS, *Philologische Wochenschrift* 47 (1927) cols. 590-2; RUPPERT, *Litterarisches Zentralblatt* 77 (1926) 1521; STRECKER, *Deutsche Literaturzeitung für Kritik der internationalen Wissenschaft* 48 (1927) 461f.

_____. ‘Zu lateinischen Dichtern,’ *Neophilologus* 7 (1922) 129-36 & 282-6. ↗ Pp. 135f on OD 10-21 and Ovid *Metamorphoses* 11.854 & 12.402

_____. ‘Zu den Sprichwörtern und sprichwörtlichen Redensarten der Römer,’ *Archiv für Lateinische Lexikologie* 13 (1904) 253-70 & 379-406. ↗ DI 5.1.14 on p. 385.

WIGHTMAN, Edith Mary. *Roman Trier and the Treveri*. London, 1970 & New York, 1971. ↗ 4th-century Trier, pp. 62-7 (L on 63); Christianity and paganism, 227-42 (L on 227).

WIJKSTRÖM, Bertil. *Studier över parafrasen i latinsk prosa*. Göteborg, 1937 (dissertation). ↗ Copious examples of verbal, formal and syntactical variation in paraphrases of many authors.

® HAGENDAHL, ‘Methods,’ p.124.

WILKEN, Robert Louis. *The Christians as the Romans Saw Them*. New Haven, 1984. ↗ Pp. 155 and 160 on identifying the hostile philosopher of *DI* 5.2.3-11 with Porphyry of Tyre.

_____. ‘Pagan Criticism of Christianity: Greek Religion and Christian Faith,’ pp. 117-134 of William R. SCHOEDEL & Robert Louis WILKEN, edd., *Early Christian Literature and the Classical Tradition: in honorem Robert M. Grant*. Paris, 1979 (Théologie Historique 53). ↗ Reconstitution of Porphyry’s *Philosophy from Oracles* in its significance for pagan criticism of Christianity. On pp. 123f, Arnobius, *DI* 5.2, Hierocles, and Porphyry of Tyre.

WINCKLER, Johann Dietrich. *Philologemata Lactantiana sacra variis locis tum Sacri codicis illustrandis tum Lactantii explicandis corrigendisque inservientia*. Brunswick, 1754. ↗ 18th century bibliography in the preface, pp. XXVI-XXXI. Pars prior on the Hebrew scriptures, pp. 1-238; pars posterior on the New Testament, pp. 239-480. Indices: I of Scripture, pp. 480-8; II of *DI* passages, 489-92; III *rerum, personarum*, 493-528.

WINDISCH, Hans. *Die Orakel des Hystaspes*. Amsterdam, 1929 (Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam, Afdeeling Letterkunde, Nieuwe Reeks, Deel XXVIII, No. 3). ↗ Ch. 7, pp. 44-95, on L’ use of the oracles at *DI* 7.14-21 & *EDI* 73.

WINGER, Wolfram. *Personalität durch Humanität: das ethikgeschichtliche Profil christlicher Handlungslehre bei Laktanz: Denkhorizont, Textübersetzung, Interpretation, Wirkungsgeschichte*. 2 vols, Bern & Frankfurt am Main, 1999 (Forum interdisziplinäre Ethik 22). Σ APh 70 (1999) 282 #02832. ↗ Contains texts of L with German translations.

® FREUND, Plekos 5 (2003) 49-55, available at <http://www.plekos.uni-muenchen.de/2003/raktanz.html> & [~pdf](#); LIES, *Zeitschrift für Katholische Theologie* 127.1 (2005) 146-7; STRUTWOLF, *Theologische Literaturzeitung* 126.11 (2001) 1200-1202.

WINIARCYK, Marek. ‘Ennius’ *Euhemerus sive Historia Sacra*,’ *Rheinisches Museum* 137.3-4 (1994) 274-291. Σ APh 65 (1994) 159 #2088. ↗ L’ citations of Ennius in *DI* are too indirect to be regarded as true fragments.

WINKELMANN, Friedhelm. ‘Die Historiographie in der Epoche des Kaisers Konstantin des Grossen: zwischen Historiographie und Hagiographie,’ pp. 79-99 of Jürgen DUMMER and Meinolf VIELBERG, edd., *Zwischen Historiographie und Hagiographie: ausgewählte Beiträge zur Erforschung der Spätantike*. Stuttgart, 2005, 107 pp. with illustrations and index (Altertumswissenschaftliches Kolloquium 13). ↗ Overview of fundamental problems in the historical texts of Eusebius, L, Paraxagoras, and the *Origo Constantini Imperatoris*.

_____. ‘Historiography in the Age of Constantine, pp. 3-41 in Gabriele Marasco, ed., *Greek and Roman Historiography in Late Antiquity: fourth to sixth century A.D.* Leiden & Boston 2003. Σ APh 75 (2004) 760 #06952. ↗ L on pp. 10-14 & 31-34.

WINTERFELD, Paul von. ‘Coniectanea, 4-5,’ *Hermes* 33 (1898) 168-74. ↗ Pp. 170-2 on AP 15-20 & 161-4.

WITTIG, J. ‘Das Toleranzreskript von Mailand 313,’ pp. 40-65 in Franz Joseph DÖLGER, ed., *Konstantin der Große und seine Zeit. Gesammelte Studien. Festgabe zum Konstantins-Jubiläum 1913 und zum goldenen Priesterjubiläum von Mgr. Dr. A. DE WAAL*. Freiburg im Breisgau, 1913. ↗ MP 48.3-14 and the Edict of Milan.

® A.H., *Byzantinische Zeitschrift* 22 (1913) 605.

WLOSOK, Antonie. ‘Die Anfänge christlicher Poesie lateinischer Sprache. Laktanzens Gedicht über den Vogel Phœnix,’ pp. 129-167 in P. NEUKAM, ed., *Information aus der Vergangenheit*. München, 1982 (Dialog Schule-Wissenschaft, Klasse der Sprache und Literatur 16). ↗ Pp. 145-51 on AP. Reprinted on pp. 250-78 of her *Kleine Schriften*. Heidelberg, 1990. Σ APh 53 (1982) 178 #2635.

- _____. ‘Die christliche Apologetik: Griechischer und lateinischer Sprache bis zur konstantinischen Epoche: Fragen, Probleme, Kontroversen,’ ch. 1, pp. 1-27 of Antonie Wlosok et al., edd., *L’apologétique chrétienne gréco-latine à l’époque prénicénienne*, Vandoeuvres-Génève, 13-17 septembre 2004. Génève-Vandoeuvres, 2005 (Entretiens sur l’antiquité classique 51). ↗ Part iii, ‘War der von Lactanz (inst. 5,2,2ff.) geschilderte anonyme Philosoph der Neuplatoniker Porphyrios?’ (pp. 20-28), concerns the unnamed philosopher whom L attacks at *DI* 5.2.3-11. On this person, see further above at Barnes *Constantine & Eusebius* pp. 164-7 & 174-8, ‘Scholarship,’ and ‘Sossianus,’ vs. Digeser ‘Lactantius, Porphyry & the Debate,’ and *Making of a Christian Empire*.
- _____. ‘*Cumæum Carmen* (Verg., *Ecl.* 4,4), Sibyllenorakel oder Hesiodgedicht?’ pp. 693-711 in *Forma Futuri: Studi in onore del cardinale Michele PELLEGRINO*. Torino, 1975. Σ *APh* 46 (1975) 356. ↗ *DI* 7.24.7f.
- _____. Article ‘L. Cæcilius Firmianus Lactantius,’ §570 (pp. 375-404) of Reinhart HERZOG et al., edd., *Handbuch der Lateinischen Literatur der Antike*, vol. V, *Restauration und Erneuerung*. München, 1989. ↗ Bibliographies, life, works, and influence of Lactantius.
- _____. Article ‘Lactance,’ §570 (pp. 426-59) of Reinhart HERZOG et al., edd., *Nouvelle Histoire de la Littérature Latine*, vol. V, *Restauration et Renouveau*. Turnhout, 1993. ↗ Slightly updated French version of the preceding.
- _____. ‘Laktanz,’ article on pp. 176-188 in Martin GRESCHAT, ed., *Gestalten der Kirchengeschichte*, vol. 1, *Alte Kirche*, Part I. Stuttgart, 1984.
- _____. *Laktanz und die philosophische Gnosis: Untersuchungen zu Geschichte und Terminologie der gnostischen Erlösungsvorstellung*. Heidelberg, 1958 (dissertation). Heidelberg, 1960 (Abhandlungen der Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, philosophisch-historische Klasse, Abh. 2, Jahrgang 1960). Σ *APh* 31 (1960) 116.
- ® BOTTE, *Bulletin de Théologie ancienne et médiévale* 9 (1964) 599; BRISSON, *L’Antiquité Classique* 31 (1962) 389-91; COLPE, *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte* 75 (1964) 372-5; COURCELLE, *Revue des Études Anciennes* 64 (1962) 509-11; FAUTH, *Gymnasium* 71 (1964) 481-4; FONTAINE, *Revue des Études Latines* 39 (1961) 327-9; JOLY, *Revue Belge de Philologie et d’Histoire* 40 (1962) 582; KEHL, *Biblica* 43 (1962) 519-24; NOCK, *Journal of Theological Studies* 14 (1963) 177-80; PÉPIN, *Latomus* 21 (1962) 633f; SCHENKE, *Theologische Literaturzeitung* 88 (1963) 206f; SEAVER, *Classical World* 55 (1962) 181; STEVENSON, *Classical Review* 13 (1963) 80f; THIERRY, *Mnemosyne* 15 (1962) 446f; WEHRLI, *Museum Helveticum* 19 (1962) 238.
- _____. ‘Originalität, Kreativität und Epigonentum in der spätromischen Literatur,’ Vol. 2, pp. 251-265 in János HARMATTA, ed., *Proceedings of the VIIth Congress of the International Federation of the Societies of Classical Studies*. Budapest, 1984. ↗ Pp. 257-262 and 264f on *AP*.
- _____. ‘Römischer Religions- und Gottesbegriff in heidnischer und christlicher Zeit,’ *Antike und Abendland* 16 (1970) 39-53. Σ *APh* 41 (1970) 699. ↗ L on pp. 50-53.
- _____. ‘Vater und Vatervorstellungen in der römischen Kultur,’ pp. 18-54 and 192-200 in Hubertus TELLENBACH, ed., *Das Vaterbild im Abendland*, vol. I, *Rom, frühes Christentum, Mittelalter, Neuzeit, Gegenwart*. Stuttgart, 1978. ↗ On, L § III. ‘Die Übertragung der römischen Vatervorstellung auf den christlichen Gott: Laktanz,’ pp. 48-54 & 199f.
- _____. ‘Wie der Phönix singt,’ pp. 209-222 in Michael VON ALBRECHT & Werner SCHUBERT, edd., *Musik und Dichtung: neue Forschungsbeiträge, Viktor PÖSCHL zum 80. Geburtstag gewidmet*. Frankfurt am Main, Bern, New York, & Paris, 1990. ↗ *AP* on p. 213-217; *AP* and the Old English *Phoenix*, 218-219.
- _____. ‘Zur Bedeutung der nichtcyprianischen Bibelzitate bei Laktanz,’ pp. 234-50 in F. L. CROSS, ed., *Studia Patristica* 4, part 2 of the Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Patristic Studies, Oxford, 1959. Berlin, 1961 (Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der

- altchristlichen Literatur 79). Σ APh 32 (1961) 117. ↗ On the biblical citations of *DI* 4; an analysis of *DI* 4.12 in the Appendix, pp. 247-50.
- _____. ‘Zur Gottesvorstellung bei Laktanz,’ pp. 129-47 in *Festschrift O. REGENBOGEN zum 65. Geburtstage*. Heidelberg, 1956 = Anhang, ‘Die Gottespredikation *Pater et Dominus* bei Laktanz. Gott in Analogie zum römischen *Pater Familias*,’ pp. 232-46 in her *Laktanz und die philosophische Gnosi*s. Σ APh 27 (1956) 93.
- _____. ‘Zur lateinischen Apologetik der constantinischen Zeit (Arnobius, Lactantius, Firmicus Maternus),’ *Gymnasium* 96 (1989) 133-48. Σ APh 60 (1989) 201 #2960. ↗ L on pp. 136-9.
- _____. ‘Zwei Beispiele frühchristlicher Vergilrezeption. Polemik (*Lact. div. inst.* 5, 10) und Usurpation (*Or. Const.* 19-21),’ pp. 63-86 in V. PÖSCHL, ed., *2000 Jahre Vergil: ein Symposium*. Wiesbaden, 1983 (Wolfenbütteler Forschungen 24). Pp. 71-4 on L and Constantine. Reprinted in *Acta Antiqua et Archaeologica Szeged* 25 (1984) 7-41. Σ APh 54 (1983) 351 #5668.
- WOJTCZAK, Jerzy Andrzej. ‘Antyteza kapłan’stawa pagan’skiego i chrzes’cijan’skiego w *Divinæ Institutiones* Laktancjusza,’ *Vox Patrum* 13-15.24-29 (1993-5) 101-106. Σ *Ibid.*, pp. 105f in Latin; APh 66 (1995) 200 #02437. ↗ ‘The Contrast Between Pagan and Christian Priesthoods in the *Divinæ Institutiones* of Lactantius.’ *DI* 1.21, 2.4, 4.14, 4.19, 6.1-2.
- _____. *De Lactantio Ciceronis œmulo et sectatore*. Warzsawa, 1969 (Polish Academy of Sciences, Committee for Ancient Culture, Archives 23). ↗ Statistical analysis of clausulæ, pp. 7-30; length of periods and sentences, 31-57; common phrases, 58-67.
- _____. ‘Eschatologiczne Koncepcje laktancjusza w VII ksiedze *Divinæ Institutiones*,’ *Vox Patrum* 19 (1990) 609-620. Σ *Ibid.* pp. 619f in Latin; APh 65 (1994) 277 #3603. ↗ L’ eschatology distinguished more by triumph over secular evils than rejoicing in the blessedness of the elect.
- _____. ‘Problematyka wcielenia Syna Bożego w *Divinæ Institutiones* Laktancjusza’ (= ‘The problem of the incarnation of the Son of God in the *Divine Institutes* of Lactantius’), *Vox Patrum* 20 № 38-39 (2000) 121-124. Σ *Ibid.* 124 in Latin; APh 73 (2002) #03490. ↗ Lactantius’ orthodoxy at *DI* 4.11-14.
- _____. ‘Verba sentiendi, dicendi I opiniandi w *Epitome Divinarum Institutionum* Laktancjusza,’ *Roczniki humanistyczne* 44.3 (1996) 179-183. Σ *Ibid.* in English; APh 67 (1996) 214 #02503.
- WOLFF, Étienne. ‘Pétrarque lecteur de Lactance,’ *Latomus* 67.3 (2008) 756-62. ↗ On two points of contact between L and Petrarch: the interpretation of poetry, especially the relation of the poet to truth; and their judgment of Cicero, criticized as a man of public action but admired and appreciated as a thinker and writer.
- _____. ‘Les spécificités de Fulgence dans les «*Mitologiae*»,’ *Polymnia* 1 (2015) 126-138. ↗ Fulgentius’ mythological practice, with a reference to L.
- WOLFF, Gustav. *Porphyrii de philosophia ex oraculis haurienda librorum reliquiæ*. Berlin, 1856. ↗ Porphyry, oracles, and textual matters on pp. 106, 142f (*ID* 23.12), 177f (*DI* 7.13.5), and 231 (*DI* 1.7.1).
- WOLFSON, Harry Austryn. ‘Patristic Arguments against the Eternity of the World,’ *Harvard Theological Review* 59 (1966) 351-367 = pp. 182-198 in Isadore TWERSKY & George H. WILLIAMS, eds., *Studies in the History of Philosophy and Religion*, vol. I. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1973. ↗ On pp. 356-67, *DI* 2.11, 7.1, and 7.3.
- WOODS, David. ‘Lactantius, Valerian, and halophilic bacteria,’ *Mnemosyne Series* 4 61.3 (2008) 479-81. ↗ At *MP* 5.6, did the Persian Emperor Sapor I really tint the skin of the deceased Emperor Valerian red?

- _____. ‘The deathbed conversion of Galerius Maximianus to religious tolerance: fact or fraud?’ pp. 85-89 in Jane BAUN, Averill CAMERON, and Mark EDWARDS, edd., *Studia Patristica 44, Papers presented at the 15th International Conference on Patristic Studies held at Oxford in 2007*, Leuven & Paris, 2010. ☛ Discusses the tradition attested by L (*MP* 33-5) and Eusebius (*HE* 8.16-17 and appendix 1) that the Emperor Galerius, expecting death, drafted in his own hand the edict ending the persecution of Christians.
- _____. ‘Two Notes on the Great Persecution,’ *Journal of Theological Studies* n.s. 43 (1992) 128-134. ☛ Pp. 128-131 dating Diocletian’s persecution of Christians in the army to 297, with *MP* 10.1-6 and *DI* 4.27.4f.
- WOPKENS, Thomas. *Adversaria Critica in Ciceronem, Sallustium, ... aliosque scriptores latinos*, collegit et...edidit Carolus Henricus FROTSCHER. Leipzig, 1828. ☛ L’ quotations of Cicero in vol. I, p. 124.
- ZAMBON, Marco. ‘La pazienza e l’utopia cristiana in Lattanzio,’ *Humanitas* 72.5-6 (2017) 790-797, with resumé in English. ☛ On the significance of the term *patientia* in the works of L, in the historical context of the persecution under Diocletian.
- ZANGEMEISTER, Karl. ‘Bericht über die in Auftrag der Kirchenväterkommission unternommene Durchforschung der Bibliotheken Englands,’ *Sitzungsberichte der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaft in Wien, philosophisch-historische Klasse* 83 (1876) 485-584. ☛ On p. 536, he mentions but does not publish marginal notes by Richard BENTLEY in a copy of LENOURRY’s *MP*. Cf. MOREAU *MP* vol. 1, p. 143.
- ZAGO, Giovanni. ‘Lact. inst. 6,10,13-15,’ *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie* 156:1 (2013) 111-112. ☛ Not *laniati*, but *laniatus* should be read at *DI* 6.10.14; comparison with 6.10.18.
- ZARINI, Vincent. ‘Lactance et Rome au livre V des *Institutions Divines*,’ pp. 69-81 in Benoit GAIN, Pierre JAY, & Gérard NAUROY, edd., *Chartae caritatis: études de patristique et d’antiquité tardive en hommage à Yves-Marie Duval*. Paris, 2004 (Collection des Études augustiniennes, Série Antiquité 173). ∑ *APh* 75 (2004) 331 #02919. ☛ On L’s double allegiance to Christianity and Rome, with reference to the New Testament book of the Apocalypse and to Roman rhetorical tradition.
- ZATTI, Sergio. ‘La Fenice tassiana e il topos della maledizione epica,’ pp. 353-366 in Silvia FABRIZIO-COSTA, ed., *Phénix: mythe(s) et signe(s): actes du colloque international de Caen (12-14 octobre 2000)*. Bern, Berlin et al., 2001. ☛ AP and Torquato Tasso on pp. 354f, 356, 358.
- ZECCHINI, Giuseppe. ‘Dall’ imperium Daciscum all’ Gothia: il ruolo di Costantino nell’ evoluzione di un tema politico e storiografico,’ pp. 915-933 of Giorgio BONAMENTE & Franco FUSCO, edd., *Costantino il Grande: dell’ antichità all’ umanesimo*. Macerata, 1993 (3º Colloquio sul cristianesimo nel mondo antico, Macerata, 18-20 dicembre 1990; Pubblicazioni della Facoltà di lettere e Filosofia, Università degli Studi di Macerata, N° 67; Atti di convegni N° 21). ∑ *APh* 64 (1993) 551f #7268. ☛ Pp. 924-932 on the depiction of Galerius at *MP* 9, 11, 18f, 23, & 27; comparison to the exchatological usurper of Rome at *DI* 7.15f.
- _____. ‘La storiografia cristiana latina del IV secolo (da Lattanzio ad Orosio),’ pp. 169-194 of Giorgio BONAMENTE & Aldo NESTORI, edd., *I cristiani e l’impero nel IV secolo: colloquio sul cristianesimo nel mondo antico*. Macerata, 1988 (Atti del convegno 17-18 dicembre 1987; Pubblicazioni della Facoltà di lettere e Filosofia, Università degli Studi di Macerata, N° 47). ☛ *MP* on pp. 171-181 & 191-3.
- ZEILLER, Jacques. ‘L’Empereur Constantin et ses plus récents historiens,’ *Le Correspondant* (Oct. 1933) 79-92. ☛ On Constantine’s visions, according to Galletier *PanLat* 2.45, #2. ∑ *APh* 9 (1934) p. 312.

- _____. ‘Quelques remarques sur la «vision» de Constantin,’ *Byzantion* 14 (1939) 329-39. Σ *APh* 14 (1939) 383. = *Revue des Études Anciennes* 42 (1940, *Mélanges RADET*) 542-550. Σ *APh* 15 (1940-41) 417. ↗ Historiography of Constantine in *MP* and the *Vita Constantini*; response to GRÉGOIRE ‘Conversion.’
- ZETZEL, James E. G. ‘The earliest transposition of Propertius,’ *American Journal of Philology* 101 (1980) 314-315. Σ *APh* 51 (1980) 243 #3564. ↗ On *DI* 2.6.14 & Propertius 4.1.11-14.
- ZIMMERMANN, Michael. *L. C. Lactantius, De falsa sapientia, philologica censura illustratus*. Wittenberg, 1697. ↗ Etymology of *sapientia* and notes on *DI* 3.1, 3-5. (Often attributed to KIRCHMAIER, who was *præses*, not author.)
- ZIÓŁKOWSKI, Adam. ‘The Vision of Constantine reconsidered,’ *Vox Patrum* 3 (1983) 200-215. ↗ On the accounts of Lactantius and Eusebius, in Polish with an English summary.
- ZUCKER, Friedrich. ‘Euhemerus und seine Ἱερὰ Ἀναγραφή bei den christlichen Schriftstellern,’ *Philologus* 64 [N.F. 18] (1905) 465-472. ↗ L on pp. 467 & 470.